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#### Abstract

We construct a renormalization operator acting on the space of analytic Hamiltonians defined on $T^{*} \mathbb{T}^{d}, d \geqslant 2$, based on the multidimensional continued fractions algorithm developed by the authors. We show convergence of orbits of the operator around integrable Hamiltonians satisfying a nondegeneracy condition. This in turn yields a new proof of a KAM-type theorem on the stability of diophantine invariant tori.
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## 1. Introduction

The connection between KAM and renormalization theories has been realized for quite some time. The renormalization approach to KAM has several important advantages. First of all, it provides a unified setting which allows us to deal with both the cases of smooth KAM-type invariant tori and non-smooth critical tori. Secondly, the proofs based on renormalizations are conceptually very simple and give a different perspective on the problem of small divisors. For the continuous-time situation, several KAM results for small-divisor problems in quasiperiodic motion have been obtained by studying the stability of trivial fixed sets of renormalization operators (cf e.g. [3,7,10,12,13]). There was however a relevant restriction when dealing with multiple frequencies. Because renormalization methods rely fundamentally on the continued fractions expansion of the frequency vector, the lack of a multidimensional version of continued fractions was the reason for failing to replicate KAM in its full generality. This limitation was recently overcome in [6] by adapting Lagarias' algorithm [11] and deriving estimates for multidimensional continued fractions (MCFs) expansions of diophantine vectors.

We present here a further application of the multidimensional renormalization method following [6] (for vector fields on the torus) and [9] (for skew-product flows over translations on the torus), illustrating once again the connection between KAM and renormalization methods tackling quasiperiodic motion problems. Moreover, we hope that our work could lead to a better understanding of the behaviour of renormalization around critical fixed points. The only rigorous result in this direction is a computer-assisted proof of the existence of such critical fixed point in the golden-mean $d=2$ case [8].

Our present renormalization scheme is similar in spirit to Koch's [7]. One of the differences is that the (analytic) Hamiltonians considered in [7] are close to the integrable (degenerate) Hamiltonian $\mathbb{R}^{d} \ni \boldsymbol{y} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}$. So, due to the degeneracy condition there are unstable directions for the trivial fixed point of renormalization, and thus the KAM domain will correspond to the stable manifold. In our approach we deal with an extra quadratic term in the integrable case which implies convergence under renormalization on a ball. Moreover, the frequency vector $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ in [7] is assumed to be of a special kind (known as Koch type, cf [12]) corresponding to a zero Lebesgue measure set. In our work the result on the stability of invariant tori is valid for any diophantine vector, a full measure set. It is still a fundamental open problem to determine the largest set of frequencies for which the stability of KAM tori holds. We also expect that our methods can be adapted in order to deal with Hamiltonians of class $C^{k}$.

Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}, d \geqslant 2$, be an open set containing the origin, and let $H^{0}$ be a real-analytic Hamiltonian function

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}+\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\top} \boldsymbol{y} Q \boldsymbol{y}, \quad(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \mathbb{T}^{d} \times B \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and a real symmetric $d \times d$ matrix $Q . H^{0}$ is said to be non-degenerate if $\operatorname{det} Q \neq 0$. We say $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is diophantine if there are constants $\beta>0$ and $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{k}\|^{d-1+\beta}|\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}|>C, \quad \boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}-\{\mathbf{0}\} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper we prove the following theorem.
Theorem 1.1. Suppose $H^{0}$ is non-degenerate and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is diophantine. If $H$ is a real analytic Hamiltonian on $\mathbb{T}^{d} \times B$ sufficiently close to $H^{0}$, then the Hamiltonian flow of $H$ leaves invariant a Lagrangian d-dim torus where it is analytically conjugated to the linear flow $\phi_{t}(\boldsymbol{x})=\boldsymbol{x}+t \boldsymbol{\omega}$ on $\mathbb{T}^{d}, t \geqslant 0$. The conjugacy depends analytically on $H$.

## Sketch of the proof

Our proof of theorem 1.1 is related to the one in [6] done in the context of vector fields on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$. Hamiltonian vector fields involve more complicated analysis since there is extra dynamics on a vertical direction (action) and we need to preserve the symplectic nature of the problem. Our goal is to find an analytic embedding $\mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{d} \times B$ that conjugates the Hamiltonian flow to the linear flow on the torus given by $\omega$.

We do not work directly with vector fields, instead we renormalize Hamiltonian functions $H(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=H^{0}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})+F(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})$ where $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \mathbb{T}^{d} \times B$ and $F$ is a sufficiently small analytic perturbation. Using a rescaling of time we may assume that $\omega=\binom{\alpha}{1}$ for some diophantine $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. The perturbation $F$ is decomposed in a Taylor-Fourier series $F(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{k, \nu} F_{\boldsymbol{k}, \nu} y_{1}^{\nu_{1}} \cdots y_{d}^{\nu_{d}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} k \cdot \boldsymbol{x}}$ where the sum is taken over $\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ and $\nu_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. By the analyticity of $F$, its modes decay exponentially as $\|\boldsymbol{k}\| \rightarrow+\infty$ for fixed $\nu$.

Renormalization is an iterative scheme that at each step produces a new Hamiltonian. Suppose that after the $(n-1)$ th step the Hamiltonian is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\omega^{(n-1)} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}+\frac{1}{2}^{\top} \boldsymbol{y} Q_{n-1} y+F_{n-1}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n-1)}=\binom{\alpha^{(n-1)}}{1}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(n-1)}$ is given by the continued fraction algorithm (see section 2) and $Q_{n-1}$ is a symmetric matrix with non-zero determinant. Moreover, we assume that $F_{n-1}$ only contains Taylor-Fourier resonant modes (said to be in $I_{n-1}^{+}$), i.e. satisfying $\left|\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n-1)} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}\right| \leqslant \sigma_{n-1}\|\boldsymbol{k}\|$ or $\|\boldsymbol{\nu}\| \geqslant \tau_{n-1}\|\boldsymbol{k}\|$ for some $\sigma_{n-1}, \tau_{n-1}>0$. So, the $n$th step is defined by the following operations:
(1) Apply a linear operator corresponding to an affine symplectic transformation given by $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \mapsto\left(T^{(n)^{-1}} \boldsymbol{x},^{\top} \boldsymbol{T}^{(n)} \boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)$ for some fixed vector $\boldsymbol{b}_{n}$.
(2) Rescale the action in order to 'zoom in' around the invariant torus.
(3) Rescale time (energy) to ensure that the frequency vector is in the form $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n)}=\binom{\alpha^{(n)}}{1}$.
(4) Eliminate the constant mode of the Hamiltonian.
(5) Eliminate all the modes outside the resonant cone $I_{n}^{+}$(thus avoiding dealing with small divisors) by a close to the identity symplectomorphism.

The first transformation above has a conjugate action $\boldsymbol{k} \mapsto{ }^{\top} \boldsymbol{T}^{(n)^{-1}} \boldsymbol{k}$. It follows from the hyperbolicity of $T^{(n)}$ that this transformation contracts $I_{n-1}^{+}$if $\sigma_{n-1}$ and $\tau_{n-1}^{-1}$ are small enough. This significantly improves the analyticity domain in the $\boldsymbol{x}$ direction which implies the decrease in the estimates for the corresponding modes. As a result, all modes with $\boldsymbol{k} \neq 0$ become smaller.

Besides the (trivial) case $(\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{\nu})=(0,0)$ which is dealt with by operation (4), we control the size of the remaining $\boldsymbol{k}=0$ modes in different ways. The case $S:=\sum_{i} \nu_{i}=1$ (corresponding to the linear term in the action $\boldsymbol{y}$ ) is eliminated by a proper choice of the affine parameter $\boldsymbol{b}_{n}$ depending on $Q_{n-1}$ and the perturbation. That is, $\boldsymbol{b}_{n}$ is used to eliminate an unstable direction related to frequency vectors. The quadratic term in the action $(S=2)$ is included in the new symmetric matrix $Q_{n}$ which has again a non-zero determinant and becomes smaller due to the action rescaling. Finally, we show that the action rescaling is also responsible for the decrease in the higher terms $S \geqslant 3$.

The overall consequence of the iterative scheme just described is that it converges to a limit set of Hamiltonians of the type $\boldsymbol{y} \mapsto \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}$. That is, the 'limit' is a degenerate linear function of the action and from that we show the existence of an $\omega$-invariant torus for the initial Hamiltonian. To prove convergence we need to find proper choices of $\sigma_{n}$ and $\tau_{n}$ as well as of stopping times $t_{n}$, which turn out to be possible for diophantine $\omega$. Roughly, too small values of $\sigma_{n-1}$ and $\tau_{n-1}^{-1}$ make it harder to eliminate modes as they are 'too' resonant. On the other hand, large values imply that $T^{(n)}$ does not contract $I_{n-1}^{+}$. Similarly, large $t_{n}-t_{n-1}$ improve the hyperbolicity of the matrices $T^{(n)}$ but worsen the estimates on their norms and consequently enlarge the perturbation.

In section 2 we review the MCF algorithm contained in [6] and state estimates needed for the following sections. In section 3 we define the renormalization operator and iterate it to show convergence to a trivial limit set. We are then able to prove theorem 1.1 in section 4 . In section 5 we present a proof of theorem 3.6 (similar to $[1,7]$ ) that finds a symplectomorphism capable of eliminating the non-resonant modes of a Hamiltonian.

## 2. Multidimensional continued fractions

For completeness we review here the ideas contained in [6].

### 2.1. Flow on homogeneous space

Denote by $G=\operatorname{SL}(d, \mathbb{R}), \Gamma=\operatorname{SL}(d, \mathbb{Z})$ and take a fundamental domain $\mathcal{F} \subset G$ of the homogeneous space $\Gamma \backslash G$ (the space of $d$-dimensional non-degenerate unimodular lattices).

On $\mathcal{F}$ consider the flow:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{t}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}, \quad M \mapsto P(t) M E^{t}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
E^{t}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-t}, \cdots, \mathrm{e}^{-t}, \mathrm{e}^{(d-1) t}\right) \in G
$$

and $P(t)$ is the unique family in $\Gamma$ that keeps $\Phi^{t}(M)$ in $\mathcal{F}$ for every $t \geqslant 0$.
Given $\omega=\binom{\alpha}{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we are interested in the orbit under $\Phi^{t}$ of the matrix

$$
M_{\omega}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I & \boldsymbol{\alpha}  \tag{2.2}\\
\mathbf{0} & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For this, consider a sequence of times

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{0}=0<t_{1}<t_{2}<\cdots \rightarrow+\infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the matrices $P(t)$ in (2.1) satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{(n)}:=P\left(t_{n}\right) \neq P\left(t_{n-1}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sequence of matrices $P^{(n)} \in \operatorname{SL}(d, \mathbb{Z})$ are the rational approximates of $\omega$, called multidimensional continued fractions expansion. In addition we define the transfer matrices

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{(n)}=P^{(n)} P^{(n-1)^{-1}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The flow of $M_{\omega}$ taken at the time sequence is thus the sequence of matrices

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{(n)}=\Phi^{t_{n}}\left(M_{\omega}\right)=P^{(n)} M_{\omega} E^{t_{n}} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using some properties of the flow, the above can be decomposed (see [6]) into

$$
M^{(n)}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I & \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(n)}  \tag{2.7}\\
\mathbf{0} & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A^{(n)} & \mathbf{0} \\
{ }^{\top} \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(n)} & \gamma^{(n)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\gamma^{(n)}$ being the $d$ th component of the vector $\mathrm{e}^{(d-1) t_{n}} P^{(n)} \boldsymbol{\omega}, A^{(n)}$ is a $(d-1) \times(d-1)$ real matrix and $\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(n)}, \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$.

Define $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n)}=\binom{\boldsymbol{\alpha}^{(n)}}{1}, \boldsymbol{\omega}^{(0)}=\boldsymbol{\omega}$ and, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n)}=\gamma^{(n)^{-1}} M^{(n)}\left(\begin{array}{l}
0  \tag{2.8}\\
\vdots \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right)=\lambda_{n} P^{(n)} \boldsymbol{\omega}=\eta_{n} T^{(n)} \boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n-1)},
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=\frac{1}{\gamma^{(n)}} \mathrm{e}^{(d-1) t_{n}} \quad \text { and } \quad \eta_{n}=\frac{\lambda_{n}}{\lambda_{n-1}} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now the cone

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{(n)}=\left\{\boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:\left|\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n)}\right| \leqslant \sigma_{n}\|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|\right\} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a given $\sigma_{n}>0$. We are using the norm $\|\xi\|=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|\xi_{i}\right|$.
Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the usual matrix norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|M\|:=\sup _{\boldsymbol{x} \neq \boldsymbol{0}} \frac{\|M \boldsymbol{x}\|}{\|\boldsymbol{x}\|} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that any $A \in \operatorname{SL}(d, \mathbb{Z})$ has $\|A\| \geqslant 1$, as is the case of the norm of $T^{(n)}$, its inverse and transpose.
Lemma 2.1 ([6]). If $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in K^{(n-1)}$, then there is $c_{d}>0$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)^{-1}} \boldsymbol{\xi}\right\| \leqslant c_{d}\left(\sigma_{n-1}\left\|T^{(n)^{-1}}\right\|+\mathrm{e}^{-\delta t_{n}}\left\|M^{(n-1)}\right\|\left\|M^{(n)^{-1}}\right\|\right)\|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta t_{n}=t_{n}-t_{n-1}$.

### 2.2. Norm estimates for diophantine vectors

It is a well-known fact that the sets $D C(\beta)$ of diophantine vectors with exponent $\beta>0$ are of full Lebesgue measure [2]. On the other hand, the set $D C(0)$ has zero Lebesgue measure.

