FREE PATH LENGTHS IN QUASICRYSTALS

JENS MARKLOF AND ANDREAS STRÖMBERGSSON

ABSTRACT. Previous studies of kinetic transport in the Lorentz gas have been limited to cases where the scatterers are distributed at random (e.g. at the points of a spatial Poisson process) or at the vertices of a Euclidean lattice. In the present paper we investigate quasicrystalline scatterer configurations, which are non-periodic, yet strongly correlated. A famous example is the vertex set of a Penrose tiling. Our main result proves the existence of a limit distribution for the free path length, which answers a question of Wennberg. The limit distribution is characterised by a certain random variable on the space of higher dimensional lattices, and is distinctly different from the exponential distribution observed for random scatterer configurations. The key ingredients in the proofs are equidistribution theorems on homogeneous spaces, which follow from Ratner's measure classification.

1. Introduction

1.1. The setting. The Lorentz gas is defined as an ensemble of non-interacting point particles moving in an array of spherical scatterers placed at the elements of a given point set $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ $(d \geq 2)$, and we assume that the scatterers do not overlap). Each particle travels with constant velocity along straight lines until it collides with a scatterer, and is then reflected elastically. We denote by q(t), v(t) the position and velocity of a particle at time t. Since the reflection is elastic, speed is a constant of motion; we may assume without loss of generality that $\|v(t)\| = 1$. The "phase space" is then the unit tangent bundle $T^1(\mathcal{K}_{\rho})$ where $\mathcal{K}_{\rho} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is the complement of the set $\mathcal{B}_{\rho}^d + \mathcal{P}$ (the "billiard domain"), and \mathcal{B}_{ρ}^d denotes the open ball of radius ρ , centered at the origin. We parametrize $T^1(\mathcal{K}_{\rho})$ by $(q, v) \in \mathcal{K}_{\rho} \times S_1^{d-1}$, where we use the convention that for $q \in \partial \mathcal{K}_{\rho}$ the vector v points away from the scatterer (so that v describes the velocity after the collision). The Liouville measure on $T^1(\mathcal{K}_{\rho})$ is

(1.1)
$$d\nu(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v}) = d\text{vol}(\mathbf{q}) d\omega(\mathbf{v})$$

where vol and ω refer to the Lebesgue measures on \mathbb{R}^d and S_1^{d-1} , respectively. The first collision time corresponding to the initial condition $(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}) \in T^1(\mathcal{K}_{\rho})$ is

(1.2)
$$\tau_1(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}; \rho) = \inf\{t > 0 : \boldsymbol{q} + t\boldsymbol{v} \notin \mathcal{K}_{\rho}\}.$$

Since all particles are moving with unit speed, we may also refer to $\tau_1(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{v};\rho)$ as the free path length. The distribution of free path lengths in the limit of small scatterer density (Boltzmann-Grad limit) has been studied extensively when \mathcal{P} is a fixed realisation of a random point process (such as a spatial Poisson process) [5, 13, 28, 37] and when \mathcal{P} is a Euclidean lattice [1, 2, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 19, 26, 28]. In the Boltzmann-Grad limit, the Lorentz process in fact converges to a random flight process, see [13, 37, 5] for the case of random \mathcal{P} and [10, 20, 21, 22] for periodic \mathcal{P} .

1.2. Cut and project. In the present work, we consider the Lorentz gas for scatterer configurations \mathcal{P} given by regular cut-and-project sets, cf. [16, 41]. Examples of such \mathcal{P} include large classes of quasicrystals, for instance the vertex set of any of the classical Penrose tilings.

Date: March 19, 2014.

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP/2007-2013) / ERC Grant Agreement n. 291147. J.M. is furthermore supported by a Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award, and A.S. is a Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences Research Fellow supported by a grant from the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation.

Further examples include all locally finite periodic point sets such as graphene's honeycomb lattice [3, 4].

To give a precise definition of cut-and-project sets in \mathbb{R}^d , denote by π and π_{int} the orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^m$ onto the first d and last m coordinates, and refer to \mathbb{R}^d and \mathbb{R}^m as the *physical space* and *internal space*, respectively. Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a lattice of full rank. Then the closure of the set $\pi_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{L})$ is an abelian subgroup \mathcal{A} of \mathbb{R}^m . We denote by \mathcal{A}° the connected subgroup of \mathcal{A} containing $\mathbf{0}$; then \mathcal{A}° is a linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^m , say of dimension m_1 , and there exist $\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_{m_2} \in \mathcal{L}$ ($m = m_1 + m_2$) such that $\pi_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{b}_1), \ldots, \pi_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{b}_{m_2})$ are linearly independent in $\mathbb{R}^m/\mathcal{A}^\circ$ and

(1.3)
$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\circ} + \mathbb{Z}\pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{b}_1) + \ldots + \mathbb{Z}\pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{b}_{m_2}).$$

Given \mathcal{L} and a bounded subset $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with non-empty interior, we define

(1.4)
$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L}) = \{ \pi(\mathbf{y}) : \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L}, \ \pi_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{W} \} \subset \mathbb{R}^d.$$

We will call $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L})$ a cut-and-project set, and \mathcal{W} the window. We denote by $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$ the Haar measure of \mathcal{A} , normalized so that its restriction to \mathcal{A}° is the standard m_1 -dimensional Lebesgue measure. If \mathcal{W} has boundary of measure zero with respect to $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}$, we will say $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L})$ is regular. Set $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}^{\circ}$; then $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{V}$ is a lattice of full rank in \mathcal{V} . Let $\mu_{\mathcal{V}} = \text{vol} \times \mu_{\mathcal{A}}$ be the natural volume measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}$ (this restricts to the standard $d + m_1$ dimensional Lebesgue measure on \mathcal{V}). It follows from Weyl equidistribution (see [15]; also Prop. 3.2 below) that for any regular cut-and-project set \mathcal{P} and any bounded $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with boundary of measure zero with respect to Lebesgue measure,

(1.5)
$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\#\{\boldsymbol{b} \in \mathcal{L} : \pi(\boldsymbol{b}) \in \mathcal{P} \cap T\mathcal{D}\}}{T^d} = \delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L}) \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{D}) \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{W})$$

where

(1.6)
$$\delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L}) := \frac{1}{\mu_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}})}.$$

A further condition often imposed in the quasicrystal literature is that $\pi|_{\mathcal{L}}$ is injective (i.e., the map $\mathcal{L} \to \pi(\mathcal{L})$ is one-to-one); we will not require this here. To avoid coincidences in \mathcal{P} , we simply assume in the following that the window is appropriately chosen so that the map $\pi_{\mathcal{W}}: \{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L} : \pi_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{W} \} \to \mathcal{P}$ is bijective. Then (1.5) implies

(1.7)
$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\#(\mathcal{P} \cap T\mathcal{D})}{T^d} = \delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L}) \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{D}) \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{W}).$$

Under the above assumptions $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L})$ is a Delone set, i.e., uniformly discrete and relatively dense in \mathbb{R}^d .

