

# The average least quadratic non-residue and further variations

Jackie Voros

University of Bristol

June 2022

## Definition

An integer  $a$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$  if there exists some integer  $x$  such that  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ .

# Introduction

## Definition

An integer  $a$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$  if there exists some integer  $x$  such that  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ .

## Euler's Criterion

For  $p$  an odd prime and  $a$  an integer coprime to  $p$  we have,

$$a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p} & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic residue modulo } p, \\ -1 \pmod{p} & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic non-residue modulo } p. \end{cases}$$

# Introduction

## Definition

An integer  $a$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$  if there exists some integer  $x$  such that  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ .

## Euler's Criterion

For  $p$  an odd prime and  $a$  an integer coprime to  $p$  we have,

$$a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{p} & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic residue modulo } p, \\ -1 \pmod{p} & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic non-residue modulo } p. \end{cases}$$

Trivially, 0 and 1 will always be quadratic residues.

# Introduction

## Definition

The Legendre symbol is defined as follows. For an odd prime  $p$  and an integer  $a$ ,

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic residue,} \\ -1, & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic non-residue,} \\ 0, & \text{if } a \text{ is a multiple of } p. \end{cases}$$

# Introduction

## Definition

The Legendre symbol is defined as follows. For an odd prime  $p$  and an integer  $a$ ,

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic residue,} \\ -1, & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic non-residue,} \\ 0, & \text{if } a \text{ is a multiple of } p. \end{cases}$$

- It is totally multiplicative

## Definition

The Legendre symbol is defined as follows. For an odd prime  $p$  and an integer  $a$ ,

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic residue,} \\ -1, & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic non-residue,} \\ 0, & \text{if } a \text{ is a multiple of } p. \end{cases}$$

- It is totally multiplicative
- It has period  $p$

# Introduction

## Definition

The Legendre symbol is defined as follows. For an odd prime  $p$  and an integer  $a$ ,

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic residue,} \\ -1, & \text{if } a \text{ is a quadratic non-residue,} \\ 0, & \text{if } a \text{ is a multiple of } p. \end{cases}$$

- It is totally multiplicative
- It has period  $p$
- It obeys the law of quadratic reciprocity

$$\left(\frac{p}{q}\right) \left(\frac{q}{p}\right) = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2} \frac{q-1}{2}}$$



# History and motivation

Let  $n_2(p)$  denote the least integer  $n$  such that  $n$  is a quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ . Or equivalently, the least  $n$  such that  $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = -1$ . By convention we set  $n_2(2) = 1$ .

# History and motivation

Let  $n_2(p)$  denote the least integer  $n$  such that  $n$  is a quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ . Or equivalently, the least  $n$  such that  $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = -1$ . By convention we set  $n_2(2) = 1$ .

## Question

What is an upper bound on  $n_2(p)$  on  $[1, p - 1]$  for large  $p$ ?

# History and motivation

Let  $n_2(p)$  denote the least integer  $n$  such that  $n$  is a quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ . Or equivalently, the least  $n$  such that  $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = -1$ . By convention we set  $n_2(2) = 1$ .

## Question

What is an upper bound on  $n_2(p)$  on  $[1, p - 1]$  for large  $p$ ?

- (Gauss, 1801) If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  then  $n_2(p) < 2\sqrt{p} + 1$

# History and motivation

Let  $n_2(p)$  denote the least integer  $n$  such that  $n$  is a quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ . Or equivalently, the least  $n$  such that  $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = -1$ . By convention we set  $n_2(2) = 1$ .

## Question

What is an upper bound on  $n_2(p)$  on  $[1, p - 1]$  for large  $p$ ?

- (Gauss, 1801) If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  then  $n_2(p) < 2\sqrt{p} + 1$
- (Vinogradoff, 1917)  $n_2(p) \ll \sqrt{p} \log p$ , improved to  $\ll p^{1/2\sqrt{e}} \log^2 p$

# History and motivation

Let  $n_2(p)$  denote the least integer  $n$  such that  $n$  is a quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ . Or equivalently, the least  $n$  such that  $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = -1$ . By convention we set  $n_2(2) = 1$ .

## Question

What is an upper bound on  $n_2(p)$  on  $[1, p - 1]$  for large  $p$ ?

- (Gauss, 1801) If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  then  $n_2(p) < 2\sqrt{p} + 1$
- (Vinogradoff, 1917)  $n_2(p) \ll \sqrt{p} \log p$ , improved to  $\ll p^{1/2\sqrt{e}} \log^2 p$
- (Burgess, 1957)  $n_2(p) \ll_{\varepsilon} p^{(1/4\sqrt{e})+\varepsilon}$

# History and motivation

Let  $n_2(p)$  denote the least integer  $n$  such that  $n$  is a quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ . Or equivalently, the least  $n$  such that  $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = -1$ . By convention we set  $n_2(2) = 1$ .