Proposition 2.2 ([6]). Let $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in D C(\beta), \beta \geqslant 0$. There are constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}, c_{5}, c_{6}, c_{7}>$ 0 such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|M^{(n)}\right\| \leqslant c_{1} \exp \left[(d-1) \theta t_{n}\right],  \tag{2.13}\\
& \left\|M^{(n)^{-1}}\right\| \leqslant c_{2} \exp \left(\theta t_{n}\right),  \tag{2.14}\\
& \left\|P^{(n)}\right\| \leqslant c_{3} \exp \left[(d \theta+1-\theta) t_{n}\right],  \tag{2.15}\\
& \left\|P^{(n)^{-1}}\right\| \leqslant c_{4} \exp \left[(d-1+\theta) t_{n}\right],  \tag{2.16}\\
& \left\|T^{(n)}\right\| \leqslant c_{5} \exp \left[(1-\theta) \delta t_{n}+d \theta t_{n}\right],  \tag{2.17}\\
& \left\|T^{(n)^{-1}}\right\| \leqslant c_{6} \exp \left[(d-1)(1-\theta) \delta t_{n}+d \theta t_{n}\right] \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{7} \exp \left[-\theta\left(\frac{d^{2}}{1-\theta}-(d-1)\right) t_{n}\right] \leqslant\left|\gamma^{(n)}\right| \leqslant c_{1} \exp \left[(d-1) \theta t_{n}\right] \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta t_{n}=t_{n}-t_{n-1}$ and $\theta=\beta /(d+\beta)$.
Proposition 2.3. Let $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in D C(\beta), \beta \geqslant 0$. If $\boldsymbol{\xi} \in K^{(n-1)}$, then there is $c_{d}>0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)^{-1}} \boldsymbol{\xi}\right\| \leqslant c_{d} \mathrm{e}^{-(1-\theta) \delta t_{n}+d \theta t_{n-1}}\left(c_{6} \sigma_{n-1} \mathrm{e}^{d \delta t_{n}}+c_{1} c_{2}\right)\|\boldsymbol{\xi}\|, \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\theta=\beta /(d+\beta)$.
Proof. The estimate follows from applying proposition 2.2 to lemma 2.1.

## 3. Renormalization of Hamiltonian flows

### 3.1. Preliminaries

Consider the symplectic manifold $T^{*} \mathbb{T}^{d}$ with respect to the canonical symplectic form $\sum_{i=1}^{d} d y_{i} \wedge d x_{i}$. As the cotangent bundle of $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ is trivial, $T^{*} \mathbb{T}^{d} \simeq \mathbb{T}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we identify functions on $T^{*} \mathbb{T}^{d}$ with functions on $\mathbb{T}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}$. By lifting to the universal cover, we consider functions from $\mathbb{R}^{2 d}$ into $\mathbb{R}$ and extend them to the complex domain.

Let $\Omega$ be a neighbourhood of $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times\{0\}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2 d}$. A Hamiltonian is a complex analytic function $H: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{Z}^{d}$-periodic on the first coordinate, written in the form of a Taylor-Fourier series

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{(k, \nu) \in I} H_{k, \nu} \boldsymbol{y}^{\nu} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} k \cdot \boldsymbol{x}}, \quad(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \Omega \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I=\mathbb{Z}^{d} \times(\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\})^{d}, H_{k, \nu} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}^{\nu}=y_{1}^{\nu_{1}} \cdots y_{d}^{\nu_{d}}$.
Let the positive real numbers $\rho$ and $r$ be given in order to determine the domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\rho, r}=D_{\rho} \times B_{r} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\rho}=\left\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{d}:\|\operatorname{Im} \boldsymbol{x}\|<\rho / 2 \pi\right\} \text { and } \\
& B_{r}=\left\{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{d}:\|\boldsymbol{y}\|<r\right\}, \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for the norm $\|\boldsymbol{u}\|=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|u_{i}\right|$ on $\mathbb{C}^{d}$. Moreover, we will be using the norm of matrices given by $\|Q\|=\max _{j=1, \ldots, d} \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|Q_{i, j}\right|$, where $Q_{i, j}$ are the entries of a $d \times d$ matrix $Q$.

Consider the Banach space $\mathcal{A}_{\rho, r}$ of Hamiltonians defined on $\Omega=\mathcal{D}_{\rho, r}$, which extend continuously to the boundary and with finite norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|H\|_{\rho, r}=\sum_{(k, \nu) \in I}\left|H_{k, \nu}\right| r^{\|\nu\|} \mathrm{e}^{\rho\|\boldsymbol{k}\|} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, take a norm on the product space $\mathcal{A}_{\rho, r}^{2 d}=\mathcal{A}_{\rho, r} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{A}_{\rho, r}$ given by $\left\|\left(H_{1}, \cdots, H_{2 d}\right)\right\|_{\rho, r}=\sum_{i=1}^{2 d}\left\|H_{i}\right\|_{\rho, r}$. Using this we define the Banach space $\mathcal{A}_{\rho, r}^{\prime}$ of Hamiltonians $H \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho, r}$ with finite norm

$$
\|H\|_{\rho, r}^{\prime}=\|H\|_{\rho, r}+\|\nabla H\|_{\rho, r} .
$$

A property that will be used several times in this paper is the Cauchy estimate: for any $\delta>0$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
\left\|\partial_{i} H\right\|_{\rho, r} \leqslant \frac{2 \pi}{\delta}\|H\|_{\rho+\delta, r}, & H \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho+\delta, r}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant d  \tag{3.5}\\
\left\|\partial_{j} H\right\|_{\rho, r} \leqslant \frac{1}{\delta}\|H\|_{\rho, r+\delta}, & H \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho, r+\delta}, \quad d+1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2 d,
\end{array}
$$

where $\partial_{k}$ denotes the partial derivative with respect to the $k$ th argument. In particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|H\|_{\rho, r}^{\prime} \leqslant\left(1+\frac{2 \pi+1}{\delta}\right)\|H\|_{\rho+\delta, r+\delta} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant Fourier modes will be written by the projection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} F(\boldsymbol{y})=\int_{\mathbb{T}^{d}} F(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}=\sum_{\nu} F_{0, \nu} \boldsymbol{y}^{\nu}, \quad \mathbb{E}_{\nu} F(\boldsymbol{y})=F_{0, \nu} \boldsymbol{y}^{\nu} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The space where $\mathbb{E} F$ lies is denoted by $\mathbb{E} \mathcal{A}_{r}$ and the natural induced norm is $\|\cdot\|_{r}$. Similarly, we define $\mathbb{E} \mathcal{A}_{r}^{\prime}$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_{r}^{\prime}$.

In the following we will use the notation $A \ll B$ to mean that there is a constant $C>0$ such that $A \leqslant C B$.

Remark 3.1. We will be dealing with maps between Banach spaces over $\mathbb{C}$ with a notion of analyticity stated as follows (cf e.g. [5]): a map $F$ defined on a domain is analytic if it is locally bounded and Gâteux differentiable. If it is analytic on a domain, it is continuous and Fréchet differentiable. Moreover, we have a convergence theorem which is going to be used later on. Let $\left\{F_{k}\right\}$ be a sequence of functions analytic and uniformly locally bounded on a domain $D$. If $\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} F_{k}=F$ on $D$, then $F$ is analytic on $D$.

### 3.2. Change of basis and rescaling

The following transformations leave invariant the dynamics of the flow generated by a Hamiltonian, producing an equivalent system. They consist of

- an affine symplectic transformation of the phase space,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{n}:(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \mapsto\left(T^{(n)^{-1}} \boldsymbol{x},{ }^{\top} T^{(n)} \boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right), \quad(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2 d} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\boldsymbol{b}_{n} \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$,

- a linear time (energy) change,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \mapsto \eta_{n} H \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta_{n}$ is defined in (2.9),

- a linear action rescaling,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \mapsto \frac{1}{\mu_{n}} H\left(\cdot, \mu_{n} \cdot\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a choice of $\mu_{n}>0$ to be specified later on,

- and the (trivial) elimination of the constant term

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \mapsto\left(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{E}_{0}\right) H \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that $\mathbb{E} H \circ R_{z}=\mathbb{E} H$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{Z} \circ L_{n}=L_{n} \circ R_{T^{(n)}} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{z}:(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \mapsto(\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{y}), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^{d} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}, \rho_{n-1}>0$ and $r>0$, we are going to apply the transformations (3.8)-(3.11) to Hamiltonians of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n-1)} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}+\frac{1}{2}{ }^{\top} \boldsymbol{y} Q_{n-1} \boldsymbol{y}+F(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}), \quad(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \mathcal{D}_{\rho_{n-1}, r}, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{n-1}$ is a $d \times d$ symmetric matrix and $F \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{n-1}, r}$. We thus get new Hamiltonians which are images under the map

$$
\mathcal{L}_{n}(H)=\left(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{E}_{0}\right) \frac{\eta_{n}}{\mu_{n}} H \circ L_{n}\left(\cdot, \mu_{n} \cdot\right) .
$$

In order to simplify notations, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{y})=\mu_{n}^{\top} \boldsymbol{T}^{(n)} \boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{b}_{n} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, for any $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in L_{n}^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{\rho_{n-1}, r}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{L}_{n}(H)(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \\
& \quad=\left(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{E}_{0}\right) \frac{\eta_{n}}{\mu_{n}}\left[\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n-1)} \cdot \Phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{y})+\frac{1}{2}^{\top} \Phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{y}) Q_{n-1} \Phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{y})+F \circ L_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \mu_{n} \boldsymbol{y}\right)\right] . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

By the decomposition $F=(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{E}) F+F_{0}$ and using the Taylor expansion of $F_{0}$ :
$F_{0} \circ \Phi_{n}(\boldsymbol{y})=F_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)+\mu_{n}{ }^{\top} \nabla F_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)^{\top} T^{(n)} \boldsymbol{y}+\frac{\mu_{n}^{2}}{2}{ }^{\top} \boldsymbol{y} T^{(n)} D^{2} F_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)^{\top} T^{(n)} \boldsymbol{y}+\Upsilon_{n}(\boldsymbol{y})$,
with $\Upsilon_{n}(\boldsymbol{y})=\mathcal{O}\left(\|\boldsymbol{y}\|^{3}\right)$, we get
$\mathcal{L}_{n}(H)(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n)} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}+\eta_{n}\left[{ }^{\top} \boldsymbol{b}_{n} Q_{n-1}+{ }^{\top} \nabla F_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)\right]^{\top} \boldsymbol{T}^{(n)} \boldsymbol{y}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{\eta_{n} \mu_{n}}{2} \top \boldsymbol{y} T^{(n)}\left[Q_{n-1}+D^{2} F_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)\right]^{\top} T^{(n)} \boldsymbol{y} \\
& +\frac{\eta_{n}}{\mu_{n}} \Upsilon_{n}(\boldsymbol{y})+\frac{\eta_{n}}{\mu_{n}}(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{E}) F \circ L_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \mu_{n} \boldsymbol{y}\right) . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to 'normalize' the (Fourier constant) linear term in $\boldsymbol{y}$ of $\mathbb{E} \mathcal{L}_{n}(H)$ by making it equal to $\omega^{(n)} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}$, we choose $\boldsymbol{b}_{n}$ inside the domain of $\nabla F_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n-1} \boldsymbol{b}_{n}+\nabla F_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)=0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quadratic term is dealt with by considering a new symmetric $d \times d$ matrix $Q_{n}$ being

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}=\eta_{n} \mu_{n} T^{(n)}\left[Q_{n-1}+D^{2} F_{0}\left(\boldsymbol{b}_{n}\right)\right]^{\top} T^{(n)} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can finally write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{n}(H)(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n)} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}+\frac{1}{2}^{\top} \boldsymbol{y} Q_{n} \boldsymbol{y}+\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{n}\left(F_{0}\right)(\boldsymbol{y})+\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{n}\left(F-F_{0}\right)(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}), \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{n}: F_{0} \mapsto \frac{\eta_{n}}{\mu_{n}} \Upsilon_{n} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the cubic and higher terms in $\boldsymbol{y}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{n}:(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{E}) F \mapsto \frac{\eta_{n}}{\mu_{n}}(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{E}) F \circ L_{n}\left(\cdot, \mu_{n} \cdot\right) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the non-constant Fourier modes. The above operators are defined in $\mathbb{E} \mathcal{A}_{r}$ and $(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{E}) \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{n-1}, r}$.
For a given $\gamma>0$, denote by $\Delta_{\gamma}$ the set of all $H$ as in (3.14) such that $\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{\rho_{n-1}, r}<\gamma$.

Lemma 3.2. If $\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{n-1}\right) \neq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{n}=\frac{r^{2}}{16\left\|Q_{n-1}^{-1}\right\|} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

there is $b_{n} \in C^{1}\left(\Delta_{\gamma_{n}}, \mathbb{C}^{d}\right)$ such that, for all $H \in \Delta_{\gamma_{n}}, \boldsymbol{b}_{n}=b_{n}(H)$ satisfies (3.19) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|b_{n}(H)\right\|<(2 / r)\left\|Q_{n-1}^{-1}\right\|\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{r}<\frac{r}{8} . \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $\operatorname{det}\left(Q_{n}\right) \neq 0$ where $Q_{n}$ is given by (3.20) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q_{n}^{-1}\right\| \leqslant \frac{\left\|T^{(n)^{-1}}\right\|\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)^{-1}}\right\|}{\mu_{n}\left|\eta_{n}\right|\left(\left\|Q_{n-1}^{-1}\right\|^{-1}-\frac{16}{r^{2}}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{r}\right)} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $F_{0}$ is real-analytic and $Q_{n-1}$ is real, $b_{n}(H) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $Q_{n}$ is also real.
Proof. Consider the differentiable function $\mathcal{F}(H, \boldsymbol{b})=\boldsymbol{b}+Q_{n-1}^{-1} \nabla F_{0}(\boldsymbol{b})$ defined on $\Delta_{\gamma_{n}} \times B_{r / 2}$. Notice that $\mathcal{F}\left(H_{n-1}^{0}, 0\right)=0$. Moreover, the derivative of $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to the second argument,

$$
D_{2} \mathcal{F}(H, \boldsymbol{b})=I+Q_{n-1}^{-1} D^{2} F_{0}(\boldsymbol{b}), \quad(H, \boldsymbol{b}) \in \Delta_{\gamma_{n}} \times B_{r / 2},
$$

admits a bounded inverse because

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|D^{2} F_{0}\right\|_{r / 2}= & \max _{d+1 \leqslant j \leqslant 2 d}\left\|\partial_{j} \nabla F_{0}\right\|_{r / 2} \\
& \leqslant(4 / r)\left\|\nabla F_{0}\right\|_{3 r / 4} \\
& \leqslant\left(16 / r^{2}\right)\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{r} \\
& <\left\|Q_{n-1}^{-1}\right\|^{-1} \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

by the Cauchy estimate. Thus, the implicit function theorem implies the existence of a $C^{1}$ function $b_{n}: H \mapsto b_{n}(H)$ in a neighbourhood of $H_{n-1}^{0}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{F}\left(H, b_{n}(H)\right)=b_{n}(H)+Q_{n-1}^{-1} \nabla F_{0}\left(b_{n}(H)\right)=0,
$$

i.e. a solution of (3.19). Notice that for any $H \in \Delta_{\gamma_{n}}$ the operator $\operatorname{Id}-\mathcal{F}(H, \cdot)$ is a contraction with a unique fixed point $b_{n}(H)$. Hence the domain of the $C^{1}$ function $H \mapsto b(H)$ is extendable to $\Delta_{\gamma_{n}}$ and thus (3.25). Assuming $F_{0}$ to be real-analytic and $Q_{n-1}$ with real entries, the same argument is still valid when considering $B_{r / 2} \cap \mathbb{R}^{d}$. So, $b(H)$ is real and $Q_{n}$ is a real symmetric matrix.

