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#### Abstract

We show that in an $L$-annularly linearly connected, $N$-doubling, complete metric space, any $n$ points lie on a $\lambda$-quasicircle, where $\lambda$ depends only on $L, N$ and $n$. This implies, for example, that if $G$ is a hyperbolic group that does not split over any virtually cyclic subgroup, then any geodesic line in $G$ lies in a quasi-isometrically embedded copy of $\mathbb{H}^{2}$.


## 1. Introduction

Menger's theorem for graphs extends to the following topological result, known as the " $n$-Bogensatz" or $n$-arc connectedness theorem.
Theorem 1.1 (Nöb32, Zip33, Why48). If $X$ is a connected, locally connected, locally compact metric space that cannot be disconnected by removing any $n-1$ points, then any two points in $X$ can be joined by $n$ arcs, pairwise disjoint apart from their endpoints.

A well known corollary of this result is that any $n$ points in $X$ lie on a simple closed curve (see Theorem 4.1 and remark in [TV08]).

In this paper, we prove analogues of these theorems for quasi-arcs and quasi-circles using quantitative topological arguments. Quasi-circles arise naturally in geometric function theory and in the study of boundaries of hyperbolic groups, and our results have consequences for the geometry of such groups.
1.1. Statement of results. In 1963, Ahlfors Ahl63 showed that a Jordan curve $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is the image of $\mathbb{S}^{1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ under some quasiconformal homeomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ if and only if $\gamma$ is linearly connected:

Definition 1.2. A complete metric space $(X, d)$ is $L$-linearly connected, for some $L \geq 1$, if for every $x, y \in X$, there exists a continuum $J$ containing $x$ and $y$, so that $\operatorname{diam}(J) \leq L d(x, y)$.

[^0](This is also called $L$-bounded turning. Note that in the above definition, we may assume $J$ is an arc.)

More generally, a quasi-circle (respectively quasi-arc) is a quasisymmetric image of the standard Euclidean circle (respectively interval). Tukia and Väisälä showed that a metric Jordan curve (i.e., a metric space homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^{1}$ ) is a quasi-circle if and only if it is doubling and linearly connected [TV80], and likewise for metric Jordan arcs. Recall that a metric space $(X, d)$ is $N$-doubling, for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, if any ball of radius $r>0$ in $X$ can be covered by $N$ balls of radius $r / 2$.

In the remainder of this paper, we define a $\lambda$-quasi-circle (or $\lambda$-quasiarc) to be a metric Jordan curve (or metric Jordan arc) that is doubling and $\lambda$-linearly connected.

The spaces we study have the property that they have no local cut points, in the following quantitatively controlled sense.

Definition 1.3. Let $(X, d)$ be a metric space. The annulus around $x$ between radii $r$ and $R$ is denoted by $A(x, r, R)=\bar{B}(x, R) \backslash B(x, r)$.

A metric space $(X, d)$ is $L$-annularly linearly connected, for some $L \geq 1$, if it is connected, and given $r>0, p \in X$, any two points $x, y \in A(p, r, 2 r)$ lie in an arc $J$ so that $x, y \in J \subset A(p, r / L, 2 L r)$.
(We may assume (on replacing $L$ by $8 L$ ) that $X$ is also $L$-linearly connected.)

Our main theorems are quantitative versions of Theorems 1.1 and 4.1.

Theorem 1.4. Let $X$ be a $N$-doubling, L-annularly linearly connected, and complete metric space. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\lambda=\lambda(L, N, n)$ so that any distinct $x, y \in X$ can be joined by $n$ different $\lambda$-quasi-arcs, where the concatenation of any two forms a $\lambda$-quasi-circle.

Theorem 1.5. Suppose $(X, d)$ is a non-trivial, $N$-doubling, L-annularly linearly connected, complete metric space. Then any finite set $T \subset X$ lies on a $\lambda$-quasi-circle $\gamma \subset X$, where $\lambda=\lambda(L, N,|T|)$. Moreover, if $|T| \geq 2$ we can ensure that $\operatorname{diam}(\gamma) \leq \lambda \operatorname{diam}(T)$.

Note that these results apply to the boundaries of many hyperbolic groups. For example, we observe the following.

Corollary 1.6. Suppose $G$ is a $\delta$-hyperbolic group, which does not virtually split over any finite or two-ended subgroup. Then any $n$ geodesics in $G$ lie in the image of an $(L, C)$-quasi-isometry $f: \mathbb{H}^{2} \rightarrow G$, where $L$ and $C$ depend only on $G$ and $n$.

Proof. The boundary $\partial_{\infty} G$, given some fixed visual metric, is doubling and annularly linearly connected [Mac10, Proof of Corollary 1.2]. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n} \in \partial_{\infty} G$ be the endpoints of the geodesics.

We apply Theorem 1.5 to find a quasi-circle through $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 n}$, and extend this to find a quasi-isometrically embedded hyperbolic plane $f: \mathbb{H}^{2} \rightarrow G$ [BS00, Theorems 7.4, 8.2]. Up to modifying $f$ by a finite distance, we may assume that the geodesics lie in the image of $f$.
1.2. Background and remarks. Any two points in a connected, locally connected, locally compact metric space can be joined by an arc. The analogous statement for quasi-arcs was proved by Tukia, and is a key tool in this paper.

Theorem 1.7 ([Tuk96, Theorem 1A], Mac08, Corollary 1.2]). Suppose $(X, d)$ is an $N$-doubling, L-linearly connected, complete metric space. Then there exists $\lambda=\lambda(N, L)$ so that any two points in $X$ can be connected by a $\lambda$-quasi-arc.

For quasi-circles, as far as the author knows, the only non-trivial existence result known prior to this paper is the following result of Bonk and Kleiner. (For an analogous statement for certain relatively hyperbolic groups, see [MS11, Theorem 1.3].)

Theorem 1.8 ([BK05, Theorem 1]). If $G$ is a hyperbolic group and its boundary $\partial_{\infty} G$ is not totally disconnected, then $\partial_{\infty} G$ contains a quasi-circle.

Theorem 1.8 is motivated by the problem of finding surface subgroups in hyperbolic groups: undistorted surface subgroups give quasiisometric embeddings of $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ in the group, and quasi-isometric embeddings of $\mathbb{H}^{2}$ exactly correspond to quasi-circles in the boundary. Theorem 1.8 showed that there is no geometric obstruction to finding such a surface subgroup once the group is not virtually free, answering a question of Papasoglu.

This result is proved by using Theorem 1.7, a dynamical argument, and Arzelà-Ascoli; the indirectness involved means that this method cannot show that every point in $\partial_{\infty} G$ lies in a quasi-circle.

