Continuum description of vibrated sand
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The motion of a thin layer of granular material on a plate undergoing sinusoidal vibrations is considered. We develop equations of motion for the local thickness and the horizontal velocity of the layer. The driving comes from the violent impact of the grains on the plate. A linear stability theory reveals that the waves are excited nonresonantly, in contrast to the usual Faraday waves in liquids. Together with the experimentally observed continuum scaling, the model suggests a close connection between the neutral curve and the dispersion relation of the waves, which agrees quite well with experiments. For strong hysteresis we find localized oscillon solutions. [S1063-651X(99)10404-5]
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I. INTRODUCTION

Very little is known about the laws governing the macroscopic motion of granular materials or, for short, ‘‘sand.’’ Most of our information comes from either experiment or microscopic molecular dynamics calculations. An accepted continuum description of sand, analogous to the equations of hydrodynamics, is missing. If such a description exists, it would help our understanding enormously, much in the same way hydrodynamics has dominated our understanding of fluids.

One major difficulty is that sand behaves very differently in different flow situations. If at rest or nearly so, sand behaves like a solid, and the packing of particles is very important [1], while to reach a fluidized state the particles have to be shaken quite violently. In an interesting early paper, Haff [2] dealt with grains in a nearly compact state. The opposite limit of low densities, where particle interactions are dominated by binary collisions, is known as ‘‘rapid granular flow.’’ Using methods of kinetic theory, this limit has received a great deal of attention [3–8]. It leads to complicated three-dimensional hydrodynamic equations with non-Newtonian transport coefficients that still need to be tested against experiment.

Great interest has been stirred by recent experiments in which a thin layer of particles is placed on a plate undergoing sinusoidal vibrations [9–20]. Above a certain vibration amplitude, regular and irregular surface-wave patterns are excited subharmonically, i.e., the frequency of the waves is half that of the driving. The observed phenomena are very similar to Faraday waves excited in a periodically vibrated liquid layer (e.g., Ref. [21]). Typical experimental data are the phase diagram of different wave patterns as a function of frequency and acceleration, and the wavelength of the patterns as a function of driving frequency at fixed acceleration. The data turn out to be independent of container size or shape, so the observed patterns represent an intrinsic property of the dynamics of vibrated sand.

The experiments triggered a host of theoretical work in which a wide range of approaches has been used: molecular dynamics calculations [14,18], simplified particle dynamics [22], semicontinuum theories [23,24,17], phenomenological Ginzburg-Landau models [25], phenomenological coupled map models [26] and order-parameter models [27]. Most notably, recent molecular dynamics simulations have reproduced the experimental results in great detail [18]. The comparisons between the continuum-type models and experiments have, however, not been very detailed. In particular, attempts at providing an understanding of the experimentally observed dispersion relation have only been made in [24]. Overall, the focus of the continuum-type models was mostly on reproducing the localized excitations of the layer (‘‘oscillons’’) that have been observed experimentally [28]. Studies of more general order-parameter models [29,27] indicate, however, that such localized waves can also arise in nongranular systems and are therefore not the hallmark of these systems. In fact, similar excitations have been observed recently in Faraday experiments with shear-thinning clay suspensions [30].

