

## Sheet 1 : solutions

(1) In all those problems, the idea is to seek a linear combination of the function values that makes the difference with  $f''(x_j)$  as small as possible in the limit as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . (Taylor expansion approach) or to compute the second derivative of the appropriate interpolant. The resulting formulae are:

$$(a) \quad \frac{1}{h^2} [f(x_{j-1}) - 2f(x_j) + f(x_{j+1})] = f''(x_j) + O(h^2)$$

$$(b) \quad \frac{1}{h^2} [f(x_{j-2h}) - 2f(x_{j-h}) + f(x_j)] = f''(x_j) + O(h)$$

$$(c) \quad \frac{1}{h^2} [f(x_j) - 2f(x_{j+h}) + f(x_{j+2h})] = f''(x_j) + O(h)$$

$$(d) \quad \frac{1}{h^2} [2f(x_j) - 5f(x_{j+h}) + 4f(x_{j+2h}) - f(x_{j+3h})] = f''(x_j) + O(h^2)$$

$$(e) \quad \frac{1}{h^2} \left[ \frac{2}{\lambda(\lambda+1)} f(x_j - \lambda h) - \frac{2}{\lambda} f(x_j) + \frac{2}{1+\lambda} f(x_j + \lambda h) \right] = f''(x_j) + \begin{cases} O(h^2) & \text{if } \lambda = 1 \\ O(h) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(3) The idea is to determine the order of accuracy  $p$  of each method. For  $L$  a natural number, the method is exact whenever  $p \geq L$ . This is because, for this particular differential problem  $u^{(r)} = 0$  for every  $r > L$ . We illustrate this with Euler's method in (a). Here  $p = 1$  and so the method is exact when  $L = 1$ . Indeed, the sequence

$$u^n = t_n + 1$$

satisfies

$$u^{n+1} = t_{n+1} + 1 = t_n + 1 + k = u_n + \frac{k u_n}{1+t_n}$$

On the other hand

$$u^n = (t_n + 1)^2$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+1} &= (t_{n+1} + 1)^2 = (t_n + 1) + 2k(t_n + 1) + k^2 \\ &= u_n + \frac{k^2}{1+t_n} u_n + k^2 \end{aligned}$$

and so is not a solution of the discrete equation for  $L=2$ .

(4) von Neumann analysis cannot be applied, strictly speaking, unless  $f$  is a linear function of  $u$ . So what we do is to replace  $f$  by, say,  $\lambda u$  so that the difference equation becomes

$$u^{n+1} = -4u^n + 5u^{n-1} + \lambda k (4u^n + 2u^{n-1})$$

The substitution  $u^n = g^n$  ( $g$  to the power of  $n$ ) then leads to

$$g^2 + 4(1 + \lambda k)g - 5 - 2\lambda k = 0$$

For  $k=0$ , this becomes  $(g+5)(g-1) = 0$

We conclude that, for  $k$  small, one root is close to  $-5$ . So the scheme is unstable.

(5) The discretisation uses no information about  $f$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} L^h &= u(t_{n+1}) - 2u(t_n) + u(t_{n-1}) \\ &= [u(t_n) + ku'(t_n) + O(k^2)] - 2u(t_n) + [u(t_n) - ku'(t_n) + O(k^2)] \\ &= O(k^2) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

so the order of accuracy is 1. To study stability, put  $u^n = g^n$ . Then

$$g^2 - 2g + 1 = 0$$

So  $g=1$  is a root of multiplicity 2. The general solution of the difference equation is

$$u_n = An + B$$

Hence instability.

(6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i) \text{ (a) Consistency: } & u(t_{n+1}) - \frac{1}{2}u(t_n) - \frac{1}{2}u(t_{n-1}) - 2k u_f(t_n) \\
 &= \left[ \cancel{u(t_n)} + k u_f(t_n) + O(k^2) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \cancel{u(t_n)} - \frac{1}{2} \left[ \cancel{u(t_n)} - k u_f(t_n) + O(k^2) \right] - 2k u_f(t_n) \\
 &= O(k)
 \end{aligned}$$

So it is inconsistent. Setting  $f = \lambda u$  and  $u^n = g^n$ , we find

$$g^2 = \left( \frac{1}{2} + 2k\lambda \right) g + \frac{1}{2}$$

When  $k=0$ , this gives

$$0 = g^2 - \frac{1}{2}g - \frac{1}{2} = (g-1)(g+\frac{1}{2})$$

So the linearisation is stable.

(b) Inconsistent but stable.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (c) \text{ Consistency: } & u(t_{n+1}) - u(t_n) - \frac{4}{3}k \left[ u_f(t_{n+3}) + u_f(t_{n+2}) + u_f(t_{n+1}) \right] \\
 &= \left[ \cancel{u(t_n)} + 4k u_f(t_n) + O(k^2) \right] - \cancel{u(t_n)} - \frac{4}{3}k \left[ 3 \cancel{u_f(t_n)} + 6k u_{ff}(t_n) + O(k^2) \right] \\
 &= O(k^4)
 \end{aligned}$$

with  $p \geq 1$ . So consistent. To study the stability, we linearise, i.e. put  $f = \lambda u$ . Then, von Neumann analysis gives

$$g^4 = 1 + \frac{4}{3}k (g^3 + g^2 + g) \quad (*)$$

When  $k=0$ , we have the four roots  $g_1 = 1, g_2 = -1, g_3 = i, g_4 = -i$ .

For  $k$  small, these four roots remain close to those values. For instance, the root close to 1 has an expansion of the form

$$g_1(k) = 1 + \alpha_1 k + \beta_1 k^2 + \dots$$

and substitution into (\*) yields

$$1 + 4\alpha_1 k = 1 + \frac{4}{3}k (1 + 3\alpha_1 k + 1 + 2\alpha_1 k + 1 + \alpha_1 k) + O(k^2)$$

Hence  $\alpha_1 = k$ .

Likewise, all the other roots deviate by an amount of  $O(k)$ . The linearised scheme is therefore stable. Convergent

$$\begin{aligned}
 (e) \text{ Consistency: } & u(t_{n+3}) - u(t_{n+1}) - \frac{k}{3} \left[ 7u_f(t_{n+2}) - 2u_f(t_{n+1}) + u_f(t_n) \right] \\
 &= \left[ \cancel{u(t_n)} + 3k u_f(t_n) + O(k^2) \right] - \left[ \cancel{u(t_n)} + k u_f(t_n) + O(k^2) \right] \\
 &\quad - \frac{k}{3} \left[ 6u_f(t_n) + 12k u_{ff}(t_n) + O(k^2) \right] = O(k^4) \text{ with } p \geq 1
 \end{aligned}$$

So it is consistent.

(4)

To investigate stability, we linearise, i.e. we put  $f = \lambda u$ . Then

$$g^3 - g + \frac{k}{3} [7g^2 - 2g + 1]$$

For  $k=0$ , there are three roots:  $g_1 = -1$ ,  $g_2 = 0$ ,  $g_3 = 1$  and for small values of  $k$  they deviate from these values by an amount  $O(k)$ .

So the linearised scheme is again stable. Convergent