## Question

What is an upper bound on  $n_2(p)$  on  $[1, p - 1]$  for large  $p$ ?

- (Gauss, 1801) If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  then  $n_2(p) < 2\sqrt{p} + 1$
- (Vinogradoff, 1917)  $n_2(p) \ll \sqrt{p} \log p$ , improved to  $\ll p^{1/2\sqrt{e}} \log^2 p$
- (Burgess, 1957)  $n_2(p) \ll_{\varepsilon} p^{(1/4\sqrt{e})+\varepsilon}$
- (Linnik, 1942) Conversely,  $\#\{p \leq x : n_2(p) > x^{\varepsilon}\} \ll_{\varepsilon} 1$  for all  $x$

# History and motivation

Let  $n_2(p)$  denote the least integer  $n$  such that  $n$  is a quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ . Or equivalently, the least  $n$  such that  $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = -1$ . By convention we set  $n_2(2) = 1$ .

## Question

What is an upper bound on  $n_2(p)$  on  $[1, p - 1]$  for large  $p$ ?

- (Gauss, 1801) If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  then  $n_2(p) < 2\sqrt{p} + 1$
- (Vinogradoff, 1917)  $n_2(p) \ll \sqrt{p} \log p$ , improved to  $\ll p^{1/2\sqrt{e}} \log^2 p$
- (Burgess, 1957)  $n_2(p) \ll_{\varepsilon} p^{(1/4\sqrt{e})+\varepsilon}$
- (Linnik, 1942) Conversely,  $\#\{p \leq x : n_2(p) > x^{\varepsilon}\} \ll_{\varepsilon} 1$  for all  $x$

This is a hard problem!

# History and motivation

Let  $n_2(p)$  denote the least integer  $n$  such that  $n$  is a quadratic non-residue modulo  $p$ . Or equivalently, the least  $n$  such that  $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = -1$ . By convention we set  $n_2(2) = 1$ .

## Question

What is an upper bound on  $n_2(p)$  on  $[1, p - 1]$  for large  $p$ ?

- (Gauss, 1801) If  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  then  $n_2(p) < 2\sqrt{p} + 1$
- (Vinogradoff, 1917)  $n_2(p) \ll \sqrt{p} \log p$ , improved to  $\ll p^{1/2\sqrt{e}} \log^2 p$
- (Burgess, 1957)  $n_2(p) \ll_{\varepsilon} p^{(1/4\sqrt{e})+\varepsilon}$
- (Linnik, 1942) Conversely,  $\#\{p \leq x : n_2(p) > x^{\varepsilon}\} \ll_{\varepsilon} 1$  for all  $x$

This is a hard problem!

Easier problem: average case behaviour.



# Heuristic view

## Easier question

What is the average value for  $n_2(p)$  for any prime? Or, what is,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} n_2(p)?$$

# Heuristic view

## Easier question

What is the average value for  $n_2(p)$  for any prime? Or, what is,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} n_2(p)?$$

First, we note that  $n_2(p)$  must be prime.

# Heuristic view

## Easier question

What is the average value for  $n_2(p)$  for any prime? Or, what is,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} n_2(p)?$$

First, we note that  $n_2(p)$  must be prime.

We know there are  $(p+1)/2$  residues (including 0) and  $(p-1)/2$  non-residues.

# Heuristic view

## Easier question

What is the average value for  $n_2(p)$  for any prime? Or, what is,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} n_2(p)?$$

First, we note that  $n_2(p)$  must be prime.

We know there are  $(p+1)/2$  residues (including 0) and  $(p-1)/2$  non-residues.

Let us assume any integer in  $[1, p-1]$  has a 50-50 chance of being a quadratic residue.

# Heuristic view

## Easier question

What is the average value for  $n_2(p)$  for any prime? Or, what is,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} n_2(p)?$$

First, we note that  $n_2(p)$  must be prime.

We know there are  $(p+1)/2$  residues (including 0) and  $(p-1)/2$  non-residues.

Let us assume any integer in  $[1, p-1]$  has a 50-50 chance of being a quadratic residue.

Then  $n_2(p) = p_k$  with probability  $2^{-k}$  where  $p_k$  denotes the  $k^{\text{th}}$  prime.

# Heuristic view

## Easier question

What is the average value for  $n_2(p)$  for any prime? Or, what is,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} n_2(p)?$$

First, we note that  $n_2(p)$  must be prime.