We may obviously extend the definition of cut-and-project sets $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \widetilde{\mathcal{L}})$ to affine lattices $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} = \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{x}$, for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$; note that $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W} - \pi_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{x}), \mathcal{L}) + \pi(\mathbf{x})$.

1.3. The distribution of free path lengths in the Boltzmann-Grad limit. In order to study the distribution of the free path length for random initial data (q, v) we need to specify a probability measure on $T^1(\mathcal{K}_{\rho})$. A natural choice is of course any Borel probability measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to the Liouville measure ν . Given s > 0 and a Borel probability measure Λ on $T^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we define the family of Borel probability measures $\Lambda^{(s)}$ on $T^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

(1.8)
$$\Lambda^{(s)}(E) = \Lambda(\{(s^{-1}q, v) : (q, v) \in E\}).$$

Theorem 1.1. Given any regular cut-and-project set \mathcal{P} there is a non-increasing continuous function $F_{\mathcal{P}}: [0,\infty] \to [0,1]$ with $F_{\mathcal{P}}(0) = 1$, $F_{\mathcal{P}}(\infty) = 0$, such that for any Borel probability measure Λ on $T^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which is absolutely continuous with respect to Liouville measure, and any $s_0 > 0$, $\xi > 0$, we have

(1.9)
$$\Lambda^{(s)}(\{(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{v})\in \mathrm{T}^1(\mathcal{K}_\rho): \rho^{d-1}\tau_1(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{v};\rho)\geq \xi\}) \to F_{\mathcal{P}}(\xi),$$

as $\rho \to 0$, uniformly over all $s \geq s_0$.

We highlight the fact that the limit distribution is independent of Λ . Our techniques will allow us to prove limit theorems for more singular measures. A natural example is to fix a generic point $q \notin \mathcal{P}$ and take v random:

Theorem 1.2. Given any regular cut-and-project set \mathcal{P} there is a subset $\mathfrak{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathfrak{S}$, any $\xi > 0$ and any Borel probability measure λ on S_1^{d-1} which is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, we have

(1.10)
$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \lambda(\{\boldsymbol{v} \in S_1^{d-1} : \rho^{d-1} \tau_1(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}; \rho) \ge \xi\}) = F_{\mathcal{P}}(\xi),$$

with $F_{\mathcal{P}}(\xi)$ as in Theorem 1.1.

In fact our proof shows that the limit in (1.10) exists for every $q \in \mathbb{R}^d$; however for $q \in \mathfrak{S}$ the limit in general depends on q.

Another possibility is to specify the location $\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{P}$ of a scatterer and consider the initial data $(\mathbf{q}_{\rho,\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v}) \in \mathrm{T}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ where $\mathbf{q}_{\rho,\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{v}) := \mathbf{q} + \rho \boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{v})$ is on (or near) the scatterer's boundary. Here $\boldsymbol{\beta}: \mathrm{S}_1^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is some fixed continuous function and \mathbf{v} is again chosen at random on S_1^{d-1} . To avoid pathologies, we assume that $(\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{v}) + \mathbb{R}_{>0}\mathbf{v}) \cap \mathcal{B}_1^d = \emptyset$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{S}_1^{d-1}$. Let us also write $\beta_{\perp}(\mathbf{v}) = \sqrt{\|\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{v})\|^2 - (\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{v})^2}$ for the length of the orthogonal projection of $\boldsymbol{\beta}(\mathbf{v})$ onto the orthogonal complement of \mathbf{v} in \mathbb{R}^n .

Theorem 1.3. Given any regular cut-and-project set \mathcal{P} and $\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{P}$, there is a continuous function $F_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{q}}: [0,\infty] \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to [0,1]$ with $F_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{q}}(\cdot,r)$ non-increasing, $F_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{q}}(0,r) = 1$, $F_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{q}}(\infty,r) = 0$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, such that for any $\xi > 0$ and any Borel probability measure λ on S_1^{d-1} which is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, we have

(1.11)
$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \lambda(\{\boldsymbol{v} \in S_1^{d-1} : \rho^{d-1} \tau_1(\boldsymbol{q}_{\rho,\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{v}), \boldsymbol{v}; \rho) \ge \xi\}) = \int_{S_1^{d-1}} F_{\mathcal{P},\boldsymbol{q}}(\xi, \beta_{\perp}(\boldsymbol{v})) \, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v}).$$

The convergence in (1.11) is uniform over all $\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{P}$.

We remark that the proof actually shows that (1.11) holds for any fixed $\mathbf{q} \in \pi(\mathcal{L})$, and uniformly over all \mathbf{q} in any set of the form $\pi(\mathcal{L} \cap \pi_{\mathrm{int}}^{-1}(B))$ with B a bounded subset of A.

1.4. **Spaces of quasicrystals.** We will now characterise the limit distributions in Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 in terms of a certain homogeneous space $(\Gamma \cap H_g) \backslash H_g$ equipped with a translation-invariant probability measure μ_g . In analogy with the space of Euclidean lattices of covolume one, $SL(n, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash SL(n, \mathbb{R})$, we will call such a space a space of quasicrystals.

Set $G = \mathrm{ASL}(n,\mathbb{R}) = \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{R}) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Gamma = \mathrm{ASL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$. The multiplication law in G is defined by

$$(1.12) (M, \xi)(M', \xi') = (MM', \xi M' + \xi').$$

For $g \in G$ we define an embedding of $\mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ in G by

We also set $G^1 = \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma^1 = \mathrm{SL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$, and identify G^1 with a subgroup of G in the standard way; similarly we identify $\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ with a subgroup of $\mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R})$. It follows from Ratner's work [30], [31] that there exists a unique closed connected subgroup H_g of G such that $\Gamma \cap H_g$ is a lattice in H_g , $\varphi_g(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})) \subset H_g$, and the closure of $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma \varphi_g(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ in $\Gamma \setminus G$ is given by $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma H_g$ (cf. in particular [31, p. 237 (lines 1–2 and Cor. B)], and note that $\varphi_g(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ is connected and generated by Ad-unipotent one-parameter subgroups of G). Note that $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma H_g$ can be naturally identified with the homogeneous space $(\Gamma \cap H_g) \setminus H_g$. We denote the unique right- H_g invariant probability measure on either of these spaces by μ_g ; sometimes we will also let μ_g denote the corresponding Haar measure on H_g .