The goal of this paper is to come up with a model for waves in vibrated sand that is based on physically accessible variables, and is sufficiently realistic to allow a meaningful comparison and test with experiments. At the same time it should be simple enough also to permit analytical investigations, which often provide insights that are hard to obtain by numerical means. Based on the observation that the dispersion relation of the excited waves exhibits a continuum scaling in the small-frequency regime [31,18,20], we propose a continuum model. As indicated above, finding a continuum model based on the microscopic behavior of individual grains would be a formidable task. For part of the period, sand rests on the plate in a compacted state, while in the remainder of the period it is in free fall, leading to a fluidized state. In addition, little is known about boundary conditions near a solid wall [6,32]. Therefore, we adopt a purely phenomenological approach that is akin to a shallow-water theory, i.e., the vibrated sand is described by its height and its horizontal velocity only. The proposed model differs, however, in significant aspects from a fluid-dynamical description. In particular, the unvibrated sand layer exhibits no oscillatory response. In contrast to the Faraday waves in liquids, the excited waves can therefore not be viewed as arising from the resonant driving of damped wave modes of the sand layer.
The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we introduce a one-dimensional continuum model of vibrated sand. We discuss the driving mechanism and the physical significance of the parameters. In Sec. III the model is linearized around a flat layer, to obtain analytical results for the onset of the waves and the dispersion relation between the excitation frequency and the wave number of the resulting surface waves. The results are compared with recent experiments and simulations by Bizon et al. [18]. In Sec. IV we turn to the nonlinear behavior of the model. First we investigate physical origins for the experimentally observed hysteresis on the onset of the waves. Tuning the parameters in the model to give strong hysteresis, we find localized oscillon solutions.

II. MODEL

Central to our model is the experimental observation that the position of the bottom of the layer of sand is closely modeled by the motion of a single, totally inelastic, particle [31]. This is because all the energy is lost upon impact in the inelastic collisions between the grains. The force driving the patterns is thus proportional to the acceleration of the inelastic particle, minus the acceleration of gravity $g$. At each impact, this relative acceleration $\gamma(t)$ is strongly peaked, and its strength is related to the velocity of impact.

We consider very thin layers, and assume that they can be characterized by their thickness and mean horizontal velocity alone. For simplicity, we will only consider one-dimensional motion. We will not address the nonlinear pattern-selection problem that arises in two-dimensional patterns (e.g., stripes vs squares). From mass and momentum conservation considerations we arrive at equations quite similar to those of a problem that arises in two-dimensional patterns alone. For simplicity, we will only consider one-dimensional characteristics by their thickness and mean horizontal velocity.

Equation (1a) expresses the momentum balance, where $\vec{v}$ is the horizontal velocity integrated over the layer height $\vec{h}$ [34]. It contains a driving term proportional to both the acceleration $\vec{\gamma}(t)$ relative to a freely falling reference frame as well as the slope $\vec{h}$, since particle motion starts only if the surface is inclined. The denominator reflects the assumption that upon impact the sand grains are isotropically dispersed, but only those scattered out of the layer contribute to the horizontal flux. Note that this ensures finite driving in the limit $\vec{h} \rightarrow 0$. Without the denominator we numerically found wave solutions which became progressively higher and more peaked, leading to an unphysical finite-time singularity. The second and third terms on the right describe the internal friction due to vertical gradients in the velocity field, and the viscouslike friction due to horizontal gradients, respectively. Since the vertical gradients are not resolved in this thin-layer approach, they lead to a bulk damping term.

Our model (1) differs from the fluid problem in a number of ways. Through the assumption of random scattering of the grains upon impact, the driving is nonlinear in $\vec{h}$. In contrast to liquids the sand layer lifts off the plate when the acceleration of the plate exceeds $g$. Thus the acceleration term $\vec{\gamma}(t)$ vanishes over large parts of the cycle, and is largest when the sand layer hits the plate. In the following we will assume that it consists mainly of a series of $\delta$-functions. There is no surface tension in the granular material. Instead an additional diffusion term appears in the equation for $\vec{h}$.

It should be emphasized that the effective friction and diffusion coefficients $\vec{B}$, $\vec{D}_1$, and $\vec{D}_2$ implicitly contain the effect of the solid plate and the varying degrees of fluidization present at different frequencies. It would be quite natural to assume that the friction between the sand and the plate, arises only during the phases when the layer is very close to the plate. Since the velocity is not continuous through the $\delta$-like impact of the layer on the plate a $\delta$-like friction term is mathematically ill defined. For simplicity we therefore smear out the friction over the whole period, and expect that it can be modeled by an effective value of the coefficient $\vec{B}$. We expect that due to the graininess of the material the dissipation due to the vertical gradients will increase with decreasing slope of the surface, since the faster flowing grains near the surface are hindered by the slower grains underneath. This is not unlike the effect of an angle of repose. The coefficients $\vec{D}_1$ and $\vec{D}_2$ for particle diffusion and viscosity are expected to depend on the typical velocity of the grains, which is related to the impact velocity $\vec{v}_0$. They will increase with the typical velocity of the grains, since increasing velocity enhances the diffusive transport as well as the momentum exchange due to collisions [35,36]. Thus in general we have