We know there are  $(p+1)/2$  residues (including 0) and  $(p-1)/2$  non-residues.

Let us assume any integer in  $[1, p-1]$  has a 50-50 chance of being a quadratic residue.

Then  $n_2(p) = p_k$  with probability  $2^{-k}$  where  $p_k$  denotes the  $k^{\text{th}}$  prime. So we should have,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k 2^{-k}.$$

# Erdős's Theorem

## Theorem (Erdős, 1961)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} n_2(p) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k}{2^k}.$$

# Erdős's Theorem

## Theorem (Erdős, 1961)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} n_2(p) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k}{2^k}.$$

The two main steps to his proof are:



# Erdős's Theorem

## Theorem (Erdős, 1961)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} n_2(p) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k}{2^k}.$$

The two main steps to his proof are:

- 1 He uses quadratic reciprocity to deal with fixed  $x$

# Erdős's Theorem

## Theorem (Erdős, 1961)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} n_2(p) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k}{2^k}.$$

The two main steps to his proof are:

- 1 He uses quadratic reciprocity to deal with fixed  $x$
- 2 He uses Linnik's ideas of the large sieve to show  $n_2(p)$  does not get too large.

# Erdős's Theorem

## Theorem (Erdős, 1961)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} n_2(p) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k}{2^k}.$$

The two main steps to his proof are:

- 1 He uses quadratic reciprocity to deal with fixed  $x$
- 2 He uses Linnik's ideas of the large sieve to show  $n_2(p)$  does not get too large.

This result is finite, equalling approximately 3.6746...

# Extensions

Erdős's result has been extended in many directions.

# Extensions

Erdős's result has been extended in many directions.

For  $p \equiv 1 \pmod k$ , let  $n_k(p)$  be the least integer that is not a  $k^{\text{th}}$  power modulo  $p$ . For other primes,  $n_k(p) = 0$ .

# Extensions

Erdős's result has been extended in many directions.

For  $p \equiv 1 \pmod k$ , let  $n_k(p)$  be the least integer that is not a  $k^{\text{th}}$  power modulo  $p$ . For other primes,  $n_k(p) = 0$ .

Theorem (Elliot, 1967)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} n_k(p) = C_k$$

# Extensions

Erdős's result has been extended in many directions.

For  $p \equiv 1 \pmod k$ , let  $n_k(p)$  be the least integer that is not a  $k^{\text{th}}$  power modulo  $p$ . For other primes,  $n_k(p) = 0$ .

Theorem (Elliot, 1967)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} n_k(p) = C_k$$

Let  $g(p)$  denote the least primitive root modulo  $p$ .

# Extensions

Erdős's result has been extended in many directions.

For  $p \equiv 1 \pmod k$ , let  $n_k(p)$  be the least integer that is not a  $k^{\text{th}}$  power modulo  $p$ . For other primes,  $n_k(p) = 0$ .

## Theorem (Elliot, 1967)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} n_k(p) = C_k$$

Let  $g(p)$  denote the least primitive root modulo  $p$ .

## Theorem (Burgess, Elliot, 1968)

$$\frac{1}{\pi(x)} \sum_{p \leq x} g(p) \ll (\log x)^2 (\log \log x)^4.$$

This was sharpened by Elliot and Murata under GRH, and with an additional hypothesis, shown to be finite.



# Extensions

For a positive integer  $m$ , let  $n_2(m)$  be the least integer  $n$  relatively prime to  $m$  that is a quadratic non-residue modulo  $m$ .

# Extensions

For a positive integer  $m$ , let  $n_2(m)$  be the least integer  $n$  relatively prime to  $m$  that is a quadratic non-residue modulo  $m$ .

Theorem (Pollack, 2012)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m \leq x} n_2(m) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k - 1}{p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_{k-1}}.$$

# Extensions

For a positive integer  $m$ , let  $n_2(m)$  be the least integer  $n$  relatively prime to  $m$  that is a quadratic non-residue modulo  $m$ .

Theorem (Pollack, 2012)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m \leq x} n_2(m) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k - 1}{p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_{k-1}}.$$

For a non-principal Dirichlet character  $\chi$ , let  $n_\chi$  denote the least integer  $n$  such that  $\chi(n) \notin \{0, 1\}$ . Order them by fundamental discriminant,  $D$ , so  $\left(\frac{D}{\cdot}\right)$  is the associated Kronecker symbol.

# Extensions

For a positive integer  $m$ , let  $n_2(m)$  be the least integer  $n$  relatively prime to  $m$  that is a quadratic non-residue modulo  $m$ .