We now consider Theorems 1.4 and 1.5. In these results, we cannot weaken the annular linear connectedness condition to the topological condition of Nöbeling. For example, the set $X=\{(x, y): 0 \leq x \leq$ $\left.1,|y| \leq x^{2}\right\}$ is doubling, linearly connected, and has no local cut points, but there is no quasi-circle in $X$ that contains the point $(0,0)$.

One might hope for a stronger result than Theorem 1.5, where rather than a quantitative no local cut points condition, we merely assume a
quantitative version of "cannot be disconnected by removing $N$ points." For example, perhaps in a doubling, LLC, complete metric space, any two points lie on a quasi-circle.

However, our arguments fail in this case, as we strongly use rescaling and Gromov-Hausdorff limits of sequences of spaces. The LLC(2) condition need not be preserved under such limits: consider a sequence of larger and larger circles converging to a line.

On the other hand, Theorem 1.5 is sharp in the following two senses. First, the hypotheses of this theorem do not suffice to ensure that $x_{1}, \ldots x_{n}$ lie on $\gamma$ in the cyclic order given. (Consider the closed unit square, which is doubling and annularly linearly connected, and label the four corners clock-wise $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}$. There is no topologically embedded circle containing these points in cyclic order $x_{1}, x_{3}, x_{2}, x_{4}$.)

Second, $\lambda$ must depend on $n$, otherwise one could take increasingly dense subsets of the sphere and find uniform quasi-circles through these sets. In the limit, this gives a contradiction.

The key technical tool that we use in this paper is a new method of joining two quasi-arcs together to make a quasi-arc. This is described in Section 2. The "quasi-arc $n$-Bogensatz" Theorem 1.4 is proved in Section 3. Finally, we prove Theorem 1.5 in Section 4.
1.3. Notation. We denote balls in a metric space $(X, d)$ by $B(x, r)=$ $\{y \in X: d(x, y)<r\}$. The open neighbourhood of $A \subset X$ of size $r$ is $N(A, r)=\{y \in X: d(y, A)<r\}$. If $B=B(x, r)$, and $t>0$, then $t B=$ $B(x, t r)$. Similarly, if $V=N(A, r)$, and $t>0$, then $t V=N(A, t r)$.

If $C$ is a constant depending only on $C_{1}, C_{2}$, then we write $C=$ $C\left(C_{1}, C_{2}\right)$.
1.4. Acknowledgements. I thank Daniel Groves for bringing this question to my attention, and Bruce Kleiner and Alessandro Sisto for helpful comments.

## 2. Joining together quasi-ARCS

Any arc in a doubling, linearly connected space can be straightened into a quasi-arc.

Theorem 2.1 ([Tuk96, Theorem 1B], [Mac08, Theorem 1.1]). Suppose $(X, d)$ is a L-linearly connected, $N$-doubling, complete metric space. For every arc $A$ in $X$ and every $\epsilon>0$, there is an arc $J$ that $\epsilon$-follows $A$, has the same endpoints as $A$, and is an $\alpha \epsilon$-local $\lambda$-quasi-arc, where $\lambda=\lambda(L, N) \geq 1$ and $\alpha=\alpha(L, N)>0$. That is, for any $x, y \in J$ with $d(x, y) \leq \alpha \epsilon$, we have $\operatorname{diam}(J[x, y]) \leq \lambda d(x, y)$.

The notation we use for arcs is described below.

Definition 2.2 (Mac08]). For any $x$ and $y$ in an embedded arc $A$, let $A[x, y]$ be the closed, possibly trivial, subarc of $A$ that lies between them. Let $A[x, y)=A[x, y] \backslash\{y\}$, and so on.
$A n$ arc $B \iota$-follows an arc $A$ if there exists a (not necessarily continuous) map $p: B \rightarrow A$, sending endpoints to endpoints, such that for all $x, y \in B, B[x, y]$ is in the $\iota$-neighbourhood of $A[p(x), p(y)]$; in particular, $p$ displaces points at most $\iota$.

The goal of this section is to refine Theorem 2.1 to the following situation. Suppose an arc $I$ is formed from two quasi-arcs joined by an $\operatorname{arc} I^{\prime} \subset I$. We show how to modify $I$ only near $I^{\prime}$ to create a quasi-arc.

Theorem 2.3. Let $X$ be an $N$-doubling, L-linearly connected, complete metric space. Let $A$ be an arc formed of three consecutive subarcs $A_{1}=$ $A\left[a_{0}, a_{1}\right], A_{2}=A\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]$ and $A_{3}=A\left[a_{2}, a_{3}\right]$. Suppose that $A_{1}$ and $A_{3}$ are $\epsilon$-local $\lambda$-quasi-arcs, and $d\left(A_{1}, A_{3}\right) \geq 2 \epsilon$.

Then there exists an $\alpha \epsilon$-local $\lambda^{\prime}$-quasi-arc $J$ that $\alpha \epsilon$-follows $A$, for $\alpha=\alpha(L, N, \lambda)>0$ and $\lambda^{\prime}=\lambda^{\prime}(L, N, \lambda)>1$. Moreover, $J$ contains the initial and final connected components of $A \backslash N\left(A_{2}, 2 \epsilon\right)$.

This theorem follows the proof of Theorem 2.1 given in Mac08 verbatim, once we establish the following modified version of Mac08, Proposition 2.1].
Proposition 2.4. We assume the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3. There exists constants $s=s(L, N, \lambda)>0$ and $S=S(L, N, \lambda)>0$ with the following property: for each $\iota \in(0, \epsilon)$ there exists an arc $J$ that $\iota$-follows $A$, contains the initial and final connected components of $A \backslash N\left(A_{2}, 2 \iota\right)$, and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x, y \in J, d(x, y)<s \iota \Longrightarrow \operatorname{diam}(J[x, y])<S \iota . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We modify the proof of [Mac08, Proposition 2.1]. To simplify notation, we replace $L$ by $\max \{L, \lambda\}$.

Let $r=\iota / 20 L$, and let $\mathcal{N}$ be a maximal $r$-separated net in $X$ containing $a_{0}$ and $a_{3}$. Then there exists $\delta=\delta(L, N, \lambda) \in(0,1)$ and a collection of sets $\left\{V_{x}\right\}_{x \in \mathcal{N}}$ so that each $V_{x}$ is a union of finitely many (closed) arcs in $X$, and for all $x, y \in \mathcal{N}$ :
(1) $d(x, y) \leq 2 r \Longrightarrow y \in V_{x}$.
(2) $\operatorname{diam}\left(V_{x}\right) \leq 5 L r$.
(3) $V_{x} \cap V_{y}=\emptyset \Longrightarrow d\left(V_{x}, V_{y}\right)>\delta r$.
(4) $B(x, r) \cap\left(A_{1} \cup A_{3}\right) \subset V_{x}$.