$$\vec{D}_{1,2} = D_{1,2}(\vec{v}_0, \vec{h}, \vec{v}), \quad \vec{B} = B(\vec{v}_0, \vec{h}, \vec{h}, \vec{v}).$$

Since the experiments are performed over a small range of accelerations, the impact velocity is essentially given by the frequency. Thus the dependence on $\vec{v}_0$ implies an apparent frequency dependence of the coefficients. Note that a frequency dependence would also arise if an averaging procedure could be applied, in which the basic equations are averaged over a period of the driving. It should be emphasized, however, that this is not the origin of the frequency dependence considered in this paper.

We now make all quantities dimensionless using the filling height $h_0$ as a length scale and $\vec{\tau} = (\vec{h}_0 / g)^{1/2}$ as a time scale. The dimensionless driving $\vec{\gamma}(t) / g$ then depends only on the dimensionless acceleration

$$\Gamma = \frac{A \omega^2}{g}$$

of the plate, where $A$ is the amplitude of the harmonic driving with frequency $\omega$. The dimensionless dispersion relation
has then the form \( q = q(\omega, \Gamma, \tilde{h}_0/\tilde{d}) \), where \( \tilde{d} \) is the grain diameter. The remarkable observation from the experimental data [31,18,20] is that in the low-frequency regime the dispersion relation is independent of \( \tilde{h}_0/\tilde{d} \), i.e., of particle diameter, where \( \tilde{h}_0/\tilde{d} \) varies between 3 and 14.

### III. LINEAR THEORY

To understand the instability of the flat layer, we linearize in the dimensionless variables \( H \) and \( V \), with \( \tilde{h}/h_0 = h = 1 + H \) and \( v/\tilde{v}(\tilde{h}_0) = v = V \). The transport coefficients are evaluated at \( h = 1 \) and \( v = 0 \). The linearized equations of motion can be transformed into a single wave equation for \( H \):

\[
H_{tt} = -B H_t + (BD_1 + \gamma(t)) H_{xx} + (D_1 + D_2) H_{txx} - D_1 D_2 H_{xxxx}.
\]

(4)

To simplify the analytical calculation, we assume that \( \gamma(t) \) consists only of a series of \( \delta \) shocks with period \( T = 2\pi/\omega \),

\[
\gamma(t) = v_0 \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(t - jT),
\]

(5)

and neglect the force on the layer during the subsequent time intervals in which the layer is in contact with the plate. Note that \( v_0 \) is the impact velocity, hence it can be written as \( v_0 = f(\Gamma)/\omega \). The curve \( f(\Gamma) \) is shown in Fig. 1; see Ref. [31]. As \( \Gamma \) rises above 1, the layer begins to bounce, and \( v_0 \) increases with \( \Gamma \). Between 3.3 < \( \Gamma < 3.7 \) the layer is locked into a state where it never rests on the plate and \( v_0 \) remains constant. Above \( \Gamma = 3.7 \) period doubling occurs, and \( \gamma(t) \) can no longer be written in form (5). In a straightforward generalization, two different periods with \( T_1 + T_2 = 2T \) appear.