From (3.27),

$$
\left\|Q_{n-1}^{-1} D^{2} F_{0}\left(b_{n}(H)\right)\right\|<1, \quad H \in \Delta_{\gamma_{n}}
$$

Hence, $A=Q_{n-1}\left[I+Q_{n-1}^{-1} D^{2} F_{0}\left(b_{n}(H)\right)\right]$ is invertible. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A^{-1}\right\| \leqslant 1 /\left(\left\|Q_{n-1}^{-1}\right\|^{-1}-\left\|D^{2} F_{0}\right\|_{r / 2}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, $Q_{n}^{-1}=\left(\eta_{n} \mu_{n}\right)^{-1}{ }^{\top} T^{(n)^{-1}} A^{-1} T^{(n)^{-1}}$, thus (3.26).
Lemma 3.3. If $r<r^{\prime}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n}<\frac{r}{4 r^{\prime}\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)}\right\|} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\widehat{\mathcal{L}_{n}}: \mathbb{E} \mathcal{A}_{r} \cap \Delta_{\gamma_{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \mathcal{A}_{r^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{n}\right\| \leqslant \mu_{n}^{2}\left|\eta_{n}\right|\left(1+\frac{1}{2 r^{\prime}}\right) \frac{\left(4 r^{\prime}\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)}\right\|\right)^{3}}{r^{2}\left(r-4 r^{\prime} \mu_{n}\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)}\right\|\right)} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $H \in \Delta_{\gamma_{n}}, R=\frac{r}{4 r^{\prime} \mu_{n}\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)}\right\|}>1, \boldsymbol{y} \in B_{r^{\prime}}$ and the map

$$
\begin{align*}
& f:\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| \leqslant R\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d} \\
& z \mapsto F_{0}\left(z \mu_{n}{ }^{\top} T^{(n)} \boldsymbol{y}+b_{n}(H)\right) . \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\Upsilon_{n}$ as in (3.17) can be written as

$$
f(1)-f(0)-D f(0)-\frac{1}{2} D^{2} f(0)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}} \oint_{|z|=R} \frac{f(z)}{z^{3}(z-1)} \mathrm{d} z .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Upsilon_{n}\right\|_{r^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} & \left\|\oint_{|z|=R} \frac{f(z)}{z^{3}(z-1)} \mathrm{d} z\right\|_{r^{\prime}}^{\prime} \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{R^{2}(R-1)} \sup _{|z|=R}\left\|F_{0}\left(z \mu_{n}^{\top} T^{(n)} \cdot+b_{n}(H)\right)\right\|_{r^{\prime}}^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\|\boldsymbol{y}\|<r^{\prime}$, in view of (3.25),

$$
\sup _{|z|=R}\left\|z \mu_{n}^{\top} T^{(n)} \boldsymbol{y}+b_{n}(H)\right\| \leqslant R \mu_{n}\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)}\right\| r^{\prime}+\left\|b_{n}(H)\right\|<r / 2
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sup _{|z|=R}\left\|F_{0}\left(z \mu_{n}{ }^{\top} T^{(n)} \cdot+b_{n}(H)\right)\right\|_{r^{\prime}}^{\prime} \leqslant\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{r / 2}+R \mu_{n}\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)}\right\|\left\|\nabla F_{0}\right\|_{r / 2} \\
\leqslant\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{r / 2}+\frac{1}{2 r^{\prime}}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{r} \leqslant\left(1+\frac{1}{2 r^{\prime}}\right)\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{r} . \tag{3.32}
\end{gather*}
$$

Thus, $\left\|\Upsilon_{n}\right\|_{r^{\prime}}^{\prime} \leqslant\left(1+1 / 2 r^{\prime}\right)\left[R^{2}(R-1)\right]^{-1}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{r}$ and

$$
\left\|\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{n}\left(F_{0}\right)\right\|_{r^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\frac{\left|\eta_{n}\right|}{\mu_{n}}\left\|\Upsilon_{n}\right\|_{r^{\prime}}^{\prime} \leqslant \frac{\left|\eta_{n}\right|}{\mu_{n}}\left(1+\frac{1}{2 r^{\prime}}\right) \frac{\left(4 r^{\prime}\left|\mu_{n}\right|\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)}\right\|\right)^{3}}{r^{2}\left(r-4 r^{\prime}\left|\mu_{n}\right|\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)}\right\|\right)}\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{r}
$$

### 3.3. Far from resonance modes

Given $\sigma_{n}, \tau_{n}>0$, we call far from resonance modes with respect to $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n)}$ the Taylor-Fourier modes with indices in

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}^{-}=\left\{(\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{\nu}) \in I:\left|\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n)} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}\right|>\sigma_{n}\|\boldsymbol{k}\|,\|\boldsymbol{\nu}\|<\tau_{n}\|\boldsymbol{k}\|\right\} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resonant modes are the ones in $I_{n}^{+}=I-I_{n}^{-}$. We also have the projections $\mathbb{I}_{n}^{+}$and $\mathbb{I}_{n}^{-}$over the spaces of Hamiltonians by restricting the Taylor-Fourier modes to $I_{n}^{+}$and $I_{n}^{-}$, respectively. The identity operator is $\mathbb{I}=\mathbb{I}_{n}^{+}+\mathbb{I}_{n}^{-}$.

Moreover, take

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=\sup _{\boldsymbol{k} \neq \mathbf{0},\left|\omega^{(n)} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}\right| \leqslant \sigma_{n}\|\boldsymbol{k}\|} \frac{\left\|^{\top} \boldsymbol{T}^{(n+1)^{-1}} \boldsymbol{k}\right\|}{\|\boldsymbol{k}\|} . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4. Analyticity improvement

The next lemma means that every Hamiltonian in $\mathbb{I}_{n-1}^{+} \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{n-1}, r} \cap \Delta_{\gamma_{n}}$, i.e. a function on $\mathcal{D}_{\rho_{n-1}, r}$ into $\mathbb{C}$, is mapped by $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ into $\mathcal{A}_{\rho_{n}^{\prime}, r^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. The analyticity strip width is improved whenever $A_{n-1}$ is small enough. Lemma 3.5 will 'convert' this improvement into a norm reduction.

Lemma 3.4. If $\delta>0, r<r^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}^{\prime} \leqslant \frac{\rho_{n-1}}{A_{n-1}}-\delta \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{n} \geqslant \frac{2}{\log 2}\left(\rho_{n}^{\prime}+\delta\right)\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)^{-1}}\right\|, \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{n}$ as a map from $\left(\mathbb{I}_{n-1}^{+}-\mathbb{E}\right) \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{n-1}, r} \cap \Delta_{\gamma_{n}}$ to $(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{E}) \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{n}^{\prime}, r^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is continuous with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{n}\right\| \leqslant\left(1+\frac{2 \pi}{\delta}+\frac{r}{2 r^{\prime 2} \log 2}\right) \frac{\left|\eta_{n}\right|}{\mu_{n}} . \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $F \in\left(\mathbb{I}_{n-1}^{+}-\mathbb{E}\right) \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{n-1}, r} \cap \Delta_{\gamma_{n}}$,
$E=\left\{(0, \boldsymbol{\nu}): \boldsymbol{\nu} \in(\mathbb{N} \times\{0\})^{d}\right\} \quad$ and $\quad J_{n}=\left\{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}:\left|\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n)}\right| \leqslant \sigma_{n}\|\boldsymbol{k}\|\right\}$.
Using lemma 3.2 and (3.29) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{n}=\mu_{n}\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)}\right\| r^{\prime}+\left\|b_{n}(H)\right\| \leqslant \frac{r}{4}+\frac{2}{r}\left\|Q_{n-1}^{-1}\right\|\left\|F_{0}\right\|_{r}<\frac{r}{2} . \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

We want to find an upper bound on

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|F \circ L_{n}\left(\cdot, \mu_{n} \cdot\right)\right\|_{\rho_{n}^{\prime}, r^{\prime}}^{\prime} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{I_{n-1}^{+}-E}\left(1+2 \pi\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)^{-1}} k\right\|+\mu_{n}\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)}\right\|\|\nu\| / r^{\prime}\right)\left|F_{k, \nu}\right| \psi_{n}^{\|\nu\|} \mathrm{e}^{\rho_{n}^{\prime}\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)^{-1}} \boldsymbol{k}\right\|} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{I_{n-1}^{+}-E}\left(1+\frac{2 \pi}{\delta} \mathrm{e}^{\delta\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)^{-1}} k\right\|}+\frac{r}{4 r^{\prime 2} \xi_{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{n}\|\nu\|}\right)\left|F_{k, \nu}\right| \psi_{n}^{\|\nu\|} \mathrm{e}^{\rho_{n}^{\prime}\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)^{-1}} k\right\|}, \tag{3.39}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the inequality $\zeta \mathrm{e}^{-\delta \zeta} \leqslant \delta^{-1}$ with $\zeta \geqslant 0$ and again a choice of $\mu_{n}$ verifying (3.29). Here $\xi_{n}=\frac{1}{2} \log \left(r / \psi_{n}\right)>\frac{1}{2} \log 2$.

Consider separately the two cases corresponding to the definition of the resonance cone $I_{n-1}^{+}$. We deal first with the modes corresponding to $\boldsymbol{k} \in J_{n-1}-\{0\}$. By (3.34) and (3.35) each one of these modes in (3.39) is bounded from above by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\frac{2 \pi}{\delta}+\frac{r}{2 r^{\prime 2} \log 2}\right) r^{\|\boldsymbol{\nu}\|} \mathrm{e}^{\rho_{n-1}\|\boldsymbol{k}\|} \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, consider $\|\boldsymbol{\nu}\| \geqslant \tau_{n}\|\boldsymbol{k}\|$ with $\boldsymbol{k} \neq 0$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)^{-1}} \boldsymbol{k}\right\| \leqslant \tau_{n}^{-1}\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)^{-1}}\right\|\|\boldsymbol{\nu}\| . \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

These modes in (3.39) are estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\frac{2 \pi}{\delta}+\frac{r}{4 r^{\prime 2} \xi_{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\xi_{n}\|\nu\|}\right)\left(r \mathrm{e}^{-2 \xi_{n}+\left(\rho_{n}^{\prime}+\delta\right)\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)-1}\right\| / \tau_{n}}\right)^{\|\nu\|} \leqslant\left(1+\frac{2 \pi}{\delta}+\frac{r}{2 r^{\prime 2} \log 2}\right) r^{\|\nu\|}, \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used (3.35).
Finally, we get

$$
\left\|F \circ L_{n}\left(\cdot, \mu_{n} \cdot\right)\right\|_{\rho_{n}^{\prime}, r^{\prime}}^{\prime} \leqslant\left(1+\frac{2 \pi}{\delta}+\frac{r}{2 r^{\prime 2} \log 2}\right)\|F\|_{\rho_{n-1}, r},
$$

and (3.36) follows from (3.23).

Let $0<\rho_{n}^{\prime \prime} \leqslant \rho_{n}^{\prime}$ and the inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{n}: \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{n}^{\prime}, r^{\prime}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{n}^{\prime \prime}, r^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \quad H \mapsto H \mid \mathcal{D}_{\rho_{n}^{\prime \prime}, r^{\prime}} \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The norm of the $\boldsymbol{k} \neq 0$ modes can be improved by the application of $\mathcal{I}_{n}$.
Lemma 3.5. If $\phi_{n} \geqslant 1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\rho_{n}^{\prime \prime} \leqslant \rho_{n}^{\prime}-\log \left(\phi_{n}\right) \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{I}_{n}(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{E})\right\| \leqslant \phi_{n}^{-1} \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is immediate and will be omitted.