To show this, we follow the proof of [Mac08, Lemma 3.1], with the exception that when we construct $V_{x}^{(0)}$, we also add closed arcs from $B(x, 2 r) \cap\left(A_{1} \cup A_{3}\right)$ so that the hypotheses of (4) are satisfied, and
arcs joining them to $x$ in $B(x, 2 r L)$. Observe that $\operatorname{diam}\left(V_{x}^{(0)}\right) \leq 4 L r$, so the rest of the proof of Mac08, Lemma 3.1] follows unchanged.

Now cover $A_{2}$ by connected open arcs which lie in some $B(z, r), z \in$ $\mathcal{N}$, and take a finite subcover of $A_{2}$. Let $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m}$ be points in $A_{2}$ lying in the arcs corresponding to this cover, in the order given by $A_{2}$, and let $z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{m} \subset \mathcal{N}$ be the centres of the associated balls.

The collection of sets $\left\{V_{x}\right\}$ is locally finite, and each $V_{x}$ is compact, so there exists a point $q_{0} \in A_{1}$ that is the first point in $A_{1}$ to be contained in some $V_{w_{0}}$ which meets $\bigcup_{j} V_{z_{j}}$.

Let $K$ be the union of $V_{x}$ so that $V_{x} \cap A_{3} \neq \emptyset$. Define $w_{i}$ inductively as follows, for $i>0$. If $V_{w_{i-1}} \cap K \neq \emptyset$, set $n=i$, and stop. Otherwise, let $k_{i}=\max \left\{j: V_{w_{i-1}} \cap V_{z_{j}} \neq \emptyset\right\}$, set $w_{i}=z_{k_{i}}$, and continue.

Finally, let $q_{n+1}$ be the last point in $A_{3}$ to be contained in some $V_{w_{n}}$ meeting $V_{w_{n-1}}$. By (1), this process is well defined.

We use this sequence to build our path $J$ in stages. Set $J_{-1}=$ $A_{1}\left[a_{0}, q_{0}\right]$. Let $J_{0}$ be an arc in $V_{w_{0}}$ that joins $q_{0}$ to $q_{1} \in V_{w_{1}}$, where $J_{0} \cap A\left[a_{0}, q_{0}\right]=\left\{q_{0}\right\}$ and $J_{0} \cap V_{w_{1}}=\left\{q_{1}\right\}$. Now for $i$ from 1 to $n-1$, let $J_{i}$ be an arc in $V_{w_{i}}$ that joins $q_{i}$ in $V_{w_{i}}$ to some $q_{i+1} \in V_{w_{i+1}}$, where $q_{i+1}$ is the first point of $J_{i}$ to meet $V_{w_{i+1}}$. Let $J_{n}$ be an arc in $V_{w_{n}}$ that joins $q_{n}$ to $q_{n+1} \in A\left[q_{n+1}, a_{3}\right]$, where $J_{n} \cap A\left[q_{n+1}, a_{3}\right]=\left\{q_{n+1}\right\}$. To finish, we set $J_{n+1}=A\left[q_{n+1}, a_{3}\right]=A_{3}\left[q_{n+1}, a_{3}\right]$.

We claim that the $\operatorname{arc} J=J_{-1} \cup J_{0} \cup \cdots \cup J_{n+1}$ satisfies our conclusions, for suitable $s$ and $S$.

To show that $J \iota$-follows $A$, define a coarse map $f: J \rightarrow A$ as follows. If $x \in J_{-1} \cup J_{n+1}$, let $f(x)=x$. If $x \in J\left[q_{0}, q_{1}\right)$, set $f(x)=q_{0}$, and if $x \in J\left[q_{n}, q_{n+1}\right]$, set $f(x)=q_{n+1}$, For $x \in J\left[q_{i}, q_{i+1}\right), i=1, \ldots, n-1$, set $f(x)=y_{k_{i}} \in A_{2} \cap B\left(z_{k_{i}}, r\right)$.

It is straightforward to check that $f$ satisfies the definition of $\iota$ following. For example, suppose $y \in J_{i}, y^{\prime} \in J_{i^{\prime}}$ and $1 \leq i \leq i^{\prime} \leq n-1$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
J\left[y, y^{\prime}\right] & \subset J\left[q_{i}, q_{i^{\prime}+1}\right] \subset N\left(\left\{w_{i}, \ldots, w_{i^{\prime}}\right\}, 5 L r\right) \\
& \subset N\left(\left\{y_{k_{i}}, \ldots, y_{k_{i^{\prime}}}\right\}, 5 L r+r\right) \subset N\left(A\left[y_{k_{i}}, y_{k_{i^{\prime}}}\right], 5 L r+r\right) \\
& \subset N\left(A\left[f(y), f\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right], \iota\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The other cases follow in similar fashion.
As $f$ is the identity on $J_{-1} \cup J_{n+1}$, and $d\left(q_{0}, A_{2}\right), d\left(q_{n+1}, A_{2}\right)<\iota, J$ contains the required components of $A_{1}$ and $A_{3}$.

All that remains is to show that $J$ satisfies $* *$. Suppose that $y \in$ $J_{i}, y^{\prime} \in J_{i^{\prime}}$, with $y<y^{\prime}$ in $J$, and $d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)<r \delta$. There are four cases.
(i) If $i=i^{\prime}=-1$ or $i=i^{\prime}=n+1$, we have $\operatorname{diam}\left(J\left[y, y^{\prime}\right]\right) \leq$ $\lambda d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \leq L r \delta$.
(ii) If $0 \leq i, i^{\prime} \leq n$, then $d\left(V_{w_{i}}, V_{w_{i^{\prime}}}\right)<r \delta$ so by construction and (3) we have $\left|i-i^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$ and thus by (2), $\operatorname{diam}\left(J\left[y, y^{\prime}\right]\right) \leq 10 L r$.
(iii) If $i=-1, i^{\prime} \geq 0$ then by (4), $y$ lies in some $V_{x}$, and $d\left(V_{x}, V_{w_{i^{\prime}}}\right) \leq$ $d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)<\delta r$, so by (3) and construction, $i^{\prime}=0$. Thus $d\left(y^{\prime}, q_{0}\right) \leq$ $\operatorname{diam}\left(V_{w_{0}}\right) \leq 5 L r$, and $d\left(y, q_{0}\right) \leq 5 L r+d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)<(5 L+\delta) r$. So $\operatorname{diam}\left(J\left[y, y^{\prime}\right]\right)=\operatorname{diam}\left(J\left[y, q_{0}\right] \cup J\left[q_{0}, y^{\prime}\right]\right) \leq \lambda(5 L+\delta) r+5 L r \leq 11 L^{2} r$.
(iv) The case $i \leq n, i^{\prime}=n+1$ follows similarly to (iii).

We let $s=\delta r / \iota=\delta / 20 L$ and $S=\max \left\{\operatorname{Lr} \delta, 10 L r, 11 L^{2} r\right\} / \iota=$ $11 L / 20$, and have proven the proposition.