Similarly, there exists a unique closed connected subgroup \widetilde{H}_g of G such that $\Gamma \cap \widetilde{H}_g$ is a lattice in \widetilde{H}_g , $\varphi_g(\mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R})) \subset \widetilde{H}_g$, and the closure of $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \varphi_g(\mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ in $\Gamma \backslash G$ is given by

 $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g$. Note that $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g$ can be naturally identified with the homogeneous space $(\Gamma \cap \widetilde{H}_g) \backslash \widetilde{H}_g$. We denote the unique right- \widetilde{H}_g invariant probability measure on either of these spaces by $\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g}$; sometimes we will also use $\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g}$ to denote the corresponding Haar measure on \widetilde{H}_g . Of course, $H_g \subset \widetilde{H}_g$, and $\widetilde{H}_g = \widetilde{H}_{g(1_n, \boldsymbol{x})}$ for any $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$.

We will refer to H_g and \widetilde{H}_g as Ratner subgroups. Note that if $g \in G^1$ then $H_g \subset G^1$; in fact in this case H_g is the unique closed connected subgroup of G^1 such that $\Gamma^1 \cap H_g$ is a lattice in H_g , $\varphi_g(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})) \subset H_g$, and the closure of $\Gamma^1 \backslash \Gamma^1 \varphi_g(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ in $\Gamma^1 \backslash G^1$ is given by $\Gamma^1 \backslash \Gamma^1 H_g$. Given $g \in G$ and $\delta > 0$ we set $\mathcal{L} = \frac{\delta^{1/n}(\mathbb{Z}^n g)}{\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\delta^{1/n}(\mathbb{Z}^n hg))} = \mathcal{A}$ for $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{L})$ as before. Then $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\delta^{1/n}(\mathbb{Z}^n hg)) \subset \mathcal{A}$ for all $h \in \widetilde{H}_g$ and $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\delta^{1/n}(\mathbb{Z}^n hg)) = \mathcal{A}$ for $\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g}$ -almost all $h \in \widetilde{H}_g$ and also for μ_g -almost all $h \in H_g$; cf. Prop. 3.5 and Prop. 4.5 below. We fix $\delta > 0$ and a window $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{A}$, and consider the map from $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g$ to the set of point sets in \mathbb{R}^d ,

(1.14)
$$\Gamma \backslash \Gamma h \mapsto \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \delta^{1/n}(\mathbb{Z}^n hg)).$$

We denote the image of this map by $\widetilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_g = \widetilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_g(\mathcal{W}, \delta)$, and define a probability measure on $\widetilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_g$ as the push-forward of $\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g}$ (for which we will use the same symbol). This defines a random point process in \mathbb{R}^d which is invariant under the natural action of $\mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{R}^d . Similarly we denote by $\mathfrak{Q}_g = \mathfrak{Q}_g(\mathcal{W}, \delta)$ the image of $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_g$ under the map (1.14), and define a probability measure on \mathfrak{Q}_g as the push-forward of μ_g ; this again defines a random point process in \mathbb{R}^d , invariant under the natural action of $\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{R}^d .

We let $\mathfrak{Z}_{\varepsilon}$ be the cylinder in \mathbb{R}^d defined by

$$\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : 0 < x_1 < \xi, \ x_2^2 + \dots + x_d^2 < 1\}.$$

The following theorem provides formulas for the limit distributions in Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 in terms of \widetilde{H}_g and H_g .

Theorem 1.4. Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{W})$ be a regular cut-and-project set, and $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Choose $g \in G$ and $\delta > 0$ so that $\mathcal{L} - (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{0}) = \delta^{1/n}(\mathbb{Z}^n g)$. Then the function $F_{\mathcal{P}}(\xi)$ in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is given by

(1.16)
$$F_{\mathcal{P}}(\xi) = \mu_{\widetilde{H}_g}(\{\mathcal{P}' \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_g : \mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} \cap \mathcal{P}' = \emptyset\}).$$

In fact if $\mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathfrak{S}$ (as in Theorem 1.2), then $H_g = \widetilde{H}_g$ and this group is independent of the choice of \mathbf{q} . On the other hand if $\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{P}$, then the function $F_{\mathcal{P},\mathbf{q}}(\xi,r)$ in Theorem 1.3 is given by

(1.17)
$$F_{\mathcal{P},q}(\xi,r) = \mu_g(\{\mathcal{P}' \in \mathfrak{Q}_g : (\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} + r\mathbf{e}_d) \cap \mathcal{P}' = \emptyset\})$$
 with $\mathbf{e}_d = (0,\ldots,0,1)$.

1.5. The Siegel integral formula for quasicrystals. The Siegel integral formula is a fundamental identity in the geometry of numbers [35, 36]. We will prove an analogue for the space of quasicrystals, which in fact is a special case of the Siegel-Veech formula [38, Thm. 0.12]. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Define for every $\mathcal{P} \in \mathfrak{Q}_g$ the Siegel transform

(1.18)
$$\widehat{f}(\mathcal{P}) = \sum_{q \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} f(q).$$

Recall the definition of $\delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L})$ in (1.6); for \mathcal{L} an affine lattice we extend the definition by setting $\delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L}) := \delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L})$; note that $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}$ is the lattice in \mathbb{R}^n of which \mathcal{L} is a translate.

Theorem 1.5. Let $\mathcal{L} = \delta^{1/n}(\mathbb{Z}^n g)$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_g = \mathfrak{Q}_g(\mathcal{W}, \delta)$ as above, and assume that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L})$ is regular and the map $\pi_{\mathcal{W}} : \{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L} : \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{W} \} \to \mathcal{P}$ is bijective. Then for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have

(1.19)
$$\int_{\mathfrak{Q}_g} \widehat{f}(\mathcal{P}) d\mu_g(\mathcal{P}) = \delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L}) \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{W}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\boldsymbol{x}) d\text{vol}(\boldsymbol{x}).$$

The continuity for $\xi < \infty$ of the limit distributions $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $F_{\mathcal{P},q}$ in Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.5 and the formulas in Theorem 1.4; for $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ one uses also the fact that each $\widetilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_g$ can be obtained as $\mathfrak{Q}_{g'}$ for an appropriate g'; cf. Proposition 4.5 and Corollary 5.2 below. We give a proof of the continuity at $\xi = \infty$ in Remark 5.1.

1.6. Plan of the paper. In Section 2 we give several examples of standard constructions of quasicrystals and discuss the corresponding Ratner subgroups \widetilde{H}_g and H_g appearing in Theorem 1.4. In Section 3 we give some fundamental facts regarding the cut-and-project construction. The key ingredient in the proofs of our main results are equidistribution theorems on the homogeneous space $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_g$; these are established in Section 4. In Section 5 we prove the Siegel integral formula, Theorem 1.5, in a slightly more general form, and in Section 6, building on the results from previous sections, we prove Theorems 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4.

Finally in an appendix we outline how the same methods can also be applied to understand the fine-scale statistics of directions in a cut-and-project set.