### 3.5. Elimination of far from resonance modes

The theorem below states the existence of a symplectomorphism isotopic to the identity that cancels the far from resonance modes of a Hamiltonian close to the quadratic integrable Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}^{0}: \boldsymbol{y} \mapsto \boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n)} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}+\frac{1}{2}^{\top} \boldsymbol{y} Q_{n} \boldsymbol{y} . \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $\rho_{n}, \nu>0$, denote by $\mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon}$ the open ball in $\mathcal{A}_{\rho_{n}+\nu, r^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ centred at $H_{n}^{0}$ with radius $\varepsilon>0$. We define also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{n}=\frac{\sigma_{n}^{2}\left(\min \left\{1, \frac{\nu}{2 \pi}, r^{\prime}-r\right\}\right)^{2}}{12\left(4\left\|\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n)}\right\|+d \sigma_{n}\right) r^{\prime}(2 \pi+1)^{2}\left(1+2 \pi+\frac{\tau_{n}+1}{r^{\prime}}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{n}=1+\sqrt{3 r^{\prime} \frac{4\left\|\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n)}\right\|+d \sigma_{n}}{\varepsilon_{n}}} . \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.6. Let $r<r^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{n}>2 r^{\prime}\left\|Q_{n}\right\|$. Then there exist analytic maps $\mathfrak{G}: \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{n}, r}^{2 d}$ where $\mathfrak{G}(H)$ is a symplectomorphism and $\mathcal{U}: \mathcal{V}_{\varepsilon_{n}} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_{n}^{+} \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{n}, r}$ given by $\mathcal{U}(H)=H \circ \mathfrak{G}(H)$, such that $\mathbb{I}_{n}^{-} \mathcal{U}(H)=0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|\mathfrak{G}(H)-\mathrm{Id}\|_{\rho_{n}, r}^{\prime} \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{n}}\left\|\mathbb{I}_{n}^{-} H\right\|_{\rho_{n}, r}, \\
& \left\|\mathcal{U}(H)-H_{n}^{0}\right\|_{\rho_{n}, r} \leqslant \varphi_{n}\left\|H-H_{n}^{0}\right\|_{\rho_{n}+\nu, r^{\prime}}^{\prime} \tag{3.49}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, if $H$ is real-analytic, then $\mathfrak{G}(H)$ is real-analytic.
A proof of this theorem is included in section 5.
Lemma 3.7. In the conditions of theorem 3.6, if $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $H \in \mathcal{V}_{\epsilon_{n}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{G}\left(H \circ R_{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)=R_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{G}(H) \circ R_{\boldsymbol{x}} \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathcal{D}_{\rho_{n}, r}$.

Proof. If $g=\mathfrak{G}(H)$ is a solution of $\mathbb{I}_{n}^{-} H \circ \underset{\tilde{H}}{g}=0$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\rho_{n}, r}$, then $\tilde{g}=R_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{G}(H) \circ R_{\boldsymbol{x}}$ solves the same equation for $\widetilde{H}=H \circ R_{\boldsymbol{x}}$, i.e. $\mathbb{I}^{-} \tilde{H} \circ \tilde{g}=0$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\rho_{n}, r}$.

### 3.6. Convergence of renormalization

For a resonance set $I_{n}^{+}$and $\mu_{n}>0$, the $n$th step renormalization operator is defined to be

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n}=\mathcal{U}_{n} \circ \mathcal{I}_{n} \circ \mathcal{L}_{n} \circ \mathcal{R}_{n-1} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{R}_{0}=\mathcal{U}_{0}
$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{n}$ is as in theorem 3.6 at the step $n$. Notice that if

$$
H^{+}(\boldsymbol{y})=\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}+\frac{1}{2}^{\top} \boldsymbol{y} Q \boldsymbol{y}+\boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}
$$

then

$$
\mathcal{R}_{n}\left(H^{+}\right)=\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n)} \cdot \boldsymbol{y}+\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{n} \chi_{n}^{\top} \boldsymbol{y} P^{(n)} Q^{\top} P^{(n)} \boldsymbol{y}
$$

for every $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{n}=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i} \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the renormalizations eliminate the direction corresponding to linear terms in $\boldsymbol{y}$. From the previous sections the map $\mathcal{R}_{n}$ on its domain of validity is analytic by construction. In addition, whenever a Hamiltonian $H$ is real-analytic, the same is true for $\mathcal{R}_{n}(H)$.

Let $r^{\prime}>r>0, \rho_{0}>0$ and fix a sequence $\sigma_{n}<1, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sigma_{0}>2 r^{\prime}\|Q\|$. To complete the specification of the resonant modes and of $\varepsilon_{n}$ in theorem 3.6, take $\tau_{0}=1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{n}=\frac{2 \rho_{0}\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)^{-1}}\right\|}{B_{n-1} \log 2} \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to lemma 3.4 , with

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}=\prod_{i=0}^{n} A_{i} \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that the $A_{n} \mathrm{~s}$ depend on $\sigma_{n}$.
Consider also the constants $v$ and $\delta$ as they appear in theorem 3.6 and lemma 3.4, respectively.

We now define the non-increasing sequence $\Theta_{0}=1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\Theta_{n}= & \min \left\{\Theta_{n-1}, \frac{\sigma_{n}^{2}}{\left(4 r^{\prime}\|Q\|\right)^{2}}\right.
\end{align*} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2^{6} \zeta_{i}}{\left|\eta_{i}\right|\left\|T^{(i)}\right\|^{2}\left\|^{\top} T^{(i)}\right\|^{2}}, ~=\frac{\varepsilon_{n}^{3}}{\prod_{i=1}^{n} \| T^{(i)^{-1} \|^{3}}}, \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\min \left\{\left|\eta_{i}\right|^{-3},\left|\eta_{i}\right|^{2}\right\}}{\left.2^{24} \zeta_{i}^{3} \| T^{(i)^{-1}\left\|^{2}\right\|^{\top} T^{(i)^{-1}} \|^{6}}\right\} \leqslant 1,}
$$

with

$$
\zeta_{n}=\left(1+\frac{1}{2 r^{\prime}}\right)\left(\frac{r^{\prime}}{r}\right)^{3} \varphi_{n}\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)}\right\|^{3}>1
$$

In order to use the results obtained earlier connected with the building blocks of the renormalization operator and to get convergence of the renormalization (in the theorem below), we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}=\frac{1}{B_{n-1}}\left[\rho_{0}-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B_{i} \log \left(\phi_{i+1}\right)-(\delta+\nu) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} B_{i}\right], \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{n}=\max \left\{1,2\left(1+\frac{2 \pi}{\delta}+\frac{r}{2 r^{\prime 2} \log 2}\right) \frac{\varphi_{n}\left|\eta_{n}\right| \Theta_{n-1}}{\mu_{n} \Theta_{n}}\right\} \geqslant 1, \\
& \mu_{n}=\left(\frac{\Theta_{n}}{2^{8} \zeta_{n} \max \left\{1,\left|\eta_{n}\right|\right\} \Theta_{n-1}}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant 1 . \tag{3.56}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $\phi_{n}$ is our choice for lemma 3.5. Moreover, our choice of $\mu_{n}$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n} \leqslant \frac{1}{2^{4} \zeta_{n}^{1 / 2}} \leqslant \frac{1}{2^{4}}\left(\frac{r}{r^{\prime}\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)}\right\|}\right)^{3 / 2} \leqslant \frac{r}{8 r^{\prime}\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)}\right\|} \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

so lemma 3.3 holds.
To have $\rho_{n}$ positive for all $n$ we need to study the following function of $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ associated to the choice of $\sigma_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\omega})=\sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} B_{i} \log \left(\phi_{i+1}\right)+(\delta+v) \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} B_{i} \tag{3.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is simple to see that $\mathcal{B}$ depends on the multidimensional continued fraction expansion of $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ through the matrices $T^{(n)}$ and the scalars $\eta_{n}$. The remaining dependences are on fixed constants and on $Q$, but these turn out to be irrelevant as we will be uniquely interested in the convergence of the series in (3.58). In this sense, we can look at $\mathcal{B}$ as only depending on the arithmetics of $\boldsymbol{\omega}$. As we will see in the following part of this section, for diophantine vectors $\omega$ we can find a sequence $\sigma_{n}$ for which $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ converges.

Notice that if $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ converges, then $B_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Also, $\tau_{n} \gg B_{n-1}^{-1} \rightarrow \infty$ by (3.52) and $\varepsilon_{n} \ll \tau_{n}^{-2} \rightarrow 0$ by (3.47). Hence, $\Theta_{n} \ll \varepsilon_{n}^{3} \rightarrow 0$ by the third term in $\min \{\cdots\}$ of (3.54).

We denote

$$
H_{n}=\mathcal{R}_{n}(H)
$$

and associate the sequence $H_{n}^{0}$ of quadratic integrable Hamiltonians given by (3.46), where $Q_{n}$ is defined by (3.20).

Theorem 3.8. Suppose that $\operatorname{det}(Q) \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}(\omega)<+\infty, \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\rho>\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\omega})+\nu$. There exists $c, K>0$ such that if $H \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho, r^{\prime}}$ and $\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}}<c$, then $H$ is in the domain of $\mathcal{R}_{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H_{n}-H_{n}^{0}\right\|_{\rho_{n}, r} \leqslant K \Theta_{n}\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\} . \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\rho_{0}=\rho-v>\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$. Hence, by the definition of $\rho_{n}$, there is $R>0$ satisfying $\rho_{n}>R B_{n-1}^{-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $c \leqslant \varepsilon_{0}$ we use theorem 3.6 to get $\mathcal{R}_{0}(H) \in \mathbb{I}_{0}^{+} \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{0}, r}$ with

$$
\left\|H_{0}-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho_{0}, r} \leqslant K \Theta_{0}\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}}
$$

for some $K>0$. Take $Q_{0}=Q$.
Now, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ assume that $H_{n-1} \in \mathbb{I}_{n-1}^{+} \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{n-1}, r}$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\|H_{n-1}-H_{n-1}^{0}\right\|_{\rho_{n-1}, r} & \leqslant K \Theta_{n-1}\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}}, \\
\left\|Q_{n-1}\right\| & \leqslant\|Q\| \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{3}{2} \mu_{i}\left|\eta_{i}\right|\left\|T^{(i)}\right\|\left\|^{\top} T^{(i)}\right\|,  \tag{3.61}\\
\left\|Q_{n-1}^{-1}\right\| & \leqslant\left\|Q^{-1}\right\| \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} 2 \mu_{i}^{-1}\left|\eta_{i}\right|^{-1}\left\|T^{(i)^{-1}}\right\|\left\|^{\top} T^{(i)}-1\right\| .
\end{array}
$$

So, for $c$ small enough, using the last term in (3.54) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Q_{n-1}^{-1}\right\| \ll \frac{\Theta_{n-1}^{1 / 2}}{\Theta_{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} 2^{5} \zeta_{i}^{1 / 2}\left\|T^{(i)^{-1}}\right\|\left\|^{\top} T^{(i)-1}\right\| \max \left\{\frac{1}{\left|\eta_{i}\right|^{1 / 2}}, \frac{1}{\left|\eta_{i}\right|}\right\} \leqslant \frac{r^{2}}{32 c K \Theta_{n-1}} \tag{3.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, lemma 3.2 is valid and as a consequence $\left\|b_{n}\left(H_{n-1}\right)\right\|<r / 8$.
After performing the operators $\mathcal{L}_{n}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{n}$, we want to estimate the norm of the resulting Hamiltonians. The constant and non-constant Fourier modes are dealt with separately in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}_{n} \mathcal{L}_{n}(H)=H_{n}^{0}+\widehat{\mathcal{L}_{n}}\left(\mathbb{E} H_{n-1}\right)+\mathcal{I}_{n} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{n}(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{E})\left(H_{n-1}\right) \tag{3.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the former we use lemma 3.3 and for the latter lemmas 3.4 and 3.5. So,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\widehat{\mathcal{L}}_{n}\left(\mathbb{E} H_{n-1}\right)\right\|_{r^{\prime}}^{\prime} & \leqslant 2^{7} K\left(1+\frac{1}{2 r^{\prime}}\right)\left(\frac{r^{\prime}}{r}\right)^{3} \mu_{n}^{2}\left|\eta_{n}\right|\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)}\right\|^{3} \Theta_{n-1}\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}}  \tag{3.64}\\
& \leqslant \frac{K}{2 \varphi_{n}} \Theta_{n}\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, $\phi_{n}$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathcal{I}_{n} \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{n}(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{E})\left(H_{n-1}\right)\right\|_{\rho_{n}^{\prime \prime}, r^{\prime}}^{\prime} & \leqslant K\left(1+\frac{2 \pi}{\delta}+\frac{r}{2 r^{\prime 2} \log 2}\right) \mu_{n}^{-1} \phi_{n}^{-1}\left|\eta_{n}\right| \Theta_{n-1}\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}}  \tag{3.65}\\
& \leqslant \frac{K}{2 \varphi_{n}} \Theta_{n}\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, assuming $c$ to be small enough, we estimate (3.20) using (3.27), $\left\|Q_{n-1}\right\|^{-1} \leqslant$ $\left\|Q_{n-1}^{-1}\right\|$, (3.62) and the second inequality in (3.61) to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|Q_{n}\right\| & \leqslant \mu_{n}\left|\eta_{n}\right|\left\|T^{(n)}\right\|\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)}\right\|\left\|Q_{n-1}\right\|\left(1+16 r^{-2} c K \Theta_{n-1}\left\|Q_{n-1}\right\|^{-1}\right) \\
& \leqslant\|Q\| \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{3}{2} \mu_{i}\left|\eta_{i}\right|\left\|T^{(i)}\right\|\left\|^{\top} T^{(i)}\right\| \leqslant \frac{\sigma_{n}}{4 r^{\prime}}, \tag{3.66}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last inequality comes from the second term in (3.54). By (3.26) and again (3.62),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|Q_{n}^{-1}\right\| & \left.\leqslant \frac{\left\|T^{(n)^{-1}}\right\| \|^{\top} T^{(n)}-1}{\mu_{n}\left|\eta_{n}\right|\left(1-16 Q_{n-1}^{-1} \|\right.}{ }^{-1} c K \Theta_{n-1}\left\|Q_{n-1}^{-1}\right\|\right) \\
& \leqslant\left\|Q^{-1}\right\| \prod_{i=1}^{n} 2 \mu_{i}^{-1}\left|\eta_{i}\right|^{-1}\left\|T^{(i)^{-1}}\right\|\left\|^{\top} T^{(i)^{-1}}\right\| . \tag{3.67}
\end{align*}
$$

The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{I}_{n} \mathcal{L}_{n}\left(H_{n-1}\right)$ is inside the domain of $\mathcal{U}_{n}$ since for $c$ small enough $\varphi_{n}^{-1} c K \Theta_{n}<\varepsilon_{n}$ and $\left\|Q_{n}\right\|<\sigma_{n} /\left(2 r^{\prime}\right)$. The result follows from (3.49).