## 3. Many quasi-arcs between two points

Our goal in this section is the following theorem.
Theorem 1.4 Let $X$ be a $N$-doubling, L-annularly linearly connected, and complete metric space. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $\lambda=\lambda(L, N, n)$ so that any distinct $x, y \in X$ can be joined by $n$ different $\lambda$-quasi-arcs, so that the concatenation of any two forms a $\lambda$-quasi-circle.

The key part of this theorem is the following proposition that splits a quasi-arc into two relatively close and separated quasi-arcs. This uses arguments similar to [Mac10, Section 3].

Proposition 3.1. Given $\lambda_{0} \geq 1, \epsilon>0$, there exists $\lambda=\lambda\left(L, N, \lambda_{0}, \epsilon\right) \geq$ 1 and $\eta=\eta\left(L, N, \lambda_{0}, \epsilon\right)>0$ with the following property:

For any $\lambda_{0}$-quasi-arc $A=A[a, b]$ in an $N$-doubling, L-annularly linearly connected, complete metric space $X$, there exist two $\lambda$-quasi-arcs $J=J[a, b]$ and $J^{\prime}=J^{\prime}[a, b]$ with the following properties:

For all $z \in\left(J \cup J^{\prime}\right) \backslash\{a, b\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
d(z, A) & \leq \epsilon d(z,\{a, b\}), \text { and }  \tag{3.2}\\
\max \left\{d(z, J), d\left(z, J^{\prime}\right)\right\} & \geq \eta d(z,\{a, b\}) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We may rescale so that $d(a, b)=1$, and assume that $\epsilon<1$. Let $\delta=1 / 10 \lambda_{0}$.

We consider $A$ in the natural order from $a$ to $b$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, let $x_{-i}$ be the first point in $A$ at distance $\delta^{i}$ from $a$, and let $x_{i}$ be the last point in $A$ at distance $\delta^{i}$ from $b$.

Let $D_{1}=\epsilon \delta / 3 \lambda_{0}$, and for $i \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$, let $B_{i}=B\left(x_{i}, D_{1} \delta^{|i|}\right)$.
For $i<0$ let $A_{i}=A\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]$, let $A_{0}=A\left[x_{-1}, x_{1}\right]$, and for $i>0$ let $A_{i}=A\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]$. Set $D_{2}=D_{1} \delta / 10 \lambda_{0} L$, and for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $V_{i}=$ $N\left(A_{i}, D_{2} \delta^{|i|}\right)$.

Lemma 3.4. These neighbourhoods have the following properties:
(1) If $i \neq j$, then $B_{i} \cap B_{j}=\emptyset$.


Figure 1. Splitting a quasi-arc into a quasi-circle
(2) If $i<0$ and $j<i$, then $V_{i+1} \cap B_{j}=V_{i+1} \cap V_{j}=B_{i} \cap V_{j}=\emptyset$.
(3) If $i<0$, then $\left(V_{i} \cap V_{i-1}\right) \subset B_{i-1}$.

Proof. (1) This is immediate.
(2) This follows from the following claim. If for some $i<0$, we have $z \in A\left[a, x_{i-1}\right], z^{\prime} \in A\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]$ then $d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \geq D_{1} \delta^{|i-1|}+D_{1} \delta^{|i+1|}$, for otherwise

$$
\operatorname{diam}\left(A\left[z, z^{\prime}\right]\right) \leq \lambda_{0}\left(D_{1} \delta^{|i-1|}+D_{2} \delta^{|i+1|}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \delta^{|i|}
$$

but

$$
\operatorname{diam}\left(A\left[z, z^{\prime}\right]\right) \geq \operatorname{diam}\left(A\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i}\right]\right) \geq \delta^{|i|}-\delta^{|i-1|} \geq \frac{2}{3} \delta^{|i|}
$$

(3) If not, there exist $z \in A_{i-1}, z^{\prime} \in A_{i}$ outside $\frac{1}{2} B_{i-1}$, so that $d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \leq D_{2} \delta^{|i-1|}+D_{2} \delta^{|i|}$. Therefore

$$
\operatorname{diam}\left(A\left[z, z^{\prime}\right]\right) \leq \lambda_{0} D_{2} \delta^{|i-1|}\left(1+\delta^{-1}\right) \leq \frac{1}{10} D_{1} \delta^{|i-1|}
$$

but $A\left[z, z^{\prime}\right]$ must pass through the centre of $\frac{1}{2} B_{i-1}$, so $\operatorname{diam}\left(A\left[z, z^{\prime}\right]\right) \geq$ $\frac{1}{2} D_{1} \delta^{|i-1|}$, a contradiction.

We now split $A$ into two disjoint arcs along the subarcs $A_{2 i}, i \in \mathbb{Z}$. See Figure 1 .
Lemma 3.5. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can find two $\lambda_{1}$-quasi-arcs $J_{2 i}$, $J_{2 i}^{\prime}$ that $\frac{1}{2} D_{2} \delta^{|2 i|}$-follow $A_{2 i}$, and are $\eta_{1} \delta^{|2 i|}$ separated, where $\eta_{1}=\eta_{1}\left(L, N, \lambda_{0}, \epsilon\right)>$ 0 and $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}\left(L, N, \lambda_{0}, \epsilon\right)>1$.
Proof. Using [Mac10, Lemma 3.3] with " $\epsilon$ " equal to $\frac{1}{4} D_{2} \delta^{|2 i|}$, we split $A_{2 i}$ into two $2 \eta_{1} \delta^{|2 i|}$ separated arcs that $\frac{1}{4} D_{2} \delta^{|2 i|}$-follow $A_{2 i}$, for some $\eta_{1}=\eta_{1}\left(L, N, \epsilon, \lambda_{0}\right) \in\left(0, \frac{1}{4} D_{2}\right)$. We then apply Theorem 2.1 to these arcs with " $\epsilon$ " equal to $\frac{1}{2} \eta_{1} \delta^{|2 i|}$, to get two $\frac{1}{2} \alpha \eta_{1} \delta^{|2 i|}$-local $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}$-quasi-arcs $J_{2 i}, J_{2 i}^{\prime}$ that $\frac{1}{2} D_{2} \delta^{|2 i|}$-follow $A\left[x_{1}, y_{1}\right]$ and are $\eta_{1} \delta^{|2 i|}$-separated, for suitable $\alpha=\alpha(L, N)$ and $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}=\lambda_{1}^{\prime}(L, N)$.

Every $\beta$-local $\mu$-quasi-arc of diameter $D$ is a $\max \{\mu, D / \beta\}$-quasi-arc, and these arcs have diameter at most $2 \lambda_{0} \delta^{|2 i|}$, so $J_{2 i}$, $J_{2 i}^{\prime}$ are $\lambda_{1}$-quasiarcs, for $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}\left(\alpha, \eta_{1}, \lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.