2. Examples

2.1. Quasicrystals with low-dimensional internal spaces. The following result holds:

Proposition 2.1. Assume d > m. Let $g \in G^1$ be such that for the lattice $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}^n g$, the map $\pi_{|\mathcal{L}}$ is injective and $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{L})} = \mathbb{R}^m$. Then $H_g = G^1$.

We will not need this proposition in the present paper, and the proof, which makes substantial use of the theory of algebraic groups, will be presented elsewhere. The two assumptions on \mathcal{L} (injectivity of $\pi_{|\mathcal{L}}$ and density of $\overline{\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{L})}$) are standard in the quasicrystal literature. It is important to note that the assumption d>m in Proposition 2.1 cannot be removed entirely; indeed the number field construction to which we turn next can be used to give counterexamples for any d,m with $d\mid m$.

In this vein, let us note that for arbitrary d, m, if $H_g = G^1$ then $\widetilde{H}_g = G$:

Lemma 2.2. Let $g \in G^1$ be such that $H_q = G^1$. Then $\widetilde{H}_q = G$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $\Gamma \varphi_g(\mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ is dense in G. Let $h \in G^1$ be given. Since $H_g = G^1$, there exist sequences $\{\gamma_k\} \subset \Gamma^1$ and $\{A_k\} \subset \mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ such that $\gamma_k \varphi_g(A_k) \to h$ as $k \to \infty$. Now for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have $(1_n, \ell)\gamma_k \in \Gamma$, $(A_k, \mathbf{w}) \in \mathrm{ASL}(d, \mathbb{R})$, and

(2.1)
$$(1_n, \boldsymbol{\ell})\gamma_k\varphi_g((A_k, \boldsymbol{w})) = (\gamma_k\varphi_g(A_k), \boldsymbol{\ell}\gamma_k\varphi_g(A_k) + (\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{0})g^{-1}) \to (h, \boldsymbol{\ell}h + (\boldsymbol{w}, \boldsymbol{0})g^{-1})$$
 as $k \to \infty$. Thus the closure of $\Gamma\varphi_g(\mathrm{ASL}(d, \mathbb{R}))$ contains the set

(2.2)
$$\{(h, \mathbf{v}) : h \in G^1, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^n h + (\mathbb{R}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\})g^{-1}\}.$$

However $\mathbb{Z}^n h + (\mathbb{R}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\})g^{-1}$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^n for almost every $h \in G^1$. Hence $\Gamma \varphi_g(\mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ is dense in G.

2.2. Quasicrystals from algebraic number fields. Several of the most well-known quasicrystals can be constructed using algebraic number theory; cf., e.g., [27]. We here give a basic construction, a variant of which appeared already in Meyer, [24, Ch. II, Prop. 6]; see also [25, Thm. 6].

Let K be a totally real number field of degree $N \geq 2$ over \mathbb{Q} , let \mathcal{O}_K be its subring of algebraic integers, and let π_1, \ldots, π_N be the distinct embeddings of K into \mathbb{R} . We will always view K as a subset of \mathbb{R} via π_1 ; in other words we agree that π_1 is the identity map. Fix $d \geq 1$ and set n = dN. By abuse of notation we write π_j also for the coordinate-wise embedding of K^d into \mathbb{R}^d , and for the entry-wise embedding of $M_d(K)$ (the algebra of $d \times d$ matrices with entries in K) into $M_d(\mathbb{R})$. Let \mathcal{L} be the lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n = (\mathbb{R}^d)^N$ given by

(2.3)
$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_K^d := \left\{ (\boldsymbol{x}, \pi_2(\boldsymbol{x}), \dots, \pi_N(\boldsymbol{x})) : \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{O}_K^d \right\}.$$

As usual we set m = n - d = (N - 1)d, let π and π_{int} be the projections of $\mathbb{R}^n = (\mathbb{R}^d)^N = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^m$ onto the first d and last m coordinates. It follows from [40, Cor. 2 in Ch. IV-2] that $\pi_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{L})$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^m , i.e. we have $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}^n$ in the present situation. Hence the window \mathcal{W} should be taken as a subset of \mathbb{R}^m , and we consider the cut-and-project set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L}) \subset \mathbb{R}^d$.

2.2.1. Determining H_g and \widetilde{H}_g . Choose $\delta > 0$ and $g \in G^1$ such that

$$(2.4) \mathcal{L} = \delta^{1/n} \mathbb{Z}^n g.$$

In fact

$$\delta = |D_K|^{d/2},$$

where D_K is the discriminant of K; cf., e.g., [17, Ch. V.2, Lemma 2]. We now claim that

(2.6)
$$\widetilde{H}_g = g \operatorname{ASL}(d, \mathbb{R})^N g^{-1}$$
 and $H_g = g \operatorname{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})^N g^{-1}$,

where $ASL(d,\mathbb{R})^N$ is embedded as a subgroup of $G = ASL(n,\mathbb{R})$ through

$$(2.7) \quad \operatorname{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R})^N \ni ((A_1,\boldsymbol{v}_1),\ldots,(A_N,\boldsymbol{v}_N)) \mapsto \left(\operatorname{diag}[A_1,\ldots,A_N],(\boldsymbol{v}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{v}_N)\right) \in G,$$

where $\operatorname{diag}[A_1, \ldots, A_N]$ is the block matrix whose diagonal blocks are A_1, \ldots, A_N in this order, and all other blocks vanish.

In order to prove (2.6), we set

(2.8)
$$\Gamma_K^1 := \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathcal{O}_K) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_K := \mathrm{ASL}(d, \mathcal{O}_K) = \Gamma_K^1 \ltimes \mathcal{O}_K^d,$$

which we view as subgroups of $\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})^N$ and $\mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R})^N$, respectively, in the standard way through $\gamma\mapsto (\pi_1(\gamma),\dots,\pi_N(\gamma))$. Then Γ_K^1 is a lattice in $\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})^N$ [6, Thm. 12.3] and thus Γ_K is a lattice in $\mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R})^N$. Note that Γ_K stabilizes \mathcal{L} , i.e. $\mathcal{L}\gamma=\mathcal{L}$ holds for each $\gamma\in\Gamma_K$; hence $\Gamma_K\subset g^{-1}\Phi_\delta(\Gamma)g\cap\mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R})^N$, where Φ_δ is the isomorphism $G\to G$ given by $(A,v)\mapsto (A,\delta^{1/n}v)$. It follows that $g^{-1}\Phi_\delta(\Gamma)g\cap\mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R})^N$ is a lattice in $\mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R})^N$, and thus $\Gamma\cap\widetilde{H}$ is a lattice in \widetilde{H} , where $\widetilde{H}:=\Phi_\delta^{-1}(g\,\mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R})^Ng^{-1})=g\,\mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R})^Ng^{-1}$. Similarly $\Gamma^1\cap H$ is a lattice in H, where $H:=g\,\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})^Ng^{-1}$. Using also the fact that Γ_K^1 is an irreducible lattice in $\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})^N$ it follows that $\Gamma_K^1(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ is dense in $\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})^N$ (cf. [29, Cor. 5.21(5)]). Conjugating with g this implies that $(\Gamma^1\cap H)\varphi_g(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ is dense in H, or equivalently, $\Gamma^1\backslash\Gamma^1\varphi_g(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ is dense in $\Gamma^1\backslash\Gamma^1H$. Hence H has all the properties required of H_g , i.e. $H_g=H$. Using also the fact that $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{L}_K^d)$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{(N-1)d}$ it follows similarly that $\Gamma\backslash\Gamma\varphi_g(\mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ is dense in $\Gamma\backslash\Gamma\widetilde{H}$ and so $H_g=H$ and we have proved (2.6).

