

Corrections on
“Multiple change-point detection for high-dimensional time series
via Sparsified Binary Segmentation” [1]

Haeran Cho * Piotr Fryzlewicz †

January 28, 2015

We correct Lemma 2 in Appendix of [1] as below.

Lemma 2’ Suppose (15) holds and let $\eta \equiv \eta_{q_1+q} \in [s, e]$ for some q , denote a true change-point. Then there exists $c_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that for b satisfying $|\eta - b| \geq c_0 \epsilon_T$ and $\mathbb{S}_{s,b,e} < \mathbb{S}_{s,\eta,e}$, we have $\mathbb{S}_{s,\eta,e} \geq \mathbb{S}_{s,b,e} + C' \epsilon_T \delta_T T^{-2} \mathbb{S}_{s,\eta,e}$.

Proof. The proof follows directly from the proof of Lemma 2.6 in [2]. We only consider Case 2 of Lemma 2.6, since adapting the proof of Case 1 (when there is a single change-point within $[s, e]$) to that of the current lemma takes analogous arguments.

Using the notations therein, it is shown that the term E_{1l} is dominant over E_{2l} and E_{3l} in $\mathbb{S}_{s,\eta,e} - \mathbb{S}_{s,b,e}$, where $l = c_0 \epsilon_T$. Noting further that $i = \eta - s + 1$, $h = \delta_T$, $j = e - \eta - h$ and $a = \sum_{t=s}^{\eta} \sigma(t/T) - (e - s + 1)^{-1} \sum_{t=s}^e \sigma(t/T)$, and that $h \geq 2l$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_{1l} &= \frac{la\sqrt{i+j+h}}{\sqrt{i}\sqrt{j+h}} \cdot \frac{h-l}{\sqrt{i+l}\sqrt{j+h-l}\{\sqrt{(i+l)(j+h-l)} + \sqrt{i(j+l)}\}} \\ &\geq \mathbb{S}_{s,\eta,e} \cdot C \epsilon_T \delta_T T^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Applying the above Lemma 2’ to Lemma 4 of [1], the upper bound on $(|\langle f, \tilde{\psi} \rangle| - |\langle f, \psi^0 \rangle|)|\langle f, \psi^0 \rangle|$

*School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, UK. haeran.cho@bristol.ac.uk

†Department of Statistics, London School of Economics, UK.

is corrected to $-C\epsilon_T\delta_T^3T^{-3}$. Also, we can refine the upper bound imposed on *III* and *IV*, since

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(\widehat{\eta} - s + 1)^{-1} \sum_{t=s}^{\widehat{\eta}} f_t - (\eta - s + 1)^{-1} \sum_{t=s}^{\eta} f_t| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{\widehat{\eta} - s + 1} \sqrt{\frac{(\widehat{\eta} - s + 1)(e - \widehat{\eta})}{e - s + 1}} \mathbb{S}_{s, \widehat{\eta}, e} - \frac{1}{\eta - s + 1} \sqrt{\frac{(\eta - s + 1)(e - \eta)}{e - s + 1}} \mathbb{S}_{s, \eta, e} \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{e - s + 1}} \left| \sqrt{\frac{e - \widehat{\eta}}{\widehat{\eta} - s + 1}} (\mathbb{S}_{s, \widehat{\eta}, e} - \mathbb{S}_{s, \eta, e}) - \left(\sqrt{\frac{e - \eta}{\eta - s + 1}} - \sqrt{\frac{e - \widehat{\eta}}{\widehat{\eta} - s + 1}} \right) \mathbb{S}_{s, \eta, e} \right| \\
&\leq \sqrt{\frac{e - \eta}{(e - s + 1)(\eta - s + 1)}} \left\{ \left| 1 - \frac{\sqrt{1 - \frac{\widehat{\eta} - \eta}{e - \eta}}}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{\widehat{\eta} - \eta}{\eta - s + 1}}} \right| \mathbb{S}_{s, \eta, e} + |\mathbb{S}_{s, \widehat{\eta}, e} - \mathbb{S}_{s, \eta, e}| \right\} \\
&\leq \sqrt{\frac{e - \eta}{(e - s + 1)(\eta - s + 1)}} \{ C\epsilon_T\delta_T^{-1} \mathbb{S}_{s, \eta, e} + |\mathbb{S}_{s, \widehat{\eta}, e} - \mathbb{S}_{s, \eta, e}| \} \\
&\leq 2\sqrt{\frac{e - \eta}{(e - s + 1)(\eta - s + 1)}} \cdot C\epsilon_T\delta_T^{-1} \mathbb{S}_{s, \eta, e},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(\widehat{\eta} - s + 1)^{-1} \sum_{t=s}^{\widehat{\eta}} f_t - (e - \eta)^{-1} \sum_{t=\eta+1}^e f_t| \\
&= \left| \frac{1}{\widehat{\eta} - s + 1} \sqrt{\frac{(\widehat{\eta} - s + 1)(e - \widehat{\eta})}{e - s + 1}} \mathbb{S}_{s, \widehat{\eta}, e} + \frac{1}{e - \eta} \sqrt{\frac{(\eta - s + 1)(e - \eta)}{e - s + 1}} \mathbb{S}_{s, \eta, e} \right| \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{e - s + 1}} \left| \left(\sqrt{\frac{e - \widehat{\eta}}{\widehat{\eta} - s + 1}} + \sqrt{\frac{\eta - s + 1}{e - \eta}} \right) \mathbb{S}_{s, \eta, e} + \sqrt{\frac{e - \widehat{\eta}}{\widehat{\eta} - s + 1}} (\mathbb{S}_{s, \widehat{\eta}, e} - \mathbb{S}_{s, \eta, e}) \right| \\
&\leq 2\sqrt{\frac{e - s + 1}{(\eta - s + 1)(e - \eta)}} \mathbb{S}_{s, \eta, e},
\end{aligned}$$