Now, following the proof of [Mac10, Lemma 3.5], for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, one can join the pair of $\operatorname{arcs} J_{2 i}, J_{2 i}^{\prime}$ to the arcs $J_{2 i-2}, J_{2 i-2}^{\prime}$ inside $\frac{1}{2} B_{2 i-1} \cup$ $\frac{1}{2} V_{2 i-1} \cup \frac{1}{2} B_{2 i-2}$, with control on the separation between the resulting arcs. We prove this in the case $i \leq 0 ; i>0$ is handled similarly.

The separation properties of Lemma 3.4 ensure that the following process can be applied independently in each location.

Topological joining: Join the endpoints of $J_{2 i}, J_{2 i}^{\prime}$ to $A$ inside the ball $B\left(x_{2 i-1}, \frac{1}{2} L D_{2} \delta^{|2 i|}\right)=\left(L D_{2} / 2 D_{1} \delta\right) B_{2 i-1}$. Similarly, join the endpoints of $J_{2 i-2}, J_{2 i-2}^{\prime}$ to $A$ inside $\left(L D_{2} / 2 D_{1}\right) B_{2 i-2}$.

We "unzip" $A$ along this segment to join the two pairs of $\operatorname{arcs} J_{2 i}, J_{2 i}^{\prime}$ and $J_{2 i-2}, J_{2 i-2}^{\prime}$ by two disjoint $\operatorname{arcs} \tilde{J}_{2 i-1}, \tilde{J}_{2 i-1}^{\prime}$ in $\frac{1}{4} V_{2 i-1}$ (see Mac10, Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.5]). This involves discarding the ends of the four given arcs, but all changes take place inside $\frac{1}{4} B_{2 i-2} \cup \frac{1}{4} V_{2 i-1} \cup \frac{1}{4} B_{2 i-1}$.

Quantitative control: As in Mac10, Lemma 3.5], compactness arguments ensure that $d\left(\tilde{J}_{2 i-1}, \tilde{J}_{2 i-1}^{\prime}\right) \geq 2 \eta_{2} \delta^{|2 i-1|}$, for some value $\eta_{2}=$ $\eta_{2}\left(L, N, \epsilon, \lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}\right)>0$.

We now straighten these separated arcs into quasi-arcs.
Straightening: We assume, after swapping $J_{*}, J_{*}^{\prime}$ if necessary, that $\tilde{J}_{2 i-1}$ joins $J_{2 i}$ and $J_{2 i-2}$, and that $\tilde{J}_{2 i-1}^{\prime}$ joins $J_{2 i}^{\prime}$ and $J_{2 i-2}^{\prime}$.

We apply Theorem 2.3 to $J_{2 i-2} \cup \tilde{J}_{2 i-1} \cup J_{2 i}$ with " $\epsilon$ " equal to $\frac{1}{2} \eta_{2} \delta^{|2 i-1|}$, to straighten the arc into a $\lambda_{2}$-quasi-arc $J_{2 i-2} \cup J_{2 i-1} \cup J_{2 i}$, making changes only in $\frac{1}{2} B_{2 i-2} \cup \frac{1}{2} V_{2 i-1} \cup \frac{1}{2} B_{2 i-1}$. Here $\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{2}\left(L, N, \eta_{2}, \lambda_{1}\right) \geq$ $\lambda_{1}$. Again, we may discard ends of $J_{2 i}, J_{2 i}^{\prime}, J_{2 i-2}, J_{2 i-2}^{\prime}$ in $\frac{1}{2} B_{2 i-2} \cup \frac{1}{2} B_{2 i}$.

We claim that the $\operatorname{arcs} J=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} J_{i}$ and $J^{\prime}=\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} J_{i}^{\prime}$ satisfy our requirements.

Lemma 3.6. $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ are $\lambda$-quasi-arcs, for $\lambda=\lambda\left(L, N, \lambda_{0}, \epsilon\right)$.
Proof. Suppose $x, y \in J$, where $x \in J_{i}$ and $y \in J_{j}, i \leq j$. It suffices to consider the following three cases.

If $|i-j| \leq 1$, then $\operatorname{diam}(J[x, y]) \leq \lambda_{2} d(x, y)$.
If $i<0<j$ then $d(a, x), d(b, y) \leq \frac{1}{5}$, so $\operatorname{diam}(J[x, y]) \leq \operatorname{diam}(J) \leq$ $2 \lambda_{0} \leq 4 \lambda_{0} d(x, y)$.

If $i+1<j \leq 0$, then $x \in \frac{1}{2} B_{i-1} \cup \frac{1}{2} V_{i} \cup \frac{1}{2} B_{i}$, and $y \in \frac{1}{2} B_{j-1} \cup \frac{1}{2} V_{j} \cup \frac{1}{2} B_{j}$ (where $B_{0}=B_{1}$ ). Thus by Lemma 3.4, $d(x, y) \geq \frac{1}{2} D_{2} \delta^{|j|}$, so

$$
\operatorname{diam}(J[x, y]) \leq \operatorname{diam}\left(J\left[a, x_{j}\right]\right) \leq 2 \lambda_{0} \delta^{|j|} \leq \frac{4 \lambda_{0}}{D_{2}} d(x, y)
$$

We set $\lambda=\max \left\{\lambda_{2}, 4 \lambda_{0} / D_{2}\right\}$, and are done.
It remains to check the neighbourhood and separation conditions.
Lemma 3.7. $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ satisfy (3.2).

Proof. It suffices to consider $z \in J_{i}, i \leq 0$. If $z \in \frac{1}{2} B_{i-1}$, then $d(z, A)<$ $\frac{1}{2} D_{1} \delta^{|i-1|}$, and $d(z, a)>\delta^{|i-1|}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} D_{1}\right)>\frac{1}{2} \delta^{|i-1|}$. Therefore, as $D_{1}<\epsilon$, (3.2) holds. Similarly, if $z \in \frac{1}{2} B_{i}$, (3.2) holds.

It remains to check when $z \in \frac{1}{2} V_{i}$. Then there exists some $z^{\prime} \in A_{i}$ so that $d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} D_{2} \delta^{|i|}$. Thus as $d\left(a, A_{i}\right) \geq \delta^{|i-1|} / \lambda_{0}$,

$$
d(z, a) \geq d\left(z^{\prime}, a\right)-\frac{1}{2} D_{2} \delta^{|i|} \geq \delta^{|i|}\left(\frac{\delta}{\lambda_{0}}-\frac{D_{2}}{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{20 \lambda_{0}^{2}} \delta^{|i|} .
$$

Since $d(z, A) \leq \frac{1}{2} D_{2} \delta^{|i|}$, and $20 \lambda_{0}^{2} D_{2} / 2 \leq \epsilon$, we are done.
Lemma 3.8. $J$ and $J^{\prime}$ satisfy (3.3), for some $\eta=\eta\left(L, N, \epsilon, \lambda_{0}\right)$.
Proof. Suppose $z \in J_{i} \subset J$, for $i \leq 0$. Let $z^{\prime} \in J^{\prime}$ be the closest point to $z$. If $z^{\prime} \in J_{i-1}^{\prime} \cup J_{i}^{\prime} \cup J_{i+1}^{\prime}$, then $d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \geq \min \left\{\eta_{1} \delta^{|i|}, \eta_{2} \delta^{|i-1|}\right\}$. Otherwise, by Lemma 3.4, $d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2} D_{2} \delta^{|i|}$.