Remark 3.9. The above can be generalized for a small analyticity radius $\rho$ by considering a sufficiently large $N$ and applying the above theorem to $\widetilde{H}=\mathcal{U}_{N} \mathcal{L}_{N} \cdots \mathcal{U}_{1} \mathcal{L}_{1} \mathcal{U}_{0}(H)$, where $H$ is close enough to $H^{0}$. We recover the large strip case since $\rho_{N}$ is of the order of $B_{N-1}^{-1}$. It remains to check that $\rho_{N}>\mathcal{B}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(N)}\right)+\nu$. This follows from the fact that $\mathcal{B}\left(\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(N)}\right)=B_{N-1}^{-1}\left[\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\omega})-\mathcal{B}_{N}(\boldsymbol{\omega})\right]$ where $\mathcal{B}_{N}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ is the sum of the first $N$ terms of $\mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ so that $\mathcal{B}_{N}(\boldsymbol{\omega}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\boldsymbol{\omega})$ as $N \rightarrow+\infty$.

Lemma 3.10. If $\boldsymbol{\omega}=\binom{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is diophantine, then (3.59) is verified.
Proof. To show (3.59) it is only necessary to check that we can find sequences $\sigma_{n}$ and $t_{n}$ for which the series $\sum B_{n}|\log | \eta_{n+1}\left\|, \sum B_{n} \log \right\| T^{(n+1)}\left\|, \sum B_{n} \log \right\| T^{(n+1)^{-1}} \|$, $\sum B_{n} \log \left\|\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n+1)}\right\|, \sum B_{n}\left|\log \sigma_{n+1}\right|$ and $\sum B_{n}\left|\log \Theta_{n+1}\right|$ converge.

Let us set, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
t_{n}=(1+\xi)^{n} \quad \text { and } \quad \sigma_{n}=\mathrm{e}^{-a \delta t_{n}}
$$

where positive constants $\xi$ and $a$ will be chosen in the following and $\delta t_{n}=t_{n}-t_{n+1}$. We shall assume that $\xi$ is large enough that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi\left(d-\frac{a}{1+\xi}\right) \geqslant \log \frac{c_{1} c_{2}}{c_{6}} \tag{3.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $\sigma_{n-1} \exp \left(d \delta t_{n}\right) \geqslant c_{1} c_{2} / c_{6}$ as in proposition 2.3. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n-1} \ll \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha \delta t_{n}} \tag{3.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\frac{d(\xi-\beta)}{\xi(d+\beta)}-\left(d-\frac{a}{1+\xi}\right) \tag{3.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is positive if $a>d(1+\xi)[1-1 /(d+\beta)]$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}=\prod_{i=0}^{n} A_{i} \ll C^{n} \mathrm{e}^{-\alpha t_{n+1}} \tag{3.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is some positive constant. Clearly, $\sum B_{n}<\infty$.
From (2.8) we have $\left\|\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n)}\right\| \leqslant\left\|M^{(n)}\right\|\left|\gamma^{(n)}\right|^{-1}$. Thus, using (2.13) and (2.19) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(n)}\right\| \ll \exp \left[\frac{d \beta(1+\xi)}{\xi} \delta t_{n}\right] \tag{3.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using (2.9) and the bounds (2.19), (2.17) and (2.18) we get

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\|T^{(n)}\right\| & \ll \exp \left[\frac{d(1+\xi)(\beta+1)}{\xi(d+\beta)} \delta t_{n}\right] \\
\left\|T^{(n)^{-1}}\right\| & \ll \exp \left[\frac{d(1+\xi)(d-1+\beta)}{\xi(d+\beta)} \delta t_{n}\right]  \tag{3.73}\\
\left|\eta_{n}\right| & \ll \exp \left[\frac{d(1+\xi)}{\xi}\left(\frac{d-1}{d+\beta}+\beta\right) \delta t_{n}\right]
\end{array}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\log \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\|T^{(i)}\right\|, \log \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\|T^{(i)^{-1}}\right\|,\left|\log \prod_{i=1}^{n}\right| \eta_{i} \mid \| & \ll t_{n}  \tag{3.74}\\
\log \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\|\boldsymbol{\omega}^{(i)}\right\|,\left|\log \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_{i}\right|,\left|\log \prod_{i=1}^{n} B_{i-1}\right| & \ll t_{n}
\end{array}
$$

so that $\left|\log \Theta_{n}\right| \ll t_{n}$.
Since $B_{n}$ decays exponentially with $t_{n+1}$ and $\log \phi_{n+1}$ grows at most linearly, the series (3.59) converges.

## 4. Construction of the invariant torus

Here we will always assume to be in the conditions of section 3.6. We use theorem 3.8 to determine the existence of an $\boldsymbol{\omega}$-invariant torus for the flow of analytic Hamiltonians $H$ close enough to $H^{0}$ (theorem 1.1). This follows from the construction of an analytic conjugacy between the linear flow on $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ of rotation vector $\omega$ and an orbit of $H$.

Let the set $\Delta$ be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\left\{H \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho, r^{\prime}}:\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}}<c\right\} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is contained in the domain of $\mathcal{R}_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$. Given $H \in \Delta, H_{n} \in \mathbb{I}_{n}^{+} \mathcal{A}_{\rho_{n}, r}$. It is simple to check that

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{n}=\frac{\lambda_{n}}{\chi_{n}}[(\mathbb{I}- & \left.\left.\mathbb{E}_{0}\right)\left(H \circ g_{0} \circ L_{1}^{\mu_{1}} \circ g_{1} \circ \cdots \circ L_{n}^{\mu_{n}}\right)\right] \circ g_{n} \\
& =\frac{\lambda_{n}}{\chi_{n}}\left\{\left(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{E}_{0}\right) H \circ g_{0} \circ\left[\mathcal{P}_{1}(H) \circ g_{1} \circ \mathcal{P}_{1}(H)^{-1}\right] \circ\right. \\
& \left.\cdots \circ\left[\mathcal{P}_{n-1}(H) \circ g_{n-1} \circ \mathcal{P}_{n-1}(H)^{-1}\right] \circ \mathcal{P}_{n}(H)\right\} \circ g_{n} . \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $g_{k}=\mathfrak{G}_{k}\left(\mathcal{L}_{k}\left(H_{k-1}\right)\right)$ is given by theorem 3.6 at the $k$ th step and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{k}^{\mu_{k}}:(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \mapsto\left(T^{(k)^{-1}} \boldsymbol{x}, \Phi_{k}\left(H_{k-1}\right)(\boldsymbol{y})\right), \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{k}\left(H_{k-1}\right)(\boldsymbol{y})=\mu_{k}^{\top} \boldsymbol{T}^{(k)} \boldsymbol{y}+b_{k}\left(H_{k-1}\right)$. In addition, we have the conformally symplectic map
$\mathcal{P}_{n}(H)=L_{1}^{\mu_{1}} \cdots L_{n}^{\mu_{n}}:(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \mapsto\left(P^{(n)^{-1}} \boldsymbol{x}, \Phi_{1}(H) \cdots \Phi_{n}\left(H_{n-1}\right)(\boldsymbol{y})\right), \quad n \geqslant 1$,
and we set $\mathcal{P}_{0}(H)=$ Id. Notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}(H) \cdots \Phi_{n}\left(H_{n-1}\right)(\boldsymbol{y})=\chi_{n}^{\top} P^{(n)} \boldsymbol{y}+v_{n}(H) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
v_{n}(H)=b_{1}(H)+\sum_{i=2}^{n} \chi_{i-1}^{\top} P^{(i-1)} b_{i}\left(H_{i-1}\right)
$$

For $n \geqslant 1$ define

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{n}(H)=\lim _{m \rightarrow+\infty} & \Phi_{n}\left(H_{n-1}\right) \cdots \Phi_{m}\left(H_{m-1}\right)(0) \\
= & b_{n}\left(H_{n-1}\right)+\sum_{i=n+1}^{+\infty} \mu_{n} \cdots \mu_{i-1}^{\top} T^{(n)} \cdots{ }^{\top} T^{(i-1)} b_{i}\left(H_{i-1}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

if it converges. If that is the case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(H)=a_{1}(H)=\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} v_{n}(H) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a(H)-v_{n}(H)=\chi_{n}{ }^{\top} P^{(n)} a_{n+1}(H) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.1. The maps $a_{n}: \Delta \rightarrow B_{r / 2}$ are well defined and analytic, taking any real-analytic $H$ into $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Proof. From lemma 3.2 we obtain $\left\|b_{k}\left(H_{k-1}\right)\right\|<r / 8$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, by (3.57),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n} \cdots \mu_{i-1}\left\|^{\top} T^{(n)} \cdots{ }^{\top} T^{(i-1)} b_{i}\left(H_{i-1}\right)\right\| \leqslant \frac{r}{8}\left(\frac{r}{8 r^{\prime}}\right)^{i-n} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leqslant n \leqslant i-1$. Hence, (4.6) converges and each $a_{n}(H)$ is well defined in $\mathbb{C}^{d}$. In case $H$ is real, $a_{n}(H) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. The maps $H \mapsto a_{n}(H)$ are analytic since the convergence is uniform. Moreover, (4.6) can be estimated using (4.9),

$$
\left\|a_{n}(H)\right\| \leqslant \frac{r}{8}+\frac{r}{8} \frac{\frac{r}{8 r^{\prime}}}{1-\frac{r}{8 r^{\prime}}}<\frac{r}{2}
$$

Lemma 4.2. There is an open ball B centred at $H^{0}$ in $\Delta$ such that, if $H \in B$, we can find sequences $R_{n}, r_{n}>0$ satisfying: $R_{-1}=\rho, r_{-1}=r^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{n}+2 \pi K \Theta_{n}^{2 / 3}\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}} \leqslant R_{n-1} \leqslant \frac{\rho_{n-1}}{\left\|P^{(n-1)}\right\|}  \tag{4.10}\\
& r_{n}+K \Theta_{n}^{2 / 3}\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}} \leqslant r_{n-1} \leqslant \frac{\chi_{n-1} r}{2\left\|^{\top} P^{(n-1)-1}\right\|}, \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

$n \geqslant 0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} R_{n}^{-1} \Theta_{n}^{2 / 3}=0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\rho_{*}=\min \rho_{n}$. Since $\chi_{n}$ is decreasing and $\left\|P^{(n)}\right\| \leqslant \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\|T^{(n)}\right\|$ (similar relations hold for the transpose and inverse matrices), it is enough to check (using the last term in (3.54)) that

$$
\Theta_{n}^{2 / 3} \ll \min \left\{\lambda^{n} \rho_{*} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\|T^{(i)}\right\|^{-1}, \chi_{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left\|^{\top} T^{(i)^{-1}}\right\|^{-1}\right\}
$$

for some $0<\lambda<1$ by taking $R_{n}=c_{1} \lambda^{-n} \Theta_{n}^{2 / 3}$ and $r_{n}=c_{2} \Theta_{n}^{2 / 3}$ with small constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$. Thus, the inequalities (4.10) and (4.11) hold whenever we take a sufficiently small bound on $\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r}$. The limit (4.12) is now immediate.

Let the vertical translation

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{z}:(x, y) \mapsto(x, y+z) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$. For a given $H \in \Delta$, define the norm $\|X\|_{n}=\left\|X \circ V_{a(H)}\right\|_{R_{n}, r_{n}}$ whenever $X \circ V_{a(H)} \in \mathcal{A}_{R_{n}, r_{n}}^{2 d}$.

Now, consider the isotopic to the identity analytic symplectomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{n}(H)=\mathcal{P}_{n}(H) \circ \mathfrak{G}_{n}\left(\mathcal{L}_{n}\left(H_{n-1}\right)\right) \circ \mathcal{P}_{n}(H)^{-1} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathcal{P}_{n}(H) \mathcal{D}_{\rho_{n}, r}$ with $n \geqslant 0$ and $H \in \Delta$. In particular, $W_{n}\left(H^{0}\right)=$ Id. Notice that for $H$ real-analytic, $W_{n}(H)$ is real-analytic.
Lemma 4.3. $W_{n}$ is an analytic map on $B$ such that, if $H \in B$,

$$
W_{n}(H): V_{a(H)}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\rho_{n}, r_{n}}\right) \rightarrow V_{a(H)}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\rho_{n-1}, r_{n-1}}\right)
$$

and there is $K^{\prime}>0$ verifying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{n}(H)-\mathrm{Id}\right\|_{n} \leqslant K^{\prime} \Theta_{n}^{2 / 3}\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $H \in \Delta$ and $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \mathcal{D}_{R_{n}, r_{n}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\operatorname{Im} P^{(n)} \boldsymbol{x}\right\|< & \left\|P^{(n)}\right\| R_{n} / 2 \pi \leqslant \rho_{n} / 2 \pi \\
& \left\|\Phi_{n}^{-1}\left(H_{n-1}\right) \cdots \Phi_{1}^{-1}(H)(\boldsymbol{y}+a(H))\right\|=\left\|\chi_{n}^{-1 \top} P^{(n)^{-1}}\left(\boldsymbol{y}+a(H)-v_{n}(H)\right)\right\| \\
& \leqslant \chi_{n}^{-1}\left\|^{\top} P^{(n)}{ }^{-1}\right\| r_{n}+\left\|a_{n+1}(H)\right\|<r . \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{P}_{n}(H)^{-1} \circ V_{a(H)}\left(\mathcal{D}_{R_{n}, r_{n}}\right) \subset \mathcal{D}_{\rho_{n}, r}$. Moreover, using (3.49),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|W_{n}(H)-\mathrm{Id}\right\|_{n} & =\left\|\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{n}(H) \circ\left[\mathfrak{G}_{n}\left(\mathcal{I}_{n} \mathcal{L}_{n}\left(H_{n-1}\right)\right)-\mathrm{Id}\right] \circ \mathcal{P}_{n}(H)^{-1} \circ V_{a(H)}\right\|_{R_{n}, r_{n}} \\
& \leqslant \varepsilon_{n}^{-1}\left\|\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{n}(H)\right\|\left\|\mathcal{I}_{n} \mathcal{L}_{n}\left(H_{n-1}\right)-H_{n}^{0}\right\|_{\rho_{n}, r^{\prime}} \\
& \leqslant K^{\prime} \Theta_{n}^{2 / 3}\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}}, \tag{4.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{n}(H)$ corresponds to the linear part $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \mapsto\left(P^{(n)^{-1}} \boldsymbol{x}, \chi_{n}{ }^{\top} P^{(n)} \boldsymbol{y}\right)$ of $\mathcal{P}_{n}(H)$ which has norm bounded by $\left\|\widehat{\mathcal{P}}_{n}(H)\right\| \leqslant\left\|P^{(n)^{-1}}\right\|+\chi_{n}\left\|^{\top} P^{(n)}\right\|$.

