

Sheet 2 : solutions

(1) (a) The solution of the pure initial-value problem is

$$u(x,t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi) e^{-\sigma^2 \xi^2 t + i\xi x} d\xi$$

where f is the Fourier transform of the initial datum u_0 . This formula says that

$$f(\xi) e^{-\sigma^2 \xi^2 t}$$

is the Fourier transform of $u(x,t)$. Parseval's identity then says that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u(x,t)|^2 dx &= 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(\xi)|^2 e^{-\sigma^2 \xi^2 t} d\xi \\ &\leq 2\pi \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |u_0(x)|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\| \leq \|u_0\|$$

$$\text{where } \|v\|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |v(x)|^2 dx$$

(b) When using periodic boundary conditions, the method of solution is analogous to that for the pure initial-value problem; the Fourier integral is replaced by a Fourier series.

$$u(x,t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n e^{-\frac{\sigma^2}{2} \xi_n^2 t} e^{i\xi_n x}, \quad \xi_n = \frac{2n\pi}{L}$$

where the f_n are the Fourier coefficients of u_0 . The proof is then as in (a).

(2) (a) By definition of \hat{v}_α , we have

$$\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \hat{v}_\alpha e^{iS_l x_j} = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} v_m e^{-iS_l x_m} \right\} e^{iS_l x_j}$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} v_m \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} e^{il \frac{2\pi}{N} (j-m)}$$

The inner sum is of the form $\sum_{l=0}^{N-1} z^l$ with $z = e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} (j-m)}$, and so it is readily seen that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} e^{il \frac{2\pi}{N} (j-m)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j=m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence the result.

(b) We have

$$\begin{aligned} h \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} u_j \bar{v}_j &= h \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \hat{u}_l e^{iS_l x_j} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \hat{v}_m e^{-iS_m x_j} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} \hat{u}_l \bar{\hat{v}}_m h \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{i_j(l-m) \frac{2\pi}{N}} \\ &= Nh \text{ if } l=m \text{ and } 0 \text{ otherwise} \end{aligned}$$

(c) Put $u=v$ in Parseval's identity.

(3) (a) Done in the lectures. The scheme is first-order accurate in time and second-order accurate in space. The stability condition is $\mu \leq 1/2$.

(b) Put $L_j^n := u(x_j, t_{n+1}) - u(x_j, t_n) - \mu [u(x_{j+1}, t_{n+1}) - 2u(x_j, t_{n+1}) + u(x_{j-1}, t_{n+1})]$ and expand at (x_j, t_{n+1}) :

$$\begin{aligned} L_j^n &= u(x_j, t_{n+1}) - [u(x_j, t_{n+1}) - k u_t(x_j, t_{n+1}) + \frac{k^2}{2} u_{tt}(x_j, t_{n+1}) + \dots] \\ &= \mu [h^2 u_{xx}(x_j, t_{n+1}) + O(h^4)] = O(\nu^2 + k^2) \end{aligned}$$

The order of accuracy is the same as in (a).

von Neumann analysis - put $u_j^n = g^n e^{iS x_j}$, $S h \in [0, 2\pi]$. Then

$$(g^{n+1} - g^n) e^{iS j h} = \mu g^{n+1} [e^{iS h} - 2 + e^{-iS h}] e^{iS j h}$$

Hence, after simplification,

$$g = \frac{1}{1 + 4\mu \sin^2 S h/2}$$

So the scheme is unconditionally stable.

(c) The scheme is an average of the Forward and Backward Euler schemes.