- 2.2.2. Let us note that these considerations carry over trivially to the more general lattice $\mathcal{L}_K^d g^0$, where $g^0 = (g_1^0, \dots, g_N^0)$ is any fixed element in $\mathrm{GL}(d,\mathbb{R})^N$. Indeed, note that $\mathcal{L}_K^d g^0 = \delta'^{1/n} \mathbb{Z}^n g'$ where $\delta' = |D_K|^{d/2} \det g^0$ and $g' = g(\det g^0)^{-1/n} g^0 \in G^1$, and using the fact that conjugation by g^0 preserves $\varphi_1(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ and $\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})^N$, since g^0 is block diagonal, we immediately verify that $H_{g'} = g' \, \mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})^N g'^{-1} = H_g$; similarly $\tilde{H}_{g'} = g' \, \mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R})^N g'^{-1} = \tilde{H}_g$.
- 2.3. Unions of translates of cut-and-project sets with fixed \mathcal{L} . Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an arbitrary lattice of full rank, and set $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\pi_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{L})}$ as before; fix a finite number of window sets $\mathcal{W}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{W}_s \subset \mathcal{A}$, and fix any vectors $\mathbf{t}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{t}_s \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let us consider the union of the translated cut-and-project sets $\mathbf{t}_i + \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}_i, \mathcal{L})$:

(2.9)
$$\mathcal{P}(\{\mathcal{W}_j\}, \{t_j\}, \mathcal{L}) := \bigcup_{j=1}^s (t_j + \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}_j, \mathcal{L})).$$

We will now show that, by a simple construction, the set $\mathcal{P}(\{W_j\}, \{t_j\}, \mathcal{L})$ can be recovered as a cut-and-project set $\mathcal{P}(W', \mathcal{L}')$ within our framework.

Remark 2.1. It would also be interesting to study unions of translates of cut-and-project sets for which \mathcal{L} is allowed to vary. In [23] we consider this situation in the simplest case m=0, that is, unions of translates of distinct lattices.

We start by fixing a finite number of vectors $\boldsymbol{b}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{b}_r \in \mathbb{R}^n$ so that $\{\boldsymbol{t}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{t}_s\} \subset \pi(\mathcal{L} + \sum_{k=1}^r \mathbb{Z}\boldsymbol{b}_k)$. Note that this can always be achieved by taking r = s and taking each \boldsymbol{b}_k so that $\pi(\boldsymbol{b}_k) = \boldsymbol{t}_k$; however in practice one can often make more convenient choices with r smaller than s. Set m' = m + r and n' = d + m'; let π' and π'_{int} be the projections of $\mathbb{R}^{n'} = \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{m'}$ onto the first d and last m' coordinates, and let

(2.10)
$$\mathcal{L}' := (\mathcal{L} \times \{\mathbf{0}\}) + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \mathbb{Z}(\boldsymbol{b}_k, \boldsymbol{e}_k) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n'},$$

where we express vectors using the decomposition $\mathbb{R}^{n'} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^r$, and $\boldsymbol{e}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{e}_r$ are the standard basis vectors in \mathbb{R}^r . Note that \mathcal{L}' is a lattice of full rank in $\mathbb{R}^{n'}$. We will call \mathcal{L}' as in (2.10) an extension of rank r over \mathcal{L} by the extension vectors $\{\boldsymbol{b}_k\}$. Next let \mathcal{A}' be the closure of $\pi'_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{L}')$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m'}$; then

(2.11)
$$\mathcal{A}' = (\mathcal{A} \times \{\mathbf{0}\}) + \sum_{k=1}^{r} \mathbb{Z}(\pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{b}_k), \boldsymbol{e}_k),$$

where we express vectors using $\mathbb{R}^{m'} = \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^r$. It follows from the choice of $\boldsymbol{b}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{b}_r$ that for each $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ there exist $\boldsymbol{v}^{(j)} \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\alpha_1^{(j)}, \dots, \alpha_r^{(j)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\boldsymbol{t}_j = \pi(\boldsymbol{v}^{(j)} + \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_k^{(j)} \boldsymbol{b}_k)$. We set

$$(2.12) \quad \boldsymbol{m}_j' := (\boldsymbol{v}^{(j)}, \boldsymbol{0}) + \sum_{k=1}^r \alpha_k^{(j)}(\boldsymbol{b}_k, \boldsymbol{e}_k) \in \mathcal{L}' \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{W}_j' := (\mathcal{W}_j \times \{\boldsymbol{0}\}) + \pi_{\mathrm{int}}'(\boldsymbol{m}_j') \subset \mathcal{A}'$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, s$.

As an immediate consequence of our definitions we now have

(2.13)
$$\mathbf{t}_{i} + \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}_{i}, \mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}'_{i}, \mathcal{L}'),$$

and thus also, with $\mathcal{W}' := \cup_{j=1}^{s} \mathcal{W}'_{j}$:

(2.14)
$$\mathcal{P}(\{\mathcal{W}_j\}, \{t_j\}, \mathcal{L}) := \bigcup_{j=1}^{s} (t_j + \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}_j, \mathcal{L})) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{s} \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}'_j, \mathcal{L}') = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}', \mathcal{L}'),$$

as desired.