Now, for $(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \mathcal{D}_{R_{n}, r_{n}}$ and $H \in B$,
$\left\|\pi_{1} \operatorname{Im} W_{n}(H) \circ V_{a(H)}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})\right\| \leqslant\left\|\operatorname{Im}\left(\pi_{1} W_{n}(H) \circ V_{a(H)}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})-\boldsymbol{x}\right)\right\|+\|\operatorname{Im} \boldsymbol{x}\|$

$$
<\left\|W_{n}(H)-\mathrm{Id}\right\|_{n}+R_{n} / 2 \pi<R_{n-1} / 2 \pi,
$$

$$
\left\|\pi_{2} W_{n}(H) \circ V_{a(H)}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})-a(H)\right\| \leqslant\left\|\pi_{2} W_{n}(H) \circ V_{a(H)}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})-\boldsymbol{y}-a(H)\right\|+\|\boldsymbol{y}\|
$$

$$
<\left\|W_{n}(H)-\mathrm{Id}\right\|_{n}+r_{n}<r_{n-1}
$$

So, $W_{n}(H): V_{a(H)}\left(\mathcal{D}_{R_{n}, r_{n}}\right) \rightarrow V_{a(H)}\left(\mathcal{D}_{R_{n-1}, r_{n-1}}\right)$.
Define the analytic map $\Gamma_{n}$ on $B$ satisfying $\Gamma_{n}(H): V_{a(H)}\left(\mathcal{D}_{R_{n}, r_{n}}\right) \rightarrow V_{a(H)}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\rho, r^{\prime}}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{n}(H)=W_{0}(H) \circ \cdots \circ W_{n}(H) \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $H \in B$. We then rewrite (4.2) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \circ \Gamma_{n}(H)=\frac{\chi_{n}}{\lambda_{n}} H_{n} \circ \mathcal{P}_{n}(H)^{-1}+E(H), \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E(H)$ represents a constant (irrelevant) term. Since each $W_{n}(H)$ is symplectic, thus $\Gamma_{n}(H)$ is symplectic and $H \circ \Gamma_{n}(H)$ is canonically equivalent to the Hamiltonian $H_{n}$. In particular, if $H_{n}=H_{n}^{0}$ for some $n$, there is an $\omega$-invariant torus in the phase space of $H_{n}$. We are interested in the general case, $H_{n}-H_{n}^{0} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.

Lemma 4.4. There is $c>0$ such that for $H \in B$

$$
\left\|\Gamma_{n}(H)-\Gamma_{n-1}(H)\right\|_{n} \leqslant c \Theta_{n}^{2 / 3}\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}}
$$

Proof. For each $k=0, \cdots, n-1$, consider the transformations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{k}(z, H)=\left(W_{k}(H)-\mathrm{Id}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{Id}+G_{k+1}(z, H)\right)+G_{k+1}(z, H), \\
& G_{n}(z, H)=z\left(W_{n}(H)-\mathrm{Id}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

with $(z, H) \in\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1+d_{n}\right\} \times B$, where we have $c^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
d_{n}=\frac{c^{\prime}}{\Theta_{n}^{2 / 3}\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}}}-1>0
$$

If $\left\|G_{k+1}(z, H)\right\|_{n} \leqslant\left(R_{k}-R_{n}\right) / 2 \pi$, then $G_{k}$ is well defined as an analytic map and

$$
\left\|G_{k}(z, H)\right\|_{n} \leqslant\left\|W_{k}(H)-\mathrm{Id}\right\|_{k}+\left\|G_{k+1}(z, H)\right\|_{n}
$$

An inductive scheme shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|G_{n}(z, H)\right\|_{n} & \leqslant\left(R_{n-1}-R_{n}\right) / 2 \pi \\
\left\|G_{k}(z, H)\right\|_{n} & \leqslant \sum_{i=k}^{n-1}\left\|W_{i}(H)-\mathrm{Id}\right\|_{i}+|z|\left\|W_{n}(H)-\mathrm{Id}\right\|_{n} \\
& \leqslant\left(R_{k-1}-R_{n}\right) / 2 \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

By Cauchy's formula

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\Gamma_{n}(H)-\Gamma_{n-1}(H)\right\|_{n}=\left\|G_{0}(1, H)-G_{0}(0, H)\right\|_{n} \\
=\left\|\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{|z|=1+d_{n} / 2} \frac{G_{0}(z, H)}{z(z-1)} \mathrm{d} z\right\|_{n}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\Gamma_{n}(H)-\Gamma_{n-1}(H)\right\|_{n} \leqslant \frac{2}{d_{n}} \sup _{|z|=1+d_{n} / 2}\left\|G_{0}(z, H)\right\|_{n} \\
\ll \Theta_{n}^{2 / 3}\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

Consider the Banach space $C_{\text {per }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{C}^{2 d}\right)$ of $C^{1}$ functions $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-periodic, endowed with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{C^{1}}=\max _{k \leqslant 1} \max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\|D^{k} f(\boldsymbol{x})\right\| .
$$

Our goal is to find parametrizations of invariant tori of the type $\boldsymbol{\theta} \mapsto(\boldsymbol{\theta}, a(H))+f(\boldsymbol{\theta})$.
Lemma 4.5. There exist $C>0$, an open ball $B^{\prime} \subset B$ centred at $H^{0}$ and an analytic map $\Upsilon$ on $B^{\prime}$ such that, for every $H \in B^{\prime}, \Upsilon(H)=\left.\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \Gamma_{n}(H)\right|_{\{y=a(H)\}}$ is an embedding $\mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 d}, \Upsilon(H)-(\operatorname{Id}, a(H)) \in C_{\text {per }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{C}^{2 d}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Upsilon(H)-(\operatorname{Id}, a(H))\|_{C^{1}} \leqslant C\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{\rho, r^{\prime}} . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $H \in B^{\prime}$ is real-analytic, then $\Upsilon(H): \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$.

Proof. For each $H \in B$, by the first inequality in (3.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\|\left[\Gamma_{n}(H)-\Gamma_{n-1}(H)\right](\cdot, a(H))\right\|_{C^{1}} \\
& \leqslant \max _{k \leqslant 1} \sup _{x \in D_{\rho_{n} / 2}}\left\|D^{k}\left[\Gamma_{n}(H)(\boldsymbol{x}, a(H))-\Gamma_{n-1}(H)(\boldsymbol{x}, a(H))\right]\right\| \\
& \leqslant \frac{4 \pi}{R_{n}}\left\|\Gamma_{n}(H)-\Gamma_{n-1}(H)\right\|_{n}, \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

which is estimated using (4.12). Hence, $\Gamma_{n}(H)(\cdot, a(H))-(\mathrm{Id}, a(H))$ converges in the Banach space $C_{\text {per }}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{C}^{2 d}\right)$, and (4.20) holds. The convergence of $\Gamma_{n}$ is uniform in $B$; thus $\Upsilon$ is analytic. If $H$ is sufficiently close to $H^{0}, \Upsilon(H)$ is in fact an injective immersion (embedding) as the space of embeddings is closed for the $C^{1}$ norm and $\Upsilon(H)$ is close to (Id, $a(H)$ ). Finally, for $H$ real-analytic we have $\Upsilon(H)\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2 d}$ in view of the similar property for each $W_{n}(H)$.

The Hamiltonian vector field of a Hamiltonian $H$ is $X_{H}=\mathbb{J} \nabla H$, where $\mathbb{J}:(x, y) \mapsto$ $(y,-x)$. The next lemma shows the invariance of the torus defined by $\Upsilon(H)$ which corresponds to the linear vector field $\dot{\boldsymbol{\theta}}=\boldsymbol{\omega}$.

Lemma 4.6. For $H \in B^{\prime}$, we have on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{H} \circ \Upsilon(H)=D(\Upsilon(H)) \omega \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\Gamma_{n}(H)$ is a symplectomorphism, we have for $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})=X_{H} \circ & \Gamma_{n}(H) \circ V_{a(H)}(\boldsymbol{x}, 0)-D\left(\Gamma_{n}(H)\right) \circ V_{a(H)}(\boldsymbol{x}, 0) X_{H^{0}}(\boldsymbol{x}, 0) \\
& =\left[D\left(\Gamma_{n}(H)\right) \circ V_{a(H)} X_{H \circ \Gamma_{n}(H) \circ V_{a(H)}-H^{0}}\right](\boldsymbol{x}, 0) . \tag{4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Y_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})\right\| \leqslant\left\|D\left(\Gamma_{n}(H)\right)(\boldsymbol{x}, a(H))\right\|\left\|\nabla\left[H \circ \Gamma_{n}(H) \circ V_{a(H)}-H^{0}\right](\boldsymbol{x}, 0)\right\| . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to estimate the above we first recall (4.19) to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla\left[H \circ \Gamma_{n}(H)\right. & \left.\circ V_{a(H)}-H^{0}\right](\boldsymbol{x}, 0)=\frac{\chi_{n}}{\lambda_{n}} \nabla\left[\left(H_{n}-H_{n}^{0}\right) \circ \mathcal{P}_{n}(H)^{-1} \circ V_{a(H)}\right](\boldsymbol{x}, 0) \\
& +\frac{1}{\lambda_{n} \chi_{n}} \tag{4.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that by induction we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\lambda_{n} \chi_{n}} P^{(n)^{-1}} Q_{n}{ }^{\top} P^{(n)^{-1}}=Q+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\lambda_{i} \chi_{i}} P^{(i)^{-1}} D^{2} F_{0}^{(i)}\left(b_{i+1}\left(H_{i}\right)\right)^{\top} P^{(i)^{-1}} .  \tag{4.26}\\
\text { Since } \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(\chi_{i}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|\right)^{-1} \| P^{(i)^{-1}\| \|^{\top} P^{(i)^{-1}} \| \Theta_{i} \ll 1 \text { and by (4.6) and (4.8) }} \begin{array}{c}
\left\|a(H)-v_{n}(H)\right\| \leqslant \chi_{n}\left\|^{\top} P^{(n)}\right\|\left\|a_{n+1}(H)\right\| \ll \Theta_{n}^{2 / 3},
\end{array}
\end{gather*}
$$

the last term in (4.25) is estimated from above by $\Theta_{n}^{2 / 3}$. Moreover, the first term in the rhs of (4.25) is bounded times a constant by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\left|\lambda_{n}\right|}\left\|^{\top} P^{(n)^{-1}}\right\|\left\|H_{n}-H_{n}^{0}\right\|_{\rho_{n}, r} \ll \Theta_{n}^{2 / 3} . \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, from the convergence of $\Gamma_{n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D \Gamma_{n}(H)(\boldsymbol{x}, a(H))\right\| \ll \frac{1}{R_{n}}\left\|\Gamma_{n}(H)\right\|_{n} \ll \frac{1}{R_{n}}, \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find that $\left\|Y_{n}(\boldsymbol{x})\right\|$ converges uniformly to 0 as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ because of (4.12).

Lemma 4.7. If $H \in B^{\prime}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Upsilon\left(H \circ R_{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)=R_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{-1} \circ \Upsilon(H) \circ \widehat{R}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{R}_{\boldsymbol{x}}: z \mapsto z+\boldsymbol{x}$ is a translation on $\mathbb{C}^{d}$.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, (3.12) implies that $\mathcal{P}_{n}\left(H \circ R_{z}\right)=\mathcal{P}_{n}(H)$ and we know that $\mathcal{P}_{n}(H) \circ R_{P^{(n)} z}^{-1}=R_{z}^{-1} \circ \mathcal{P}_{n}(H), z \in \mathbb{C}^{d}$. So, from lemma 3.7,

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{n}\left(H \circ R_{\boldsymbol{x}}\right)= & \mathcal{P}_{n}(H) \circ \mathfrak{G}_{n}\left(\mathcal{L}_{n} \mathcal{R}_{n-1}\left(H \circ R_{x}\right)\right) \circ \mathcal{P}_{n}(H)^{-1} \\
& =R_{x}^{-1} \circ W_{n}(H) \circ R_{x} . \tag{4.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $\Gamma_{n}\left(H \circ R_{x}\right)=R_{x}^{-1} \circ \Gamma_{n}(H) \circ R_{x}$ and (4.30) follows using the convergence of $\Gamma_{n}$.
The flow generated by $X_{H}$ is denoted by $\phi_{H}^{t}$ taken at time $t \geqslant 0$. Hence,

$$
\left.\phi_{H^{0}}^{t}\right|_{\mathbb{T}^{d} \times\{0\}}=\widehat{R}_{\omega t} .
$$

We prove below the existence of an invariant torus $\mathcal{T}$ for $H$ close to $H^{0}$, i.e. an analytic conjugacy between $\left.\phi_{H}^{t}\right|_{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\widehat{R}_{\omega t}$.

Theorem 4.8. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ be an open ball about the origin. If $H \in C^{\omega}\left(\mathbb{T}^{d} \times D\right)$ is sufficiently close to $H^{0}$, then there exists a $C^{\omega}$ embedding $\gamma: \mathbb{T}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{d} \times D$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{H}^{t} \circ \gamma=\gamma \circ \widehat{R}_{\omega t}, \quad t \geqslant 0, \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathcal{T}=\gamma\left(\mathbb{T}^{d}\right) \simeq \mathbb{T}^{d}$ is a submanifold homotopic to $\{\boldsymbol{y}=0\}$. Furthermore, the map $H \mapsto \gamma$ is analytic.