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1.
Observe that the relative separation condition (3.3) proven above suffices to show that we have a quasi-circle.

Lemma 3.9. If $J, J^{\prime}$ are two $\lambda$-quasi-arcs with the same endpoints $a, b$, and satisfying (3.3) for some $\eta \in(0,1)$, then $\gamma=J \cup J^{\prime}$ is a $6 \lambda / \eta$ -quasi-circle.

Proof. Clearly $\gamma$ is a topological circle. Let $x, y \in \gamma$ be two points we wish to check for linear connectivity. The only non-trivial case is when (up to relabelling) $x \in J \backslash\{a, b\}$ and $y \in J^{\prime} \backslash\{a, b\}$.

First suppose that $d(\{x, y\},\{a, b\}) \geq \frac{1}{2} d(a, b)$, Then by (3.3), we have $d(x, y) \geq \eta \cdot \frac{1}{2} d(a, b)$, so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{diam}(\gamma[x, y]) \leq \operatorname{diam}(\gamma) \leq 2 \lambda d(a, b) \leq \frac{4 \lambda}{\eta} d(x, y) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma[x, y]$ denotes an appropriate subarc of $\gamma$.
Otherwise, we may suppose that $d(x, a) \leq \frac{1}{2} d(a, b)$, and so $d(x, y) \geq$ $\eta \cdot d(x, a)$. If $d(x, y) \geq \frac{1}{3} d(a, b)$ then as in (3.10) we have $\operatorname{diam}(\gamma) \leq$ $6 \lambda d(x, y)$. So we assume that $d(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{3} d(a, b)$, giving that $d(y, a) \leq$ $\frac{5}{6} d(a, b)$, hence $d(y, b) \geq \frac{1}{5} d(y, a)$. Thus $d(x, y) \geq \eta \cdot \frac{1}{5} d(y, a)$. Therefore,
$\operatorname{diam}(\gamma[x, y]) \leq \operatorname{diam}(J[a, x])+\operatorname{diam}\left(J^{\prime}[a, y]\right) \leq \lambda d(a, x)+\lambda d(a, y)$

$$
\leq \frac{\lambda}{\eta} d(x, y)+\frac{5 \lambda}{\eta} d(x, y)=\frac{6 \lambda}{\eta} d(x, y)
$$

We now complete the proof of the "quasi-arc $n$-Bogensatz."

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We may assume that $n=2^{m}$.
We claim that by induction on $m$, we can find $\kappa_{m}=\kappa_{m}(L, N) \geq 1$ and $\eta_{m}=\eta_{m}(L, N) \in(0,1)$ so that there are $2^{m}$ different $\kappa_{m}$-quasi-arcs from $x$ to $y$ that pairwise satisfy (3.3) with $\eta=\eta_{m}$.

The $m=0$ case follows from Theorem 1.7, finding a $\kappa_{0}$-quasi-arc between $x$ and $y$, where $\kappa_{0}=\kappa_{0}(L, N)$. We set $\eta_{0}=1$.

For the induction step with $m \geq 1$, apply Proposition 3.1 to each of the $2^{m-1}$ previous $\kappa_{m-1}$-quasi-arcs, with $\epsilon_{m}=\frac{1}{4} \eta_{m-1}$. This results in $2^{m}$ different $\kappa_{m}$-quasi-arcs, pairwise satisfying (3.3) for some value of $\eta$ which we denote by $\eta_{m}$. (Here $\kappa_{m}=\kappa_{m}\left(L, N, \kappa_{m-1}, \epsilon_{m}\right)>1$, and $\eta_{m}=\eta_{m}\left(L, N, \kappa_{m-1}, \epsilon_{m}\right)>0$.

Finally, Lemma 3.9 completes the proof.

## 4. Quasi-circles through $n$ points

The following corollary of the $n$-Bogensatz is well known. To motivate the proof of Theorem 1.5, we include a short proof.

Theorem 4.1. Let $X$ be a connected, locally connected and locally compact metric space. If $n \geq 2$ and $X$ is not disconnected by the removal of any $n-1$ points, then any $n$ points in $X$ lie on a simple closed curve.

Proof. The $n=2$ case is just a restatement of Theorem 1.1.
We prove the $n>2$ case by induction. Suppose $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are given. By induction, we can find a simple closed curve $\gamma$ containing $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}$; we relabel so that they are in the cyclic order $x_{1}, \ldots x_{n-1}$. Let $D$ be a closed disc with centre labelled $x_{*}$, and choose subsets $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots y_{n}\right\} \subset \partial D$ and $\left\{y_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, y_{n}^{\prime}\right\} \subset \gamma$. Let $Y$ be the topological space formed from $D$ and $X$ by gluing together $y_{i}$ and $y_{i}^{\prime}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.

The space $Y$ is connected, locally connected, locally compact, and cannot be disconnected by the removal of any $n-1$ points. Thus Theorem 1.1 gives $n$ disjoint $\operatorname{arcs} \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ from $x_{*}$ to $x_{n}$ in $Y$. For each $i=1, \ldots, n$, let $\beta_{i}$ be the closed, connected subarc of $\alpha_{i}$ which contains $x_{n}$ and exactly one point $z_{i}$ of $\gamma$. Each point $z_{i}$ lies in one of $\gamma\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \gamma\left[x_{2}, x_{3}\right), \ldots \gamma\left[x_{n-1}, x_{1}\right)$. By the pigeonhole principle, two of the points lie in the same interval, and so we use these two $\beta$ arcs to find a simple closed curve containing $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.

This proof cannot be used directly in the quasi-arc case: the space $Y$ has local cut points. Moreover, to apply the straightening techniques of Theorem 2.3 we need a quasi-arc of controlled size through each $x_{i}$. In adapting this proof, the following corollary of the $n$-Bogensatz, due to Zippin, will be useful.

Theorem 4.2 ([Zip33, Corollary 9]). Let $X$ be a connected, locally connected, locally compact, separable metric space. If $A, B \subset X$ are compact subsets of size at least $n$, and there is no subset $S \subset X$ of size at most $n-1$ so that $A \backslash S$ and $B \backslash S$ lie in different components of $X \backslash S$, then there exists $n$ disjoint arcs joining $A$ and $B$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. The $n=1$ and $n=2$ cases follow from Theorem 1.4. We prove the $n>2$ case by strong induction.