Remark 2.2. As a particular example, note that the above construction also applies when m=0, in which case we understand $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{R}^0=\{\mathbf{0}\}$ and with the only possible (non-empty) \mathcal{W} being $\mathcal{W}=\{\mathbf{0}\}$, we have $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{L})=\mathcal{L}$. Hence (2.14) shows that any periodic Delone set (viz. a union of a finite number of translates of a fixed lattice $\mathcal{L}\subset\mathbb{R}^d$) can be obtained as a cut-and-project set (1.4). For example the case of a honeycomb recently treated by Boca and Gologan [3] and Boca [4] is contained in the present work. The honeycomb can be represented as $(t_1+\mathcal{L})\cup(t_2+\mathcal{L})$ where \mathcal{L} is the hexagonal lattice, $\mathcal{L}=\mathbb{Z}v_1+\mathbb{Z}v_2$ with $v_1=(1,0)$, $v_2=(\frac{1}{2},\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$, and the translation vectors are $t_1=0$ and $t_2=\frac{1}{3}(v_1+v_2)$. The fact that both t_1 and t_2 are rational linear combinations of the lattice vectors means that we are in the particularly simple situation described in Section 2.3.1 below.

We next discuss the Ratner subgroups associated with \mathcal{L}' . Take $\delta > 0$ and $g \in G^1$ so that $\mathcal{L} = \delta^{1/n} \mathbb{Z}^n g$. Let B be the $r \times n$ matrix whose row vectors are $\mathbf{b}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{b}_r$. Then $B = \delta^{1/n} \beta g$ for some (uniquely determined) $\beta \in M_{r \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, and we have

$$\mathcal{L}' = \delta^{1/n'} \mathbb{Z}^{n'} g',$$

where

$$(2.16) g' := \delta^{-1/n'} \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{1/n} g & 0 \\ B & 1_r \end{pmatrix} = \delta^{-1/n'} \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & 0 \\ \beta & 1_r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{1/n} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1_r \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}(n', \mathbb{R}).$$

2.3.1. Determining $H_{g'}$ and $\widetilde{H}_{g'}$ – in the special case of rational translates. Let us define the homomorphism $\phi_{\beta}: G \to \mathrm{ASL}(n',\mathbb{R})$ through

$$(2.17) \ \phi_{\beta}((h, \mathbf{v})) := \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & 0 \\ \beta & 1_r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & 1_r \end{pmatrix}, (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{0}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1_n & 0 \\ \beta & 1_r \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ \beta h - \beta & 1_r \end{pmatrix}, (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{0}) \end{pmatrix},$$

and note that

(2.18)
$$\varphi_{q'}((A, \boldsymbol{v})) = (\phi_{\beta} \circ \varphi_q)((A, \delta^{(1/n') - (1/n)} \boldsymbol{v})), \quad \forall (A, \boldsymbol{v}) \in ASL(d, \mathbb{R}).$$

Now assume that each b_k is a rational linear combination of the lattice vectors in \mathcal{L} . We then claim that

(2.19)
$$\widetilde{H}_{q'} = \phi_{\beta}(\widetilde{H}_q) \quad \text{and} \quad H_{q'} = \phi_{\beta}(H_q).$$

Indeed, note that $\varphi_{g'}(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})) \subset \Phi_{\beta}(H_g)$ by (2.18); also the assumption about $\boldsymbol{b}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{b}_r$ implies that there is some positive integer N such that $\beta \in M_{r \times n}(N^{-1}\mathbb{Z})$, and now one checks that $\mathrm{SL}(n',\mathbb{Z}) \cap \phi_{\beta}(H_g)$ contains $\phi_{\beta}(\Gamma^1(N) \cap H_g)$, where $\Gamma^1(N)$ is the congruence subgroup

(2.20)
$$\Gamma^{1}(N) := \{ \gamma \in \Gamma^{1} : \gamma \equiv 1_{n} \mod N\mathbb{Z} \}.$$

It is known that $\Gamma^1(N)$ has finite index in Γ^1 ; hence $\Gamma^1(N) \cap H_g$ has finite index in $\Gamma^1 \cap H_g$, and $\mathrm{SL}(n',\mathbb{Z}) \cap \phi_\beta(H_g)$ is a lattice in $\phi_\beta(H_g)$. Next note that by Ratner [31, Cor. B] there is a closed connected subgroup H of G^1 such that $\varphi_g(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})) \subset H$, $\Gamma^1(N) \cap H$ is a lattice in H and the closure of $(\Gamma^1(N) \cap H)\varphi_g(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ in G^1 equals H. Then H has all the properties required of H_g and hence $H_g = H$; thus H_g equals the closure of $(\Gamma^1(N) \cap H_g)\varphi_g(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$. This implies that $\phi_\beta(H_g)$ equals the closure of $(\mathrm{SL}(n',\mathbb{Z}) \cap \phi_\beta(H_g))\varphi_{g'}(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$, and we have thus proved $H_{g'} = \phi_\beta(H_g)$. By an entirely similar argument, using $\Gamma^1(N) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^n$ in place of $\Gamma^1(N)$, we also obtain $\widetilde{H}_{g'} = \phi_\beta(\widetilde{H}_g)$. Now (2.19) is proved.

2.3.2. Determining H_g – in a special case of linearly independent translates. Let us return to the special case of a periodic Delone set, i.e. a union of a finite number of translates of a fixed lattice $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ (d=n). Let b'_1, \ldots, b'_d be any fixed integer basis for \mathcal{L} . We now consider the situation when the shift vectors b_1, \ldots, b_r are such that $b_1, \ldots, b_r, b'_1, \ldots, b'_d$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{Q} . We claim that in this case, writing n' = d + r and letting $g' \in \mathrm{SL}(n', \mathbb{R})$ (as well as $g, \delta, B, \beta, \phi_{\beta}$) be as in Section 2.3.1, we have

(2.21)
$$H_{g'} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ u & 1_r \end{pmatrix} : h \in G^1 = \mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R}), u \in M_{r \times d}(\mathbb{R}) \right\}$$

and

(2.22)
$$\widetilde{H}_{g'} = \{ (T, (\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{0})) : T \in H_{g'}, \, \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d \}.$$

Indeed, let us write H for the set in the right hand side of (2.21); then by (2.17) and (2.18) we have $\varphi_{g'}(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})) \subset H$; also note that $\mathrm{SL}(n',\mathbb{Z}) \cap H$ is a lattice in H. Thus to prove (2.21) it suffices to prove that $(\mathrm{SL}(n',\mathbb{Z}) \cap H)\phi_{\beta}(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ is dense in H, i.e. that the set of matrices