Proof. The lift $\widetilde{H}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{d} \times D$ of $H$ is assumed to have a unique analytic extension to $\mathcal{D}_{\rho, r^{\prime}}$. Consider the real-analytic Hamiltonian $G=\widetilde{H} \in \mathcal{A}_{\rho, r^{\prime}}$. Suppose that $G$ is close enough to $H^{0}$ such that $G \in B^{\prime}$ and $G \circ R_{z} \in B^{\prime}$ for $\eta>0$ and $z \in D_{\eta}$. Then, $\gamma=\left.\Upsilon(G)\right|_{[0,1)^{d}}$, which is $C^{1}$ and homotopic to (Id, $a(G)$ ), verifies (4.32). This follows from (4.22) and the equivalent equation

$$
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|_{t=0}\left(\phi_{H}^{t} \circ \gamma\right)=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|_{t=0}\left(\gamma \circ \widehat{R}_{\omega t}\right),
$$

which we integrate for initial condition $\phi_{H}^{0}=\widehat{R}_{0}=\mathrm{Id}$.
We now want to extend analytically $\gamma$ to a complex neighbourhood of its domain. Take $\widetilde{\gamma}(z)=R_{z} \circ \Upsilon\left(G \circ R_{z}\right)(0), z \in D_{\eta}$. The maps $z \mapsto G \circ R_{z}$ and $H \mapsto \Upsilon(H)$ are analytic and $C_{\mathrm{per}}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{C}^{2 d}\right) \ni g \mapsto g(0)$ is bounded. As $\tilde{\gamma}: D_{\eta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 d}$ involves their composition, it is analytic and $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$-periodic. From (4.30), for any $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, we have

$$
\tilde{\gamma}(\boldsymbol{x})=\Upsilon(G) \circ \widehat{R}_{\boldsymbol{x}}(0)=\Upsilon(G)(\boldsymbol{x})=\gamma(\boldsymbol{x}) .
$$

Finally, since $\Upsilon$ is analytic, the same is true for the map $H \mapsto \gamma$.
As a quasiperiodic invariant torus $\mathcal{T}$ is always Lagrangian (cf [4]), we have now concluded the proof of theorem 1.1.

## 5. Elimination of modes

Here we present a proof of theorem 3.6. It is similar to the related methods appearing in, e.g. $[7,1]$. As we have fixed $n$, we will not include it in our notations.

Let $R=\left(R_{1}, R_{2}\right)$ and $R^{\prime}=\left(R_{1}^{\prime}, R_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ be such that $R>R^{\prime}>0$ componentwise. We will be interested in the set $\mathcal{G}_{R^{\prime}}$ of analytic symplectomorphisms $g: \mathcal{D}_{R^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{R}$ satisfying $g-\mathrm{Id} \in \mathcal{A}_{R^{\prime}}^{2 d}$ and

$$
\|g-\mathrm{Id}\|_{R^{\prime}}<\delta=\min \left\{\left(R_{1}-R_{1}^{\prime}\right) / 2 \pi, R_{2}-R_{2}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

We use the notation $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$ for the usual Poisson bracket associated to $\mathbb{J}:(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \mapsto(\boldsymbol{y},-\boldsymbol{x})$. In the following $R-\delta$ stands for $R-\delta(1,1)$ and $\pi_{2}:(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \mapsto \boldsymbol{y}$ is the projection on the second component. The lemma below constructs a symplectomorphism $g$ generated by a function $G$ and gives several related estimates to be used later.
Lemma 5.1. Let $0<\xi \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$. If $G \in \mathcal{A}_{R^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ and $\|G\|_{R^{\prime}}^{\prime}<\xi \delta /(2 \pi+1)$, then there is a unique analytic symplectomorphism $g: \mathcal{D}_{R^{\prime}-2 \delta} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 d}$ such that $\|g-\mathrm{Id}\|_{R^{\prime}-2 \delta}<\xi \delta$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\mathrm{Id}+\mathbb{J} \nabla G \circ \widehat{g}, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widehat{g}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \pi_{2} g(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})\right),(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) \in \mathcal{D}_{R^{\prime}-2 \delta}$. Moreover, for any $H \in \mathcal{A}_{R^{\prime}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \|H \circ g\|_{R^{\prime}-2 \delta} \leqslant\|H\|_{R^{\prime}}, \\
& \|H \circ g-H\|_{R^{\prime}-2 \delta} \leqslant 2 \xi\|H\|_{R^{\prime}}, \\
& \|H \circ g-H-\{H, G\}\|_{R^{\prime}-2 \delta} \leqslant 2 \xi^{2}\|H\|_{R^{\prime}}, \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and the maps $G \mapsto g$ and $G \mapsto H \circ g$ are analytic.
Proof. Define the map $T: g \mapsto \mathrm{Id}+\mathbb{J} \nabla G \circ \widehat{g}$ on the open ball $B$ in $\mathcal{A}_{R^{\prime}-2 \delta}^{2 d}$ centred at the identity and with radius $\xi \delta$. It is simple to check that $T(B) \subset B$; in particular, a fixed point $T(g)=g \in B$ is symplectic. We now show that $T$ is a contraction on $B$ and thus its unique fixed point is the map we are looking for. In fact, whenever $g \in B$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
&\|D T(g)\| \leqslant\|D \nabla G \circ \widehat{g}\|_{R^{\prime}-2 \delta} \leqslant\|D \nabla G\|_{R^{\prime}-\delta} \\
& \leqslant \frac{2 \pi+1}{\delta}\|\nabla G\|_{R^{\prime}} \leqslant \frac{2 \pi+1}{\delta}\|G\|_{R^{\prime}}^{\prime}<\xi \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

For the estimates in (5.2) (the first is now immediate) we introduce the differentiable function

$$
\begin{align*}
f:\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z| & <\zeta\} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{R^{\prime}} \\
& z \mapsto H \circ(\mathrm{Id}+z \mathbb{J} \nabla G(\mathrm{Id}+z(\widehat{g}-\mathrm{Id}))), \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\zeta=1 / \xi \geqslant 2$. Cauchy's integral formula yields that

$$
\begin{align*}
&\|H \circ g-H\|_{R^{\prime}-2 \delta}=\|f(1)-f(0)\|_{R^{\prime}-2 \delta} \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi} \oint_{|z|=\zeta} \frac{\|f(z)\|_{R^{\prime}-2 \delta}}{|z(z-1)|} \mathrm{d} z \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{\zeta-1} \sup _{|z|=\zeta}\|f(z)\|_{R^{\prime}-2 \delta} \leqslant 2 \xi\|H\|_{R^{\prime}} \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\| H \circ g-H- & \{H, G\}\left\|_{R^{\prime}-2 \delta}=\right\| f(1)-f(0)-f^{\prime}(0) \|_{R^{\prime}-2 \delta} \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \pi} \oint_{|z|=\zeta} \frac{\|f(z)\|_{R^{\prime}-2 \delta}}{\left|z^{2}(z-1)\right|} \mathrm{d} z \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{\zeta(\zeta-1)} \sup _{|z|=\zeta}\|f(z)\|_{R^{\prime}-2 \delta} \leqslant 2 \xi^{2}\|H\|_{R^{\prime}} . \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

By the implicit function theorem the maps $G \mapsto g$ and $G \mapsto H \circ g$ are analytic.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\sigma>2 R_{2}\|Q\|, \varepsilon^{\prime}>0$ and $H \in \mathcal{A}_{R}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{R}<\varepsilon^{\prime} \leqslant \frac{\sigma \delta}{(2 \pi+1)\left[1+2 \pi+(\tau+1) / R_{2}\right]} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there is $G \in \mathbb{I}^{-} \mathcal{A}_{R^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{I}^{-}(H+\{H, G\})=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\|G\|_{R^{\prime}}^{\prime} \leqslant \frac{\delta}{(2 \pi+1) \varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R^{\prime}} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the map $H \mapsto G$ is analytic.

Proof. Consider the linear operator associated to $H$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}: \mathbb{I}^{-} \mathcal{A}_{R^{\prime}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^{-} \mathcal{A}_{R^{\prime}}, \quad K \mapsto \mathbb{I}^{-}\{H, K\} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well defined since
$\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-}\{H, K\}\right\|_{R^{\prime}} \leqslant\|\nabla H\|_{R^{\prime}}\|\nabla K\|_{R^{\prime}}$

$$
\leqslant\|H\|_{R^{\prime}}^{\prime}\|K\|_{R^{\prime}}^{\prime}
$$

We will show that $\mathcal{F}^{-1}: \mathbb{I}^{-} \mathcal{A}_{R^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^{-} \mathcal{A}_{R^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is bounded and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\right\|<\frac{1}{\frac{\pi R_{2} \sigma}{(2 \pi+1) R_{2}+\tau+1}-2 \frac{2 \pi+1}{\delta} \varepsilon^{\prime}} \leqslant \frac{\delta}{(2 \pi+1) \varepsilon^{\prime}} . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

A solution of (5.8) is simply given by $G=\mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(-\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right)$. Therefore, $\|G\|_{R^{\prime}}^{\prime} \leqslant$ $\left\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}\right\|\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R^{\prime}}$.

We start by decomposing any Hamiltonian $H=H^{0}+F$ as

$$
H(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{k}} H_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{y}) \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}} \quad \text { with } \quad H_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{\nu} H_{k, \boldsymbol{y}} \boldsymbol{y}^{\nu} .
$$

Write $D_{0}=\nabla_{2} H^{0} \cdot \nabla_{1}$, with $\nabla_{1}$ and $\nabla_{2}$ standing for the derivatives with respect to $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{y}$. The definition of $\mathcal{F}$ in (5.9) yields

$$
\mathcal{F}(K)=\mathbb{I}^{-}\left(\widehat{F}-D_{0}\right) K=-\left(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{I}^{-} \widehat{F} D_{0}^{-1}\right) D_{0} K
$$

where $\widehat{F}(K)=\{F, K\}$. If the inverse of $\mathcal{F}$ exists it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}^{-1}=-D_{0}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{I}^{-} \widehat{F} D_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The map $D_{0}^{-1}: \mathbb{I}^{-} \mathcal{A}_{R^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}^{-} \mathcal{A}_{R^{\prime}}^{\prime}$ is linear and given by

$$
D_{0}^{-1} W(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}-\{0\}} \frac{W_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{y})}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \nabla_{2} H^{0}(\boldsymbol{y})\right)} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}}, \quad W \in \mathbb{I}^{-} \mathcal{A}_{R^{\prime}}
$$

For each $\boldsymbol{k} \in I^{-}$, using (3.33) and $\|Q\|<\sigma /\left(2 R_{2}\right)$ thus $|\boldsymbol{k} \cdot Q \boldsymbol{y} / \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}|<1 / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{W_{k}(\boldsymbol{y})}{\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \omega\left(1+\frac{k \cdot Q y}{k \cdot \omega}\right)}=\frac{W_{k}(\boldsymbol{y})}{\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \omega} \sum_{n \geqslant 0}\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{k} \cdot Q \boldsymbol{y}}{\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \omega}\right)^{n} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\frac{W_{\boldsymbol{k}}}{\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \nabla_{2} H^{0}}\right\|_{R_{2}} & \leqslant \sum_{n \geqslant 0} \sum_{\nu} \frac{\left|W_{\boldsymbol{k}, \nu}\right| R_{2}^{\|\nu\|}\|Q\|^{n} R_{2}^{n}}{\sigma^{n+1}\|\boldsymbol{k}\|} \\
& <\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \sum_{\nu} \frac{\left|W_{k, \nu}\right| R_{2}^{\|\nu\|}}{\sigma\|\boldsymbol{k}\|}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n} \\
& =\frac{2}{\sigma\|\boldsymbol{k}\|}\left\|W_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right\|_{R_{2}} . \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, we find the bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\nabla_{2} W_{\boldsymbol{k}}}{\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \nabla_{2} H^{0}}\right\|_{R_{2}} \leqslant \sum_{\nu} \frac{2\|\boldsymbol{\nu}\|\left|W_{\boldsymbol{k}, \nu}\right| R_{2}^{\|\nu\|-1}}{\sigma\|\boldsymbol{k}\|}<\frac{2 \tau}{\sigma R_{2}}\left\|W_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right\|_{R_{2}} . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{W_{\boldsymbol{k}} Q \boldsymbol{k}}{\left(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \nabla_{2} H^{0}\right)^{2}}\right\|_{R_{2}}<\frac{2}{\sigma R_{2}\|\boldsymbol{k}\|}\left\|W_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right\|_{R_{2}} . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is now immediate to see that

$$
\left\|D_{0}^{-1} W\right\|_{R^{\prime}} \leqslant \frac{2}{2 \pi \sigma}\|W\|_{R^{\prime}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\|\nabla_{1}\left(D_{0}^{-1} W\right)\right\|_{R^{\prime}} \leqslant \frac{2}{\sigma}\|W\|_{R^{\prime}}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\nabla_{2}\left(\frac{W_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{y})}{\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \nabla_{2} H^{0}(\boldsymbol{y})}\right)=\frac{\nabla_{2} W_{k}(\boldsymbol{y})}{\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \nabla_{2} H^{0}(\boldsymbol{y})}-\frac{W_{k}(\boldsymbol{y}) Q \boldsymbol{k}}{\left(\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \nabla_{2} H^{0}(\boldsymbol{y})\right)^{2}},
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\nabla_{2}\left(D_{0}^{-1} W\right)\right\|_{R^{\prime}}<\frac{\tau+1}{\pi \sigma R_{2}}\|W\|_{R^{\prime}} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\left\|D_{0}^{-1}\right\|<\frac{2}{\sigma}\left(1+\frac{1}{2 \pi}+\frac{\tau+1}{2 \pi R_{2}}\right)
$$

As $\widehat{F}: \mathbb{I}^{-} \mathcal{A}_{R^{\prime}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{R^{\prime}}$ with $\|\widehat{F}\| \leqslant 2\|\nabla F\|_{R^{\prime}} \leqslant 2 \frac{2 \pi+1}{\delta}\|F\|_{R}$ (by Cauchy's estimate),

$$
\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} \widehat{F} D_{0}^{-1}\right\|<\frac{4}{\sigma}\left(1+\frac{1}{2 \pi}+\frac{\tau+1}{2 \pi R_{2}}\right)\|\nabla F\|_{R^{\prime}}<1
$$

and

$$
\left\|\left(\mathbb{I}-\mathbb{I}^{-} \widehat{F} D_{0}^{-1}\right)^{-1}\right\|<\left[1-\frac{4}{\sigma}\left(1+\frac{1}{2 \pi}+\frac{\tau+1}{2 \pi R_{2}}\right)\|\nabla F\|_{R^{\prime}}\right]^{-1} .
$$