We put

$$L_j^h := u(x_j, t_{n+1}) - \frac{1}{2} \mu \left\{ u(x_{j+1}, t_{n+1}) - 2u(x_j, t_{n+1}) + u(x_{j-1}, t_{n+1}) \right. \\ \left. + u(x_{j+1}, t_n) - 2u(x_j, t_n) + u(x_{j-1}, t_n) \right\} - u(x_j, t_n)$$

Expand at x_j and $t_{n+1/2} := \frac{t_n + t_{n+1}}{2}$ and note that

$$\frac{f(t_n) + f(t_{n+1})}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \left[f(t_{n+1/2}) + \frac{k}{2} f_t(t_{n+1/2}) + \frac{k^2}{8} f_{tt}(t_{n+1/2}) + \frac{k^3}{48} f_{ttt}(t_{n+1/2}) \right. \\ \left. + f(t_{n+1/2}) - \frac{k}{2} f_t(t_{n+1/2}) + \frac{k^2}{8} f_{tt}(t_{n+1/2}) - \frac{k^3}{48} f_{ttt}(t_{n+1/2}) + \dots \right] \\ = f(t_{n+1/2}) + \frac{k^2}{8} f_{tt}(t_{n+1/2}) + O(k^4)$$

whilst

$$f(t_{n+1}) - f(t_n) = k f_t(t_{n+1/2}) + \frac{k^3}{24} f_{ttt}(t_{n+1/2})$$

Hence $L_j^h = k u_t(x_j, t_{n+1/2}) + \frac{k^3}{24} u_{ttt}(x_j, t_{n+1/2}) + \dots$

$$- \mu \left\{ u(x_{j+1}, t_{n+1/2}) - 2u(x_j, t_{n+1/2}) + u(x_{j-1}, t_{n+1/2}) \right\}$$

$$- \frac{\mu k^2}{8} \left\{ u_{xx}(x_{j+1}, t_{n+1/2}) - 2u_{xx}(x_j, t_{n+1/2}) + u_{xx}(x_{j-1}, t_{n+1/2}) \right\}$$

$$= \cancel{\mu u_t(x_j, t_{n+1/2})} + \frac{k^3}{24} u_{ttt}(x_j, t_{n+1/2}) - \mu \left\{ h^2 u_{xxx}(x_j, t_{n+1/2}) + O(h^4) \right\}$$

$$- \frac{\mu k^2}{8} \left\{ h^2 u_{xxx}(x_j, t_{n+1/2}) + O(h^4) \right\} = O(k^3 + kh^2)$$

The scheme is therefore second-order accurate in space and time.

von Neumann analysis yields

$$g = \frac{1 - 2\mu \sin^2 \xi h/2}{1 + 2\mu \sin^2 \xi h/2}$$

Unconditionally stable.

(a) Put $L_j^h = u(x_j, t_{n+1}) - u(x_j, t_n) - 2\mu [u(x_{j+1}, t_n) - 2u(x_j, t_n) + u(x_{j-1}, t_n)]$

Expand at (x_j, t_n) :

We find

$$L_j^n = 2ku_f(x_j, t_n) - \frac{k^3}{3} u_{ttt}(x_j, t_n) - \frac{2k}{h^2} \left[h^2 u_{xx}(x_j, t_n) + \frac{h^4}{12} u_{xxxx}(x_j, t_n) + \dots \right]$$

$$= \frac{k^3}{3} u_{ttt}(x_j, t_n) - \frac{kh^2}{6} u_{xxxx}(x_j, t_n)$$

So the scheme is second-order accurate in both time and space. von Neumann analysis yields

$$\lambda^2 + 2\mu g \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2} - 1 = 0$$

The sum of the roots is strictly negative, and their product is 1. So the scheme is unstable.

(4) If a is constant, then the scheme is simply the Forward Euler scheme with $\mu = ak/h^2$. The stability condition is therefore

$$\mu \leq \frac{1}{2ah^2}$$

(5) We put $u_j^n = g^n e^{iSx_j}$. Then, after simplification, we find

$$\frac{1}{g} = 1 + \frac{ak}{h} (1 - e^{-iSh}) + \frac{4k}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{ak}{h} (1 - \cos \xi h) + \frac{4k}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2} + \frac{iak}{h} \sin \xi h$$

$$= 1 + \frac{2ak}{h} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2} + \frac{4k}{h^2} \sin^2 \frac{\xi h}{2} + \frac{iak}{h} \sin \xi h$$

The real part exceeds 1. So the scheme is unconditionally stable.

Without calculation, it can be seen that the scheme is first-order in space and time. Indeed, it is essentially Forward Euler in time. Furthermore, the discretisation of the au_x term is only $O(h^2)$.