(2.23)
$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ \alpha & 1_r \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ \beta h - \beta & 1_r \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma h & 0 \\ (\alpha + \beta)h - \beta & 1_r \end{pmatrix},$$

where h, γ, α vary over G^1 , Γ^1 and $M_{r \times d}(\mathbb{Z})$, respectively, is dense in H. Replacing here h by $\gamma^{-1}h$ and α by $\alpha\gamma$ we see that it suffices to prove that if $C \subset M_{r \times d}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ is the closure of the image of the set $\{\beta\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma^1\}$ under the projection $M_{r \times d}(\mathbb{R}) \to M_{r \times d}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$, then $C = M_{r \times d}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Note that our assumption about b_1, \ldots, b_r implies that there does not exist any $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}^r \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $\kappa \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Hence by Weyl equidistribution, the set $\{{}^t(\beta {}^t a) : a \in \mathbb{Z}^d \cap [1, T]^d\}$ becomes

asymptotically equidistributed in the torus $\mathbb{R}^r/\mathbb{Z}^r$ as $T\to\infty$. By a standard sieving argument, the same also holds if \mathbb{Z}^d is replaced by $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d=\{\boldsymbol{a}\in\mathbb{Z}^d:\gcd(\boldsymbol{a})=1\}$, the set of primitive integer points, and in particular we conclude that $\{{}^t(\beta\,{}^t\boldsymbol{a}):\boldsymbol{a}\in\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d\}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^r/\mathbb{Z}^r$. Using also the compactness of C and the fact that for any $\boldsymbol{a}\in\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^d$ there is some $\gamma\in\Gamma^1$ whose first column equals ${}^t\boldsymbol{a}$, it follows that for every $\boldsymbol{w}\in\mathbb{R}^r/\mathbb{Z}^r$ there is some $u\in C$ whose first column equals ${}^t\boldsymbol{w}$. Now let $v\in M_{r\times d}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$ and $\varepsilon>0$ be given. Then there is some $\boldsymbol{w}\in\mathbb{R}^r/\mathbb{Z}^r$ such that $\mathbb{Z}\boldsymbol{w}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^r/\mathbb{Z}^r$ and ${}^t\boldsymbol{w}$ is ε -near the first column of v. By what we have just proved there is some $u\in C$ whose first column equals ${}^t\boldsymbol{w}$. But note that C is Γ^1 -right invariant; in particular $u({}^1_0 {}^1_{d-1}) \in C$ for every $\boldsymbol{a}\in\mathbb{Z}^{d-1}$; and by choosing \boldsymbol{a} appropriately we can make each column of $u({}^1_0 {}^1_{d-1})$ be ε -near the corresponding column of v. Letting $\varepsilon\to 0$ we conclude $v\in C$, i.e. we have proved that $C=M_{r\times d}(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})$. This completes the proof of (2.21).

Finally (2.22) follows immediately from (2.21), since $H_{g'}$ contains both $H_{g'}$ and $\varphi_{g'}((1_d, \boldsymbol{v}))$ for each $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and since the right hand side of (2.22) is indeed a closed connected subgroup of G which intersects $\mathrm{ASL}(n', \mathbb{Z})$ in a lattice.

2.4. Passing to a sublattice. Let \mathcal{L} be as before, and let \mathcal{L}' be a sublattice of \mathcal{L} of full rank. Then \mathcal{L}' has finite index $N := [\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{L}']$ as a subgroup of \mathcal{L} , and if $\mathcal{L} = \delta^{1/n}\mathbb{Z}^n g$ and $\mathcal{L}' = \delta'^{1/n}\mathbb{Z}^n g'$ for some $\delta, \delta' > 0$ and $g, g' \in G^1$ then $\delta' = N\delta$ and there is a $T \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$ with det T = N such that $g' = N^{-1/n}Tg$. It will be convenient to know the precise relation between the Ratner subgroups for \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{L}' :

Lemma 2.3. In the situation just described,
$$H_{g'} = TH_gT^{-1}$$
 and $\widetilde{H}_{g'} = T\widetilde{H}_gT^{-1}$.

Proof. By Cramer's rule we have $NT^{-1} \in M_n(\mathbb{Z})$, and by a simple computation this is seen to imply $T\Gamma^1(N)T^{-1} \subset \Gamma^1$. Hence $\Gamma^1 \cap TH_gT^{-1}$ contains $T(\Gamma^1(N) \cap H_g)T^{-1}$, and it follows that $\Gamma^1 \cap TH_gT^{-1}$ is a lattice in TH_gT^{-1} , since $\Gamma^1(N) \cap H_g$ is a lattice in H_g . Recall also that, as we noted in the proof of (2.19), H_g equals the closure of $(\Gamma^1(N) \cap H_g)\varphi_g(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$. Conjugating with T, this implies that TH_gT^{-1} equals the closure of $(\Gamma^1 \cap TH_gT^{-1})\varphi_{g'}(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$. Hence TH_gT^{-1} has all the properties required of $H_{g'}$; hence $H_{g'} = TH_gT^{-1}$. The proof of $\widetilde{H}_{g'} = T\widetilde{H}_gT^{-1}$ is entirely similar, using $\Gamma^1(N) \ltimes N\mathbb{Z}^n$ in place of $\Gamma^1(N)$.

2.5. The quasicrystal associated with a Penrose tiling. Let us now discuss the specific example of a quasicrystal associated with a Penrose tiling. It is well-known that such a quasicrystal can be expressed as a regular cut-and-project set; cf. [7] and [32, Sec. 6.4]. Specifically, set d=2, m=3, thus n=5, and we let $g\in SO(5)$ be the orthogonal matrix whose row vectors are

$$(2.24) v_j = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \left(\cos(j\frac{2\pi}{5}), \sin(j\frac{2\pi}{5}), \cos(j\frac{4\pi}{5}), \sin(j\frac{4\pi}{5}), 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

in this order, and set $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}^5 g$. In other words, \mathcal{L} is the lattice spanned by $\mathbf{v}_0, \dots, \mathbf{v}_4$. Now $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{L})} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times 5^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, if we set $\mathbf{v}'_j := p(\mathbf{v}_j) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ where $p : \mathbb{R}^5 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is the projection $(x_1, \dots, x_5) \mapsto (x_3, x_4)$ then $\mathbf{v}'_2 = -\mathbf{v}'_0 - \tau \mathbf{v}'_1$ and $\mathbf{v}'_3 = \tau \mathbf{v}'_0 + \tau \mathbf{v}'_1$, where $\tau := \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5})$; hence since $\tau \notin \mathbb{Q}$ we see that $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{v}'_0 + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{v}'_1 + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{v}'_2 + \mathbb{Z}\mathbf{v}'_3$ is dense in \mathbb{R}^2 , and this implies that $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{L})$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times 5^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{Z}$, as desired. Next fix the window set

$$(2.25) W := \mathcal{A} \cap \pi_{\text{int}}((\mathcal{Q}_5 + \gamma)g),$$

where Q_5 is the open cube $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})^5$ and where $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_5)$ is a fixed vector in \mathbb{R}^5 satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^5 \gamma_j \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mod 1$ and which is regular in the sense that the subspace $(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{\mathbf{0}\})g^{-1}$ does not meet any 2-face, edge or vertex of the cube $\overline{Q_5} + \gamma + m$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^5$; note that this condition is fulfilled for Lebesgue-almost all γ with $\sum_{j=1}^5 \gamma_j \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mod 1$. With these choices $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L})$ is a quasicrystal associated with a Penrose tiling. This is clear from Senechal [32,