Thus $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ exists given by (5.11) and the estimate (5.10) on its norm follows immediately.
Consider the pairs $R=\left(\rho_{n}+\nu, r^{\prime}\right)$ and $R^{\prime}=\left(\rho_{n}, r\right), \sigma>2 r^{\prime}\|Q\|$ and $H_{0}=H$ as given in theorem 3.6. We are going to iterate the procedure indicated in the previous lemmas. Let a sequence of Hamiltonians be given by

$$
H_{k}=H_{k-1} \circ g_{k}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N},
$$

where $G_{k}$ and $g_{k}$ are determined for $H_{k-1}$ by lemmas 5.2 and 5.1, respectively. In addition, denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{(k)}=g_{1} \circ \cdots \circ g_{k} \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

the composition of all symplectomorphisms up to the $k$ th-step so that $H_{k}=H \circ g^{(k)}$. In order to determine the right domains of $H_{k}, G_{k}$ and $g_{k}$, define the sequences

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k}=R_{k-1}-4 \delta_{k}=R-4 \sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta_{i}, \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $R_{0}=R$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{k}=\frac{1}{2^{k+2}} \min \left\{1, \frac{v}{2 \pi}, r^{\prime}-r\right\} \leqslant \frac{1}{2^{k}} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} R_{k} \geqslant R^{\prime}$ componentwise. From now on, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{\prime}=\min \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left\|H^{0}\right\|_{R}, \frac{\sigma \delta_{1}}{(2 \pi+1)\left(1+2 \pi+\frac{\tau+1}{r^{\prime}}\right)}\right\} . \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.3. If for every $k \in \mathbb{N},\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{k-1}\right\|_{R_{k-1}} \leqslant \varepsilon^{\prime} / 2$ and

$$
\left\|G_{k}\right\|_{R_{k-1}-\delta_{k}}^{\prime}<\frac{\delta_{k}}{(2 \pi+1) \varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{k-1}\right\|_{R_{k-1}}
$$

then $g_{k}\left(\mathcal{D}_{R_{k}}\right) \subset \mathcal{D}_{R_{k-1}}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|g^{(k)}-\operatorname{Id}\right\|_{R_{k}} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\delta_{i}}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{i-1}\right\|_{R_{i-1}}, \\
& \left\|g^{(k)}-g^{(k-1)}\right\|_{R_{k}} \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{k-1}\right\|_{R_{k-1}} . \tag{5.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Recall lemma 5.1 for $\xi=\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{k-1}\right\|_{R_{k-1}} / \varepsilon^{\prime}$ and check that

$$
\left\|g_{k}-\mathrm{Id}\right\|_{R_{k}} \leqslant\left\|g_{k}-\mathrm{Id}\right\|_{R_{k-1}-3 \delta_{k}}<\frac{\delta_{k}}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{k-1}\right\|_{R_{k-1}}
$$

and $R_{k}+\delta_{k}<R_{k-1}$ componentwise. Now,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{(k)}-\mathrm{Id}=\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\left(g_{i}-\mathrm{Id}\right) \circ g_{i+1} \circ \cdots \circ g_{k}+g_{k}-\mathrm{Id} . \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g^{(k)}-\mathrm{Id}\right\|_{R_{k}} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|g_{i}-\mathrm{Id}\right\|_{R_{i}} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\delta_{i}}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{i-1}\right\|_{R_{i-1}} \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{(k)}-g^{(k-1)}=\left(g^{(k-1)}-\mathrm{Id}\right) \circ g_{k}-\left(g^{(k-1)}-\mathrm{Id}\right)+\left(g_{k}-\mathrm{Id}\right) \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get
$\left\|g^{(k)}-g^{(k-1)}\right\|_{R_{k}} \leqslant\left(\left\|D g^{(k-1)}-I\right\|_{R_{k}}+1\right)\left\|g_{k}-\mathrm{Id}\right\|_{R_{k}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \leqslant \frac{\delta_{k}}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{k-1}\right\|_{R_{k-1}}\left(\frac{2 \pi+1}{4 \delta_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{\delta_{i}}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{i-1}\right\|_{R_{i-1}}+1\right) \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{k-1}\right\|_{R_{k-1}} . \tag{5.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that since $\varepsilon^{\prime} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\|H^{0}\right\|_{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{\prime} \leqslant\left\|H^{0}\right\|_{R}-\varepsilon^{\prime} \leqslant\|H\|_{R} \leqslant\left\|H^{0}\right\|_{R}+\varepsilon^{\prime} \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left\|H^{0}\right\|_{R} \leqslant\|H\|_{R} \leqslant \frac{3}{2}\left\|H^{0}\right\|_{R} \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.4. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R} \leqslant \varepsilon^{\prime 2} /\left(8\|H\|_{R}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{k}\right\|_{R_{k}} \leqslant\left(\frac{4\|H\|_{R}}{\varepsilon^{\prime 2}}\right)^{2^{k}-1}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R}^{2^{k}} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime}}{2}  \tag{5.28}\\
& \left\|H_{k}-H_{k-1}\right\|_{R_{k}} \leqslant \frac{4\|H\|_{R}}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{k-1}\right\|_{R_{k-1}}  \tag{5.29}\\
& \left\|H_{k}\right\|_{R_{k}} \leqslant 2\|H\|_{R} \tag{5.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We will prove the above inequalities by induction. The generating Hamiltonian $G_{1}$ given by lemma 5.2 and the symplectomorphism $g_{1}$ by lemma 5.1 satisfy $\left\|G_{1}\right\|_{R_{0}-\delta_{1}}^{\prime} \leqslant$ $\delta_{1}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\| /\left[(2 \pi+1) \varepsilon^{\prime}\right],\left\|g_{1}-\mathbb{I d}\right\|_{R_{0}-3 \delta_{1}}<\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R} \delta_{1} / \varepsilon^{\prime}$ and $\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{1}=\mathbb{I}^{-} H \circ g_{1}-\mathbb{I}^{-}(H+$ $\left\{H, G_{1}\right\}$ ). Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{1}\right\|_{R_{1}} \leqslant\left\|H \circ g_{1}-H-\left\{H, G_{1}\right\}\right\|_{R_{1}} \leqslant 2\left(\frac{\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R}}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\|H\|_{R} \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
$\left\|H_{1}-H\right\|_{R_{1}} \leqslant\|\nabla H\|_{R_{1}}\left\|g_{1}-\mathrm{Id}\right\|_{R_{1}} \leqslant \frac{2 \pi+1}{4 \varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R}\|H\|_{R} \leqslant \frac{2}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R}\|H\|_{R}$.
Thus, equations (5.28) and (5.29) are valid for $k=1$ and so is (5.30) because $\left\|H_{1}\right\|_{R_{1}} \leqslant$ $\left\|H_{1}-H\right\|_{R_{1}}+\|H\|_{R}$.

Now, assume that the inequalities are true for $k$. Under these conditions, lemma 5.2 guarantees the existence of $G_{k+1}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|G_{k+1}\right\|_{R_{k+1}}^{\prime} \leqslant \frac{\delta_{k+1}}{(2 \pi+1) \varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{k}\right\|_{R_{k}} \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and lemma 5.1 yields $g_{k+1}$. Therefore, $\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{k+1}=\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{k} \circ g_{k+1}-\mathbb{I}^{-}\left(H_{k}+\left\{H_{k}, G_{k+1}\right\}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{k+1}\right\|_{R_{k+1}} & \leqslant\left\|H_{k} \circ g_{k+1}-H_{k}-\left\{H_{k}, G_{k+1}\right\}\right\|_{R_{k+1}} \\
& \leqslant 2\left(\frac{\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{k}\right\|_{R_{k}}}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\right)^{2}\left\|H_{k}\right\|_{R_{k}} \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{4\|H\|_{R}}{\varepsilon^{\prime 2}}\right)^{2^{k+1}-1}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R}^{2^{k+1}} . \tag{5.34}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|H_{k+1}-H_{k}\right\|_{R_{k+1}} & \leqslant\left\|\nabla H_{k}\right\|_{R_{k+1}}\left\|g_{k+1}-\mathrm{Id}\right\|_{R_{k+1}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{2 \pi+1}{4 \delta_{k+1} \varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{k}\right\|_{R_{k}} \delta_{k+1}\left\|H_{k}\right\|_{R_{k}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{4}{\varepsilon^{\prime}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{k}\right\|_{R_{k}}\|H\|_{R} . \tag{5.35}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, making use of the above inequality,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|H_{k+1}\right\|_{R_{k+1}} \leqslant & \|H\|_{R}+\sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\left\|H_{i}-H_{i-1}\right\|_{R_{k+1}} \\
& \leqslant\|H\|_{R}+\frac{4\|H\|_{R}}{\varepsilon^{\prime}} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{i-1}\right\|_{R_{i-1}} \\
& \leqslant\|H\|_{R}+\|H\|_{R} \sum_{i=1}^{k+1}\left(\frac{4\|H\|_{R}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R}}{\varepsilon^{\prime 2}}\right)^{2^{i-1}} \\
& \leqslant\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \frac{1}{2^{2^{i-1}}}\right)\|H\|_{R}<2\|H\|_{R} . \tag{5.36}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3.6 will now be a consequence of the result below noticing that $\left\|H^{0}\right\|_{R} \leqslant$ $R_{2}\|\boldsymbol{\omega}\|+\left(d R_{2}^{2} / 2\right)\|Q\| \leqslant R_{2}(\|\omega\|+d \sigma / 4)$.

Theorem 5.5. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{R}<\varepsilon=\frac{\varepsilon^{\prime 2}}{12\left\|H^{0}\right\|_{R}} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon^{\prime 2}}{8\|H\|_{R}} \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists $g=\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} g^{(k)} \in \mathcal{G}_{R^{\prime}}$ such that $\mathbb{I}^{-} H \circ g=0$ on $\mathcal{D}_{R^{\prime}}$. Furthermore, the maps $\mathfrak{G}: H \mapsto g$ and $\mathcal{U}: H \mapsto H \circ g$ are analytic and

$$
\begin{align*}
\|g-\mathrm{Id}\|_{R^{\prime}} & \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R}  \tag{5.38}\\
\left\|H \circ g-H^{0}\right\|_{R^{\prime}} & \leqslant\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{12\left\|H^{0}\right\|_{R}}{\varepsilon}}\right)\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{R} \tag{5.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4 imply that the sequence $g^{(k)}$ converges to a map $g: \mathcal{D}_{R^{\prime}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{R}$ which is analytic and symplectic, and $H_{\infty}=\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} H_{k}=H \circ g$. Moreover, $\mathbb{I}^{-} H \circ g=$ $\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{\infty}=0$. Since the convergence is uniform, the maps $H \mapsto g$ and $H \mapsto H \circ g$ are analytic.

Notice that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{4\|H\|_{R}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R}}{\varepsilon^{\prime 2}}\right)^{2^{i-1}} \leqslant \frac{4\|H\|_{R}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R}}{\varepsilon^{\prime 2}}+\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{4\|H\|_{R}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R}}{\varepsilon^{\prime 2}}\right)^{2 i} \\
\quad \leqslant\left(1+\frac{16\|H\|_{R}}{3 \varepsilon^{\prime 2}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R}\right) \frac{4\|H\|_{R}}{\varepsilon^{\prime 2}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R} \\
\quad \leqslant \frac{20\|H\|_{R}}{3 \varepsilon^{\prime 2}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R} \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R} \tag{5.40}
\end{gather*}
$$

The inequality in (5.38) follows by taking the limit $k \rightarrow+\infty$ in (5.21). That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|g-\mathrm{Id}\|_{R^{\prime}} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\delta_{i}}{\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H_{i-1}\right\|_{R_{i-1}} \leqslant \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R} . \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|H_{\infty}-H^{0}\right\|_{R_{k}} & \leqslant\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{R}+\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty}\left\|H_{i}-H_{i-1}\right\|_{R_{i}} \\
& \leqslant\left(1+\sqrt{\frac{12\left\|H^{0}\right\|_{R}}{\varepsilon}}\right)\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used lemma 5.4 and the fact that $\left\|\mathbb{I}^{-} H\right\|_{R} \leqslant\left\|H-H^{0}\right\|_{R}$.

## Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to H Koch for useful discussions and comments. JLD was supported by FCT through Program POCTI/FEDER and JM by an EPSRC Advanced Research Fellowship.

## References

[1] Abad J J and Koch H 2000 Renormalization and periodic orbits for Hamiltonian flows Commun. Math. Phys. 212 371-94
[2] Cassels J W S 1957 An Introduction to Diophantine Approximation (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
[3] Gaidashev D 2005 Renormalization of isoenergetically degenerate Hamiltonian flows and associated bifurcations of invariant tori Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 13 63-102
[4] Herman M R 1989 Inégalités 'a priori' pour des tores lagrangiens invariants par des difféomorphismes symplectiques Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. 70 47-101
[5] Hille E and Phillips R S 1974 Functional Analysis and Semi-groups (AMS Colloquium Publications vol 31) (revised edn of 1957)
[6] Khanin K, Lopes Dias J and Marklof J Multidimensional continued fractions, dynamical renormalization and KAM theory Commun. Math. Phys. (Preprint math-ph/0509007)
[7] Koch H 1999 A renormalization group for Hamiltonians, with applications to KAM tori Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst. 19 475-521
[8] Koch H 2004 A renormalization group fixed point associated with the breakup of golden invariant tori Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 11 881-909
[9] Koch H and Lopes Dias J 2005 Renormalization of diophantine skew flows, with applications to the reducibility problem Preprint mp-arc 05-285
[10] Kocić S 2005 Renormalization of Hamiltonians for diophantine frequency vectors and KAM tori Nonlinearity 18 2513-44
[11] Lagarias J C 1994 Geodesic multidimensional continued fractions Proc. London Math. Soc. 69 464-88
[12] Lopes Dias J 2002 Renormalization of flows on the multidimensional torus close to a $K T$ frequency vector Nonlinearity 15 647-64
[13] Lopes Dias J 2006 Brjuno condition and renormalization for Poincaré flows Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 15 641-56