In between shocks, the solution of Eq. (4) is \( H \propto e^{\sigma t} e^{i\xi x} \), where the dispersion relations

\[
\sigma_1 = -D_1 q^2, \quad \sigma_2 = -B - D_2 q^2
\]

(6)

correspond to pure relaxation. At the point of impact, the \( \delta \) function imposes a jump condition

\[
H_t^{(+)} - H_t^{(-)} = v_0 H_{xx}.
\]

(7)

The height \( H \) itself is continuous. By making the general ansatz

\[
H_n = (\alpha_n e^{\sigma_1 t - n\xi} + \beta_n e^{\sigma_2 t - n\xi}) e^{i\xi x},
\]

and requiring \( \alpha_{n+1} = s \alpha_n \) and \( \beta_{n+1} = s \beta_n \) for the eigenmode, for the amplification \( s \) of the eigenmode we obtain

\[
s = \rho \pm \sqrt{\rho^2 - s_1 s_2}, \quad \rho = \frac{1}{2} \left( s_1 + s_2 - v_0 q^2 \frac{s_1 - s_2}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2} \right),
\]

(8)

with \( s_1 = e^{\sigma_1 T} \) and \( s_2 = e^{\sigma_2 T} \). Since \( s_1 < 1 \) [cf. Eq. (6)] and \( \rho < 1 \), the condition for instability, \( |s| > 1 \), can only be satisfied with real \( s < -1 \). Thus the only instability is subharmonic, i.e., the motion repeats itself only every other period of the driving, as observed experimentally. Analyzing Eq. (8) in the limit \( q \to \infty \) shows that catastrophic instabilities occur if either \( D_1 \) or \( D_2 \) vanish. This can also be seen directly from Eq. (4): Since the driving with \( \gamma \) is proportional to \( q^2 \), only the combined damping \( D_1 D_2 q^4 \) keeps short-wavelength instabilities at bay. We emphasize that this mechanism for wave number selection is quite different from that at work in the liquid case. Faraday waves have the same dispersion relation as if there was no driving, and the significance of the driving lies only in exciting the waves. In the case of sand, the medium itself does not support waves, as seen from Eq. (6); only the competition between driving at small wave numbers and damping at large wave numbers selects the wave number.

Strictly speaking, Eq. (6) applies only during the free fall between shocks. During the periods in which the sand is in contact with the plate and experiences an acceleration, it could exhibit an oscillatory response if the damping is sufficiently weak. Specifically, in the absence of any forcing, i.e., for \( \gamma = 1 \), the waves exhibit a damped oscillatory behavior for

\[
B(D_2 - D_1) < 1.
\]

(9)

Experimentally, however, the layer spends a large fraction of the cycle in free flight already before the onset of waves. Therefore, even if Eq. (9) should be satisfied during the brief periods during which the layer is in contact with the plate, the oscillatory response is not expected to be relevant for the excitation of waves.

Next we compute the most unstable mode on the neutral curve \( s = 1 \). For supercritical transitions this gives the wavelength that is expected to appear as the acceleration is raised slowly above the critical value \( \Gamma_c \). Introducing the dimensionless combinations

\[
\delta = \frac{D_2}{D_1}, \quad \beta = BT, \quad Q^2 = D_1 q^2 T,
\]

(10)

we find

\[
v_0^0 = D_1 \frac{(1 + e^{Q^2})(\beta + (\delta - 1)Q^2)}{Q^2 - 1 - e^{-(\beta + 2\delta Q^2)}}.
\]

(11)

To find the critical wave number, Eq. (11) has to be minimized with respect to \( Q \), giving
CONTINUUM DESCRIPTION OF VIBRATED SAND

In a double-logarithmic plot, the dispersion relation shows a sharp transition at $\omega = \omega_u$, which lies between 3 and 4 for $\bar{h}_0 = 2.98$ mm. In the low-frequency regime $\omega < \omega_u$, the behavior is close to $k \sim \omega^{1/3}$, while a much weaker dependence of roughly $k \sim \omega^{0.3}$ is seen at high frequencies. Note that the exponents of both power laws are much smaller than the exponent 2 reported in earlier experiments [11], continuum theory [24], and numerical simulations [14,17]. In view of the short scaling range one should, however, be very careful interpreting the data in terms of scaling laws.