Theorem (Pollack, 2012)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m \leq x} n_2(m) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k - 1}{p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_{k-1}}.$$

For a non-principal Dirichlet character  $\chi$ , let  $n_\chi$  denote the least integer  $n$  such that  $\chi(n) \notin \{0, 1\}$ . Order them by fundamental discriminant,  $D$ , so  $\left(\frac{D}{\cdot}\right)$  is the associated Kronecker symbol.

Theorem (Pollack, 2012)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{|D| < x} 1 \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{|D| < x} n\left(\frac{D}{\cdot}\right) \right) = \Theta \approx 4.9809\dots$$

## Extensions

For a positive integer  $m$ , let  $n_2(m)$  be the least integer  $n$  relatively prime to  $m$  that is a quadratic non-residue modulo  $m$ .

### Theorem (Pollack, 2012)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{m \leq x} n_2(m) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{p_k - 1}{p_1 \cdot \dots \cdot p_{k-1}}.$$

For a non-principal Dirichlet character  $\chi$ , let  $n_\chi$  denote the least integer  $n$  such that  $\chi(n) \notin \{0, 1\}$ . Order them by fundamental discriminant,  $D$ , so  $\left(\frac{D}{\cdot}\right)$  is the associated Kronecker symbol.

### Theorem (Pollack, 2012)

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{|D| < x} 1 \right)^{-1} \left( \sum_{|D| < x} n\left(\frac{D}{\cdot}\right) \right) = \Theta \approx 4.9809\dots$$

This result was further extended to all non-principal characters.

# Analogous results

We can view the following problems as almost identical the problems we have just seen.

# Analogous results

We can view the following problems as almost identical the problems we have just seen.

Pollack, along with Martin, investigated the following.

# Analogous results

We can view the following problems as almost identical the problems we have just seen.

Pollack, along with Martin, investigated the following.

- The average least non-split prime in the quadratic field of conductor  $p$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime.



# Analogous results

We can view the following problems as almost identical the problems we have just seen.

Pollack, along with Martin, investigated the following.

- The average least non-split prime in the quadratic field of conductor  $p$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime.
  - ▶  $p$  splits in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$  if and only if  $a$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$ .

# Analogous results

We can view the following problems as almost identical the problems we have just seen.

Pollack, along with Martin, investigated the following.

- The average least non-split prime in the quadratic field of conductor  $p$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime.
  - ▶  $p$  splits in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$  if and only if  $a$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$ .
- The average least inert prime, taken over all quadratic fields and ordered by discriminant.

# Analogous results

We can view the following problems as almost identical the problems we have just seen.

Pollack, along with Martin, investigated the following.

- The average least non-split prime in the quadratic field of conductor  $p$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime.
  - ▶  $p$  splits in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$  if and only if  $a$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$ .
- The average least inert prime, taken over all quadratic fields and ordered by discriminant.
- The average least non-split prime taken over cyclic cubic extensions of prime conductor.

# Analogous results

We can view the following problems as almost identical the problems we have just seen.

Pollack, along with Martin, investigated the following.

- The average least non-split prime in the quadratic field of conductor  $p$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime.
  - ▶  $p$  splits in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$  if and only if  $a$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$ .
- The average least inert prime, taken over all quadratic fields and ordered by discriminant.
- The average least non-split prime taken over cyclic cubic extensions of prime conductor.
- The average least non-split prime taken over all cubic extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

# Analogous results

We can view the following problems as almost identical the problems we have just seen.

Pollack, along with Martin, investigated the following.

- The average least non-split prime in the quadratic field of conductor  $p$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime.
  - ▶  $p$  splits in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a})$  if and only if  $a$  is a quadratic residue modulo  $p$ .
- The average least inert prime, taken over all quadratic fields and ordered by discriminant.
- The average least non-split prime taken over cyclic cubic extensions of prime conductor.
- The average least non-split prime taken over all cubic extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Greg Martin and Paul Pollack. “The average least character non-residue and further variations on a theme of Erdős” (2013)

# Modular forms

Our final variation on this theme involves Hecke eigenvalues.

# Modular forms

Our final variation on this theme involves Hecke eigenvalues.

A modular form is a function on the complex upper half plane satisfying certain holomorphic and transformation conditions.

# Modular forms

Our final variation on this theme involves Hecke eigenvalues.

A modular form is a function on the complex upper half plane satisfying certain holomorphic and transformation conditions. The weight,  $k$ , and the level,  $N$ , are associated integers of a modular form.

$$f(z)|_k\gamma = (cz + d)^{-k}f(\gamma z), \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), \gamma z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$



# Modular forms

Our final variation on this theme involves Hecke eigenvalues.