By induction, there exists $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}(L, N, n-1)$ so that any set $T$ of at most $n-1$ points in an $N$-doubling, $L$-annularly linearly connected, complete metric space $X$ must lie in a $\lambda_{1}$-quasi-circle $\gamma$ with $\operatorname{diam}(\gamma) \leq$ $\lambda_{1} \operatorname{diam}(T)$.

Suppose $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are given. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \leq d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)$ for all $i \neq j$, and that $d\left(x_{1}, x_{i}\right) \leq$ $d\left(x_{1}, x_{i+1}\right)$ for $i=2, \ldots, n-1$. We rescale so that $d\left(x_{1}, x_{n}\right)=1$.

Let $s=d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), S=\operatorname{diam}\left(\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}\right) \in[1,2]$, and set $\delta=$ $1 / 200 L^{2} \lambda_{1}^{3}$.

The proof splits into two cases.
Case 1: Suppose $s \geq \delta^{n-1}$.
By induction, there exists a $\lambda_{1}$-quasi-circle $\alpha_{1}$ through $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of diameter at most $2 \lambda_{1}$, and at least $s$. We relabel $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ so that they lie in $\alpha_{1}$ in this cyclic order.

Now suppose $d\left(x_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right) \leq s / 10 L \lambda_{1}$. Then one can alter $\alpha_{1}$ using a detour in $A\left(x_{1}, s / 10 L^{2} \lambda_{1}, s / 5 \lambda_{1}\right)$ to find a simple closed curve $\alpha_{2}$ which does not meet $B\left(x_{1}, s / 10 L^{2} \lambda_{1}\right)$. Since this only cuts out loops of $\alpha_{1}$ in $B\left(x_{1}, s / 5\right), \alpha_{2}$ agrees with $\alpha_{1}$ outside $B\left(x_{1}, s / 5\right)$, and is a $\lambda_{1}$-quasiarc there. Therefore we can apply Theorem 2.3 with $\epsilon=s / 100 L^{2} \lambda_{1}$ to straighten $\alpha_{2}$ into a $\lambda_{2}$-quasi-circle $\beta_{1}$, which passes through $x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$, and does not meet $B\left(x_{1}, s / 20 L^{2} \lambda_{1}\right)$, for $\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{2}\left(L, N, \lambda_{1}, s / S\right) \geq \lambda_{1}$.

If $d\left(x_{1}, \alpha_{1}\right) \geq s / 10 L \lambda_{1}$, then we set $\beta_{1}=\alpha_{1}$ and continue.
By the $n=2$ case of the theorem, we find a $\lambda_{1}$-quasi-circle $\beta_{2}$ through $x_{1}$ of diameter at least $s / 50 L^{2} \lambda_{1}^{2}$, inside $B\left(x_{1}, s / 40 L^{2} \lambda_{1}\right)$.

As $X$ has no local cut points, no two disjoint compacta can be separated by removing any finite number of points. Therefore, Theorem 4.2 implies that we can join $\beta_{1}$ to $\beta_{2}$ by $2 n$ disjoint arcs inside $B\left(x_{1}, 4 L S\right)$. We can control the separation of these arcs.

Lemma 4.3 (Cf. [Mac10, Lemma 3.3]). We can join $\beta_{1}$ to $\beta_{2}$ by $2 n$ arcs in $B\left(x_{1}, 4 \lambda_{1} L S\right)$ that are $\delta_{*} S$-separated, for $\delta_{*}=\delta_{*}\left(L, N, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, s / S\right)>$ 0 .

Proof. This follows from a compactness argument: if not, there is a sequence of configurations giving counterexamples. To be precise, we
can find (on rescaling to $S=1$ ), a sequence

$$
\left\{\mathcal{C}^{i}=\left(X^{(i)}, x_{1}^{(i)}, \beta_{1}^{(i)}, \beta_{2}^{(i)}\right)\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}
$$

so that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}, X^{(i)}$ is an $L$-annularly linearly connected, $N$ doubling, complete metric space with base point $x_{1}^{(i)}$, and $\beta_{1}^{(i)}$ and $\beta_{2}^{(i)}$ are $\lambda_{2}$-quasi-circles in $B\left(x_{1}^{(i)}, 2 \lambda_{1} S\right)$, with uniformly controlled diameter and separation. Moreover, there do not exist $2 n$ disjoint arcs connecting $\beta_{1}^{(i)}$ to $\beta_{2}^{(i)}$ which are $1 / i$ separated.

Such configurations have a subsequence that converges to a limit configuration ( $X^{\infty}, x_{1}^{\infty}, \beta_{1}^{\infty}, \beta_{2}^{\infty}$ ) in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology. We apply Theorem 4.2 to the limit space $X^{\infty}$ to find $2 n$ disjoint arcs joining $\beta_{1}^{\infty}$ to $\beta_{2}^{\infty}$ inside $B\left(x_{1}^{\infty}, 3 \lambda_{1} L S\right)$. As these arcs are disjoint, they are separated by some definite distance. These arcs will then lift back to $\mathcal{C}^{i}$ for sufficiently large $i$ to give a contradiction.

Now of these $2 n$ arcs, at most $n$ of them can be $\frac{1}{2} \delta_{*} S$ close to any of the $n$ different points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Therefore, we can find $n \operatorname{arcs}$ $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}^{\prime}$ which join $\beta_{1}$ to $\beta_{2}$, are $\delta_{*} S$-separated, and have distance at least $\frac{1}{2} \delta_{*} S$ from any $x_{i}$.

By the pigeonhole principle, two of the arcs in $\left\{\gamma_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$ must have endpoints that lie in the same arc out of $\beta_{1}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right), \ldots, \beta_{1}\left(x_{n-1}, x_{n}\right)$ and $\beta_{1}\left(x_{n}, x_{2}\right)$. Let us call these arcs $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{1}\left[y_{1}, z_{1}\right]$ and $\gamma_{2}=\gamma_{2}\left[y_{2}, z_{2}\right]$, where $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \beta_{1}$, and $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \beta_{2}$.

Let $\gamma_{3}$ be the simple closed curve formed from $\beta_{1}\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ (containing $\left.x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$, and $\beta_{2}\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]$ (containing $x_{1}$ ). As $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ are quasiarcs, and we have control on the distance of $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ from $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, we can apply Theorem 2.3 to straighten $\gamma_{2}$ into a $\lambda$-quasi-circle $\gamma$, where $\lambda=\lambda\left(L, N, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \delta_{*}, s / S\right)=\lambda(L, N, n)$. Moreover, $\operatorname{diam}(\gamma) \leq 4 \lambda_{1} S$ as desired.

Case 2: Suppose $s<\delta^{n-1}$.
This case is similar to Case 1, except now $s$ may be arbitrarily small, so we replace $\beta_{2}$ by a quasi-circle through $x_{1}$ and all points close to it.