According to Ref. [31] the transition between the different power laws is associated with the frequency $\Gamma \sqrt{h_0/2d}$, above which the velocity of the plate is no longer sufficient to let one particle hop across the other. Therefore, all particles are locked into a fixed relative position, and the motion is predominantly in the vertical direction. Our theory is therefore not expected to be applicable. Hence we will only be concerned with the low-frequency regime $\omega < \omega_u$. From the data of Ref. [31] for $\bar{h}_0/d$ between 3 and 13, it is also seen that continuum scaling works much better in the low-frequency regime.

Turning to the phase diagram, stripe patterns are observed at high frequencies, while our frequency range of interest $\omega < \omega_u$ is associated with two-dimensional square patterns. This does not, however, affect the comparison with the linear properties of the one-dimensional model. In the low-frequency regime, the critical $\Gamma$ decreases slightly with frequency, and hysteresis is found. Experiments at different layer heights [16,37] reveal that there is only a small increase of $\Gamma_c$ with layer height.

To compare theory with experiment, it is useful to return to dimensioned quantities in order to resurrect the dependence on the mean layer height $\bar{h}_0$. We find

$$f(\Gamma_c) = \frac{D_{1,2}(\omega, \bar{h}_0)}{g \bar{h}_0} \Gamma_c \propto \frac{\bar{h}_0}{\omega},$$

where $a(D_1, D_2)$ is determined from an implicit equation. In both cases $\bar{h}_0 \propto \omega^{1/2}$ for fixed $D_1$. This is because the waves are damped by a diffusive mechanism. The same “viscous” scaling has also been found in other approaches [24,25]. Power laws different from 1/2 are due to some frequency dependence of the model parameters.

We can now attempt to make a more quantitative comparison between experimental measurements [18] and our model. We will try to extract the dependence of the transport coefficients on the layer height and the frequency from the experimental data, and see whether this leads to a consistent picture. In Figs. 2 and 3 we show the experimental measurement of the onset curve and the dispersion relation, respectively.

FIG. 2. Neutral curve $\Gamma_\omega(\omega)$. Solid circles give the experimental results for increasing $\Gamma$ for a mean layer thickness of 2.98 mm, taken from Ref. [18]. Theoretical fits for $B = 0.08$, $D_1 = 2.1/\omega$, and $D_2 = 0.12/\omega$ (open squares); $B = 0.14$, $D_1 = 0.93/\omega$, $D_2 = 0.94/\omega$ (plusses); and $B = 0.3$, $D_1 = 0.1/\omega$, and $D_2 = 1.9/\omega$ (open circles).

$$Q_c = Q_c(\beta, \delta).$$

Plugging this back into Eq. (11) leads to the critical impact velocity $v_0(\beta, \delta)$, and then to an expression of the form

$$f(\Gamma_c) = v_0^{(c)}(\beta, \delta) = D_{1,2}(\beta, \delta) \propto \beta \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$Q_c = a(D_1, D_2) \omega^{1/2} \text{ for } \beta \rightarrow 0,$$

where $a(D_1, D_2)$ is determined from an implicit equation. In both cases $\bar{h}_0 \propto \omega^{1/2}$ for fixed $D_1$. This is because the waves are damped by a diffusive mechanism. The same “viscous” scaling has also been found in other approaches [24,25]. Power laws different from 1/2 are due to some frequency dependence of the model parameters.

FIG. 3. Dispersion relation $q_c(\omega)$ corresponding to the neutral curves in Fig. 2. Experimental results are given by solid symbols (circles for $\bar{h}_0 = 2.98$ mm, triangles for $\bar{h}_0 = 1.49$ mm).
would remain constant for all $\nu$ to give the correct value for $D$. 