and thus $|III| \leq C\epsilon_T\delta_T^{-1} \log T \cdot \mathbb{S}_{s, \eta, e}$ and $|IV| \leq C'\epsilon_T^{1/2}\delta_T^{-1/2} \log T \cdot \mathbb{S}_{s, \eta, e}$.

In summary, the inequality in (24) is modified to

$$\frac{\epsilon_T\delta_T^3}{T^3} > (\epsilon_T T^{-1/2} \log T) \vee (\epsilon_T^{1/2} \delta_T^{1/2} T^{-1/2} \log T) \vee (\log^2 T). \quad (24')$$

Together with the fact that Lemmas 5–6 require that $\delta_T^{-5/2} T^{5/2} \log T < \epsilon_T^{1/2} \ll \pi_T \ll \delta_T / \sqrt{T}$, we derive Theorems 1'–2' below with assumptions (A1') and (B2') which modify (A1) and (B2) in [1], respectively.

(A1') The distance between any two adjacent change-points is bounded from below by $\delta_T \asymp T^\Theta$ for $\Theta \in (6/7, 1]$.

(B2') $\nu_r \in \mathbb{B}$, $r = 1, \dots, N$ satisfy (A1') in place of η_q , $q = 1, \dots, N$.

Theorem 1' Let $\Delta_T \asymp \epsilon_T$ in the SBS algorithm. Under (A1') and (A2)–(A4), there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that $\hat{\eta}_q$, $q = 1, \dots, \hat{N}$ satisfy

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \hat{N} = N; |\hat{\eta}_q - \eta_q| < C_1 \epsilon_T \text{ for } q = 1, \dots, N \right\} \rightarrow 1$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, where

- if $\delta_T \asymp T$, there exists some positive constant κ such that we have $\epsilon_T = \log^{2+\vartheta} T$ with $\pi_T = \kappa \log^{1+\omega} T$ for any positive constants ϑ and $\omega > \vartheta/2$.
- if $\delta_T \asymp T^\Theta$ for $\Theta \in (6/7, 1)$, we have $\epsilon_T = T^\theta \log^2 T$ for $\theta = 5 - 5\Theta$ with $\pi_T = \kappa T^\gamma$ for some $\kappa > 0$ and any $\gamma \in (5(1 - \Theta)/2, \Theta - 1/2)$.

Theorem 2' Let $\Delta_T \asymp \epsilon_T$ in the SBS algorithm and $\Lambda_T \asymp \epsilon_T$ in the across-scales post-processing. Under (B1), (B2') and (B3)–(B5), there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that $\hat{\nu}_r$, $r = 1, \dots, \hat{N}$ estimated with $I_T^* = -\lfloor \alpha \log \log T \rfloor$ for $\alpha \in (0, 2 + \vartheta]$, satisfy

$$\mathbb{P} \left\{ \hat{N} = N; |\hat{\nu}_r - \nu_r| < C_2 \epsilon_T \text{ for } r = 1, \dots, N \right\} \rightarrow 1$$

as $T \rightarrow \infty$, where

- if $\delta_T \asymp T$, there exists some positive constant κ such that we have $\epsilon_T = \log^{2+\vartheta} T$ with $\pi_T = \kappa \log^{1+\omega} T$ for any positive constants ϑ and $\omega > \vartheta/2$.
- if $\delta_T \asymp T^\Theta$ for $\Theta \in (6/7, 1)$, we have $\epsilon_T = T^\theta \log^2 T$ for $\theta = 5 - 5\Theta$ with $\pi_T = \kappa T^\gamma$ for some $\kappa > 0$ and any $\gamma \in (5(1 - \Theta)/2, \Theta - 1/2)$.

References

- [1] Cho, H. and Fryzlewicz, P. (2015), “Multiple change-point detection for high-dimensional time series via Sparsified Binary Segmentation,” *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B* (to appear).
- [2] Venkatraman, E. S. (1992), “Consistency results in multiple change-point problems,” *Technical Report No. 24, Department of Statistics, Stanford University*.