Consider the set $U=\left\{d\left(x_{1}, x_{i}\right)\right\}_{i=3}^{n-1}$ of size $n-3$. One of the intersections $U \cap\left[\delta^{n-1}, \delta^{n-2}\right), \ldots, U \cap\left[\delta^{2}, \delta^{1}\right)$ is empty. Thus there exists $m \in\{2, \ldots, n-1\}$ so that $d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right) \leq \delta d\left(x_{1}, x_{m+1}\right)$.

Let $\alpha_{1}$ be a $\lambda_{1}$-quasi-circle through $\left\{x_{1}, x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. Similarly to case 1 , use the $L$-annularly linearly connected property for $A\left(x_{1}, 4 \lambda_{1} L d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right), 8 \lambda_{1} L d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right)\right)$ to find a circle $\alpha_{2}$ that detours $\alpha_{1}$ around $B\left(x_{1}, 4 \lambda_{1} d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right)\right)$, while only cutting out loops in

$$
B\left(x_{1}, 8 \lambda_{1}^{2} L^{2} d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right)\right) \subset B\left(x_{1}, \frac{4}{5} d\left(x_{1}, x_{m+1}\right)\right)
$$



Figure 2. Joining two quasi-circles in case 2

In particular, $\alpha_{2}$ contains $\left\{x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, and we relabel so they are in this cyclic order.

We use Theorem 2.3 with $\epsilon=\lambda_{1} d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right)$ to straighten $\alpha_{2}$ into a quasi-circle $\beta_{1}$ which remains outside $B\left(x_{1}, 3 \lambda_{1} d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right)\right)$. Moreover, $\beta_{1}$ will $9 \lambda_{1} L d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right)$-follow $\alpha_{1}$. Inside $B\left(x_{1}, 9 \lambda_{1}^{2} L^{2} d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right)\right)$, $\beta_{1}$ is a $\lambda_{3}$-quasi-arc, where $\lambda_{3}=\lambda_{3}\left(L, N, \lambda_{1}\right)$ is independent of $d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right)$.

Let $\beta_{2}$ be a $\lambda_{1}$-quasi-circle through $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$, relabelled so they are in this cyclic order, of diameter at most $2 \lambda_{1} d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right)$ (see Figure 2).

As in Case 1, by Theorem 4.2 we can join $\beta_{1}$ to $\beta_{2}$ by $2 n$ disjoint arcs inside $B\left(x_{1}, 10 \lambda_{1}^{2} L^{2} d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right)\right)$. Inside this ball we have control on the diameter of $\beta_{2}$, and the quasi-arc constants of $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$. Therefore, a similar argument to Lemma 4.3 gives that these $\operatorname{arcs}$ are $\delta_{*} d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right)$ separated, where $\delta_{*}=\delta_{*}\left(L, N, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{3}\right)$.

As before, $n$ of these arcs, let us call them $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}^{\prime}$, will join $\beta_{1}$ to $\beta_{2}$, be $\delta_{*} d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right)$-separated, and have distance at least $\frac{1}{2} \delta_{*} d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right)$ from any $x_{i}$.

By the pigeonhole principle, two of the arcs in $\left\{\gamma_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$ must have endpoints that lie in the same arc out of $\beta_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \ldots, \beta_{2}\left(x_{m-1}, x_{m}\right)$ and $\beta_{2}\left(x_{m}, x_{1}\right)$. Let us call these arcs $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{1}\left[y_{1}, z_{1}\right]$ and $\gamma_{2}=\gamma_{2}\left[y_{2}, z_{2}\right]$, where $y_{1}, y_{2} \in \beta_{1}$, and $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \beta_{2}$. (Again, see Figure 2.)

Using the fact that $\beta_{1}$ follows $\gamma_{1}$, we see that the diameter of the smaller $\operatorname{arc} \beta_{1}\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right]$ is at most $100 \lambda_{1}^{3} L^{2} d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right)<\frac{1}{2} d\left(x_{1}, x_{m+1}\right)$. Therefore, there is a subarc $\beta_{1}^{\prime}\left[y_{1}, y_{2}\right] \subset \beta_{1}$ containing $x_{m+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$.

Let $\gamma_{3}$ be the simple closed curve formed from $\beta_{1}^{\prime}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$, and $\beta_{2}\left[z_{1}, z_{2}\right]$ (containing $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ ). As $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ are quasi-arcs, and we have control
on the distance of $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ from $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, we can apply Theorem 2.3 with $\epsilon=\frac{1}{2} \delta_{*} d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right)$ to straighten $\gamma_{2}$ into a quasi-circle $\gamma$.

Let us show that $\gamma$ is a quasi-circle with controlled constant. Observe that $\gamma D$-follows $\alpha_{1}$, where $D=10 \lambda_{1}^{2} L^{2} d\left(x_{1}, x_{m}\right)$. Let $f: \gamma \rightarrow \alpha_{1}$ be the associated map. Consider the following three cases.
(i) From Theorem 2.3, there exists $\lambda_{4}=\lambda_{4}\left(L, N, \lambda_{1}, \delta_{*}\right)$ so that if $z, z^{\prime} \in \gamma \cap B\left(x_{1}, 10 \lambda_{1} D\right)$, then $\operatorname{diam}\left(\gamma\left[z, z^{\prime}\right]\right) \leq \lambda_{4} d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$.
(ii) If $\gamma\left[z, z^{\prime}\right] \cap B\left(x_{1}, 2 \lambda_{1} D\right)=\emptyset$, then $\gamma\left[z, z^{\prime}\right]=\alpha_{1}\left[z, z^{\prime}\right]$, so we have $\operatorname{diam}\left(\gamma\left[z, z^{\prime}\right]\right) \leq \lambda_{1} d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$.
(iii) Otherwise, we know that $\operatorname{diam}\left(\gamma\left[z, z^{\prime}\right]\right) \geq 8 \lambda_{1} D$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{diam}\left(\gamma\left[z, z^{\prime}\right]\right) & \leq 2 D+\operatorname{diam}\left(\alpha_{1}\left[f(z), f\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right]\right) \leq 2 D+\lambda_{1} d\left(f(z), f\left(z^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \leq 2 D+2 \lambda_{1} D+\lambda_{1} d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{diam}\left(\gamma\left[z, z^{\prime}\right]\right) \leq \lambda_{1} d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$, thus $\operatorname{diam}\left(\gamma\left[z, z^{\prime}\right]\right) \leq 2 \lambda_{1} d\left(z, z^{\prime}\right)$.
Therefore, $\gamma$ is a $\lambda$-quasi-circle, where $\lambda=\max \left\{\lambda_{4}, 2 \lambda_{1}\right\}$ depends only on $L, N, n$. Observe that $\operatorname{diam}(\gamma) \leq 4 \lambda_{1} S$, as desired.
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