For definiteness, we consider the phase diagram as in the

dependent on the local layer height $h$. From Eqs. (10) and (20) it follows that for $B=0$ the onset acceleration $\Gamma_+$ is independent of frequency (for $\epsilon=0$). If $B$ is taken to be finite, lower frequencies will be damped most, due to the term $Bv$ in Eq. (1). Thus, in accordance with experimental findings [12,16,18], the critical acceleration $\Gamma^{(c)}$ decreases slightly with frequency.

We concentrate on the transition from a flat layer to waves in the low-frequency regime $\omega<\omega_0$. The transition occurs for $\Gamma$ between 2.5 and 3 at the lowest frequencies, depending on the type of particle and on the layer thickness. For definiteness, we consider the phase diagram [18] for a mean layer thickness of $\bar{h}_0=2.98$ mm, shown in Fig. 2. The critical $\Gamma_+$ is seen to rise from $\Gamma_+ = 2.3$ at $\omega = 4$ to $\Gamma_+ = 2.7$ at $\omega = 1$. Keeping the ratio $\delta = D_2/D_1$ constant, one can adjust $D_2$ to give the correct value for $\omega = 1$. For $B=0$, this value would remain constant for all $\omega$. By choosing $B$ to have $\Gamma_+$ agree with the experimental finding at $\omega = 4$, the onset curve is reasonably well reproduced. In Fig. 2 we include the fits for $\delta = 0.057$, $\delta = 1.01$, and $\delta = 19$, for which the agreement is comparable.

Next we turn to the dispersion relation of wavenumber versus frequency as given by Bizon et al. [18], shown in Fig. 3. The only parameter remaining to be fixed is the ratio $\delta$ between damping constants. We adjust it to obtain an optimum fit of the theoretical dispersion relation to the experimental data. While the slope of the experimental data is still slightly higher than predicted from theory, for $\delta = 0.057$ we find a reasonable agreement.

IV. NONLINEAR PROPERTIES AND OSCILLONS

To investigate the nonlinear behavior of the model, the coefficients $D_i$ are taken to depend on the local layer height $h$ according to Eq. (20). We find that the bifurcation from the flat state to the standing waves is supercritical if the transport coefficients are taken to be independent of $h_0$ and $v$ (as in the

similar model proposed in Ref. [24]), while experimentally the transition is subcritical [11,12]. We consider two physically plausible reasons for this discrepancy.

Statically, sand has a finite angle of repose. Motion only starts if the slope of a hill of sand exceeds a certain critical value $\kappa$. One may expect that in a dynamic state this leads to enhanced friction of the flowing upper layer when the slope of the surface is small. We model this by taking

$$B = B_0 \left(1 + B_1 e^{-\left(h_i/\kappa\right)^2}\right),$$

where $\kappa$ sets the characteristic slope below which the granular character of the material becomes noticeable.

Second, the layer will have a much higher viscosity when it is near its compact state. An accurate description will have to contain a parameter which measures how far the layer is from this state. As the “fluidization” increases, the viscosity is expected to decrease. The simplest assumption is that the fluidization depends directly on the local velocity $v(z,t)$, and thus we model this effect by

$$D_2 = D_2^{(0)}(\omega) \left[1 + \eta e^{-\left(v(x,t)/\kappa\right)^2}\right].$$

Note that the fluidization and thus the layer viscosity is distinct from the typical velocity of the vibrating plate. The latter sets the typical collision time between particles, and thus leads to a rise in viscosity as it increases. It is obvious that Eqs. (22) and (23) can lead to a subcritical transition to waves. Greater accelerations are needed to set the layer into motion for zero initial slope and zero velocity. On the other hand, once waves have started to appear, the motion will persist to lower values of $\Gamma$. Figure 4 shows a typical hysteresis with only the fluidization [Eq. (23)] taken into account. In the numerical simulations $\gamma(t)$ is taken to contain not only the $\delta$ shocks, but also the smoothly varying acceleration while the layer is in contact with the plate.