A modular form is a function on the complex upper half plane satisfying certain holomorphic and transformation conditions. The weight,  $k$ , and the level,  $N$ , are associated integers of a modular form.

$$f(z)|_k\gamma = (cz + d)^{-k}f(\gamma z), \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), \gamma z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$

Principal congruence subgroup

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$$

# Modular forms

Our final variation on this theme involves Hecke eigenvalues.

A modular form is a function on the complex upper half plane satisfying certain holomorphic and transformation conditions. The weight,  $k$ , and the level,  $N$ , are associated integers of a modular form.

$$f(z)|_k \gamma = (cz + d)^{-k} f(\gamma z), \quad \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), \gamma z = \frac{az + b}{cz + d}$$

Principal congruence subgroup

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N} \right\}$$

Congruence subgroup

$$\Gamma_0(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{N} \right\}$$

# Modular forms

All modular forms necessarily admit a Fourier expansion,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{C}.$$

# Modular forms

All modular forms necessarily admit a Fourier expansion,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{C}.$$

A cusp form is a modular form whose Fourier expansion has  $a_0 = 0$ .

# Modular forms

All modular forms necessarily admit a Fourier expansion,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{C}.$$

A cusp form is a modular form whose Fourier expansion has  $a_0 = 0$ .  $S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$  denotes the set of cusp forms of weight  $k$  and level  $N$  under  $\Gamma_0(N)$ .

$$S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) = S_k^{\text{old}}(\Gamma_0(N)) \oplus S_k^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(N))$$

# Modular forms

All modular forms necessarily admit a Fourier expansion,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{2\pi i n z}, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{C}.$$

A cusp form is a modular form whose Fourier expansion has  $a_0 = 0$ .  $S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$  denotes the set of cusp forms of weight  $k$  and level  $N$  under  $\Gamma_0(N)$ .

$$S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) = S_k^{\text{old}}(\Gamma_0(N)) \oplus S_k^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(N))$$

A Hecke operator is a linear operator,  $T_n$  for each  $n$ , that acts on modular forms. An eigenform is a modular form that is an eigenvector for all  $T_n$ . The eigenvalues are its Fourier coefficients.

$$T_n(f) = a_n f$$

# Newforms

Then a newform is in  $S_k^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(N))$  and it is a cuspform, it is normalised and it is a Hecke eigenform. It has Fourier expansion,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) n^{(k-1)/2} e(nz), \quad e(nz) = e^{2\pi inz}.$$

Then a newform is in  $S_k^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(N))$  and it is a cuspform, it is normalised and it is a Hecke eigenform. It has Fourier expansion,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) n^{(k-1)/2} e(nz), \quad e(nz) = e^{2\pi inz}.$$

- $\lambda_f(n)$  is multiplicative



Then a newform is in  $S_k^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(N))$  and it is a cuspform, it is normalised and it is a Hecke eigenform. It has Fourier expansion,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) n^{(k-1)/2} e(nz), \quad e(nz) = e^{2\pi inz}.$$

- $\lambda_f(n)$  is multiplicative
- $\lambda_f(p)^2 = 1 + \lambda_f(p^2)$

Then a newform is in  $S_k^{\text{new}}(\Gamma_0(N))$  and it is a cuspform, it is normalised and it is a Hecke eigenform. It has Fourier expansion,

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) n^{(k-1)/2} e(nz), \quad e(nz) = e^{2\pi inz}.$$

- $\lambda_f(n)$  is multiplicative
- $\lambda_f(p)^2 = 1 + \lambda_f(p^2)$
- $|\lambda_f(n)| \leq \tau(n)$ , the divisor function

# Possible analogous result?

## Question

When is the first sign change in  $\lambda_f(p)$  for prime  $p$ ?

# Possible analogous result?

## Question

When is the first sign change in  $\lambda_f(p)$  for prime  $p$ ?

We have the following result. Let  $(\varepsilon_p)$  be a sequence of signs.

## Theorem (Kowalski, Lau, Soundararajan, Wu, 2010)

Let  $N = \Gamma_0(N)$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\varepsilon < 1/2$ , there exists  $c > 0$  such that,

$$\frac{1}{|S_k^{\text{new}}(N)|} |\{f \in S_k^{\text{new}}(N) : \lambda_f(p) \text{ has sign } \varepsilon_p \text{ for } p \leq z\}| \geq \left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right)^{\pi(z)}.$$

For  $z = c\sqrt{(\log kN)(\log \log kN)}$ , for  $kN$  large enough.

Thank you for listening!  
Any questions?