The subcritical properties of the model are believed to play a crucial role in the appearance of localized subharmonic excitations called oscillons. They arise from extended square patterns when the driving is reduced below the stability limit of the latter. Alternatively, they can be excited by a localized “seed” [28]. In one period, an oscillon consists of an axisymmetric jet of a height several times its diameter shooting out of an almost flat layer. In the next period, the oscillon forms a shallow circular “trough,” surrounded by a small mound.
The appearance of oscillons requires that the flat layer be linearly stable, while, in the region covered by the oscillon, waves can be sustained, since the grains are fluidized only in the center. In the trough phase, the mound has a very shallow slope facing out, so the outward flux of material is strongly damped and almost all the material is sent radially inward. The small amount of sand that is transported outward comes back during the following "peak" phase. Because of mass conservation, it is evident that the formation of oscillons is greatly facilitated by their radial geometry. The greater the radius $r$ away from the center, the smaller the height $h(r)$ that corresponds to the mass of the peak during the peak phase. Conversely, ingoing sand is focused into a sharp jet. Therefore, within the one-dimensional version of our model, oscillons are expected to arise only over a smaller range of parameters than in two dimensions [27]. In fact, they could only be found for greatly exaggerated subcritical behavior, i.e., large $B_1$ and $\eta$. This may also be the reason why, so far, stable oscillons have not been found in experiments on vibrated sand between two narrowly spaced plates, which effectively have only one horizontal dimension [15]. In these experiments, localized structures appear only intermittently as localized bursts, but not as time-periodic structures with steady amplitude. Our main point will therefore be that structurally our model allows oscillon solutions. For a more quantitative description an axisymmetric version of our code has to be considered.

Figure 5 shows a numerically obtained one-dimensional oscillon in its two phases. The central jet is considerably less sharp than the experimental one, as expected from the above argument. The parameters are chosen so as to damp the motion outside the oscillon very rapidly ($\eta=6$), and to make sure that as the layer hits the plate in the trough phase, almost all material is transported inward ($\kappa=0.2, B_1=19$). The temporal evolution of the surface height is shown in Fig. 6 as a space-time diagram. This illustrates the transport away and toward the center of the oscillon. As initial conditions, we chose a localized velocity profile directed inward towards a point, to produce an initial central peak. We find that the layer thickness averaged over two periods is smaller inside the oscillon than outside. Thus the oscillon tends to push out material. In preparing an initial condition, we accounted for this by reducing the layer thickness in the center. Once the solution was close to stationary, we checked for exponential convergence toward an oscillon solution. Furthermore, the parameters could be varied to within a few percent without destabilizing the oscillon.

V. DISCUSSION

In the present paper we have presented a simple hydrodynamic description of surface waves on vertically vibrated granular media. We have eliminated all vertical degrees of freedom, and described the horizontal motion by three effective transport coefficients. Our model predicts an instability based on the interplay between shocks imparted by impacts on the plate and diffusion both in the layer height and the momentum of the layer. This distinguishes the model from earlier approaches [11,16,25], which, in analogy to Faraday waves in liquids, are based on the resonant excitation of damped waves that exist even in the absence of periodic driving.

FIG. 5. Oscillon solution for $\Gamma=2.5, \omega=1.6, D_1=0.376h, D_2(0)=0.08h, B_0=0.1, B_1=19, \kappa=0.2, \eta=6$, and $v_f=0.4$.

FIG. 6. Space-time diagram of the evolution of the oscillon shown in Fig. 5 during two periods of the driving. The shocklike impact occurs at the times marked with narrower line spacing.
To obtain quantitative agreement with experiment, the dependence of the transport coefficients $B$, $D_1$, and $D_2$ on experimental control parameters has to be taken into account. Remarkably, the possibilities for this dependence become severely restricted by the weak dependence of the neutral curve on the frequency and the collapse of the experimental data for the dispersion relation in units of the gravitational acceleration $g$ and the mean layer height $h_0$. As a consequence, the neutral curve and the fact of the data collapse alone imply a certain dependence of the wave number on the frequency, which turns out to be in reasonable agreement with the experiment. This leads to an interdependence of these seemingly independent observations. Conversely, the power laws are predicted to change if other parameter dependencies of $B$, $D_1$, and $D_2$ apply, in which case data collapse may also no longer occur. Thus the data collapse indicates more than continuum scaling alone, i.e., more than the independence of the grain diameter $d$; within our model the collapse is due to specific dynamical properties of the vibrated sand as reflected in the transport coefficients. Indeed, there are indications [16,18] of a high-frequency regime in which the power law is different, and where the data collapse also seems to be in question [31].

To obtain the experimentally observed strong subcriticality of the transition to waves, we have invoked a critical slope $\kappa$ in the friction term [Eq. (22)]. This effect would be connected with the granularity of the material, and the parameters are expected to depend on $d\bar{h}_0$, implying that the continuum scaling should not hold in the nonlinear regime. Unfortunately, the fluidization (23) also comes into play when determining the hysteresis, so there is no simple estimate of the dependence of the hysteresis $\Delta\Gamma$ on the particle diameter. Still, measurements of $\Delta\Gamma$ as a function of both $d$ and $\bar{h}_0$ would be revealing.

It is expected that the agreement with experimental data could be further improved if additional aspects of the system are included in the model. For instance, we have neglected effects of a layer dilution, which will depend on frequency; the dilution will smooth out the $\delta$ driving, making the driving less effective, and lowering $u_0$.

It would be interesting if the dependence of $B, D_1,$ and $D_2$ on the frequency or other experimental variables could be determined directly in experiments. Whether the measurement of the frequency dependence of the viscosity by dragging a sphere through a vibrated layer of sand [38] is directly transferable to our model is not clear. In addition, it is assumed that only a certain part of the layer takes part in the horizontal motion. In [24] this was treated by introducing a “penetration depth,” which is an unknown function of parameters. It was crucial for obtaining a transition at $\omega_0$ between high- and low-frequency regimes [24].

An obvious question is whether our model can be tested against other experimental observations without significant further complications and with the same parameter values. First, the success [18] of numerical simulations in reproducing experimental data opens the possibility of a detailed comparison of the wave forms. Nonlinear effects are very strong and the waves are far from sinusoidal, the crests being substantially more peaked than the troughs, which qualitatively is also seen in our model. A next step would be an exploration of the phase diagram at higher accelerations, at least in the low-frequency regime. That would necessitate a two-dimensional approach to address the relevant pattern-selection properties. Such a two-dimensional version would also allow for a quantitative study of oscillons, both in their shape and their occurrence in parameter space.

In the model presented here it is found that localized waves expel material into the quiescent regions. Transport of this type was considered essential in the Ginzburg-Landau-type model introduced in Ref. [25], in which the layer height couples back to the damping of the waves. Such a feedback would occur in the model discussed here for $\epsilon>0$ in Eq. (20). Whether such a transport actually occurs in the experiment is of great interest.

A significant step beyond the models presented so far would be an investigation of the high-frequency regime where the dispersion relation very significantly changes its power law. Since this seems to be the regime where grains are geometrically obstructing each other, one expects a significant dependence on grain size and a breakdown of continuum scaling. Within our model, this could possibly be modeled by a different parameter dependence of the transport coefficients. On the other hand, it is conceivable that the strong spatial correlations introduced by the particles, which are locked into a fixed relative position and predominantly move in a vertical direction, calls for a different, perhaps nonlocal, hydrodynamic description.
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To obtain $\tilde{h}(\tilde{u}_t + \bar{\nu}\tilde{v})$ in Eq. (1b) from the material derivative $D(\bar{\nu}_t)/Dt$, mass conservation, Eq. (1a) is used. However, the diffusion term $(\tilde{D}_{\bar{\nu}}\tilde{h})$, which would lead to an additional, nonlinear term in the momentum equation, comes from grains flying above the layer. This requires a much more sophisticated model to be treated consistently, and is therefore ignored in the following.