Sec. 6.4], by noticing that the orthogonal transformation g^{-1} maps \mathcal{L} to \mathbb{Z}^5 , and maps the physical and internal spaces $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $\{\mathbf{0}\} \times \mathbb{R}^3$ onto \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}^{\perp} , respectively, where

$$\mathcal{E} = \operatorname{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ (1, \cos(\frac{2\pi}{5}), \cos(\frac{4\pi}{5}), \cos(\frac{6\pi}{5}), \cos(\frac{8\pi}{5})), (1, \sin(\frac{2\pi}{5}), \sin(\frac{4\pi}{5}), \sin(\frac{6\pi}{5}), \sin(\frac{8\pi}{5})) \right\},$$

and also maps W onto $\mathcal{A}g^{-1} \cap \Pi^{\perp}(\mathcal{Q}_5 + \gamma)$, where Π^{\perp} denotes orthogonal projection onto \mathcal{E}^{\perp} .

We next wish to determine H_g . We will do so by observing that \mathcal{L} can be obtained as an extension (cf. Sec. 2.3) of a sublattice (cf. Sec. 2.4) of a number field lattice \mathcal{L}_K^2 as in Sec. 2.2. Our discussion here is influenced by Pleasants [27].

Let K be the quadratic number field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ and let \mathcal{O}_K be its ring of integers; thus $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\tau] = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$. We write $a \mapsto \overline{a}$ for the conjugation map of K. Let \mathcal{L}_K^2 be as in (2.3); thus

(2.26)
$$\mathcal{L}_{K}^{2} = \left\{ (\alpha, \beta, \overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta}) : \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_{K} \right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{4}.$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_K^2$ be the sublattice

(2.27)
$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{K}^{2} := \left\{ \left(\alpha, \beta, \overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta} \right) \in \mathcal{L}_{K}^{2} : \operatorname{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha + \beta) \in 5\mathbb{Z} \right\},$$

and let $\mathcal{L}' \subset \mathbb{R}^5$ be the rank-one extension (cf. (2.10)) of $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_K^2$ by the extension vector $\boldsymbol{b} = (1,0,1,0) \in \mathbb{R}^4$, i.e.

$$(2.28) \mathcal{L}' = \left\{ \left(\alpha, \beta, \overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta}, k \right) : \alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_K, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}, \ k \equiv -2 \operatorname{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha + \beta) \ (\text{mod 5}) \right\}.$$

We now claim that

$$(2.29) \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}' g_0,$$

where

(2.30)
$$g_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ \cos(\frac{2\pi}{5}) & \sin(\frac{2\pi}{5}) & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \cos(\frac{4\pi}{5}) & \sin(\frac{4\pi}{5}) & \\ & & & 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \in GL(5, \mathbb{R}).$$

To prove this relation we start by noticing that

$$(2.31)$$

$$\mathcal{L}' = \mathbb{Z}(1,0,1,0,1) + \mathbb{Z}(0,1,0,1,1) + \mathbb{Z}(\tau,0,\overline{\tau},0,-2) + \mathbb{Z}(0,\tau,0,\overline{\tau},-2) + \mathbb{Z}(0,0,0,0,5).$$

Let us identify \mathbb{R}^5 with $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}$ through $(x_1, \dots, x_5) \mapsto (x_1 + ix_2, x_3 + ix_4, x_5)$. With this identification, we get from (2.31) that $\mathcal{L}'g_0 = \sum_{j=0}^4 \mathbb{Z} \boldsymbol{u}_j$ where

$$\mathbf{u}_0 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} (1, 1, 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \quad \mathbf{u}_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} (\xi_5, \xi_5^2, 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \qquad \mathbf{u}_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} (\tau, \overline{\tau}, -2 \cdot 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

$$(2.32) \qquad \mathbf{u}_3 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} (\tau \xi_5, \overline{\tau} \xi_5^2, -2 \cdot 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \qquad \mathbf{u}_4 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}} (0, 0, 5 \cdot 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}),$$

with $\xi_5 := e^{2\pi i/5}$. On the other hand the vectors \boldsymbol{v}_j in (2.24) are now given by $\boldsymbol{v}_j = \sqrt{2/5}(\xi_5^j, \xi_5^{2j}, 2^{-\frac{1}{2}})$, and we recall that $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{j=0}^4 \mathbb{Z}\boldsymbol{v}_j$. Using $\tau = -\xi_5^2 - \xi_5^3$ and $\overline{\tau} = 1 - \tau = -\xi_5 - \xi_5^4$ we verify that

$$u_0 = v_0; \quad u_1 = v_1; \quad u_2 = -v_2 - v_3; \quad u_3 = -v_3 - v_4; \quad u_4 = v_0 + v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4.$$

From these relations it is clear that $\mathcal{L}'g_0 \subset \mathcal{L}$, and also, by a quick inspection, that $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}'g_0$, i.e. we have completed the proof of (2.29).

Now fix $g_K \in SL(4,\mathbb{R})$ so that $\mathcal{L}_K^2 = 5^{1/4}\mathbb{Z}^4 g_K$ (cf. (2.5) and note that $D_K = 5$). Then $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_K^2 = 5^{1/4}\mathbb{Z}^4 T g_K$ for some $T \in M_4(\mathbb{Z})$ with det T = 5 (cf. Sec. 2.4); also $\mathcal{L}' = 5^{2/5}\mathbb{Z}^5 g'$ where $g' \in G^1$ is given by (cf. (2.16))

(2.34)
$$g' = 5^{-2/5} \begin{pmatrix} 1_4 & 0 \\ \beta & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 5^{1/4} T g_K & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using (2.6), Lemma 2.3 and (2.19) (using $5\mathbf{b} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_K^2$), we have

$$\widetilde{H}_{g'} = \phi_{\beta}(Tg_K \operatorname{ASL}(2, \mathbb{R})^2 g_K^{-1} T^{-1}) = g' \widetilde{H} g'^{-1}$$
 and $H_{g'} = g' H g'^{-1}$,

where

$$(2.35) \qquad \widetilde{H} := \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & \\ & A_2 \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (\boldsymbol{v}_1, \boldsymbol{v}_2, 0) \right) \; : \; ((A_1, \boldsymbol{v}_1), (A_2, \boldsymbol{v}_2)) \in \mathrm{ASL}(2, \mathbb{R})^2 \right\}$$

and H is the corresponding embedding of $SL(2,\mathbb{R})^2$ in $SL(5,\mathbb{R})$. Finally, using (2.29) (which implies $g = 5^{2/5} \gamma g' g_0$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma^1$), and the fact that conjugation with g_0 preserves each of \widetilde{H} , $\varphi_1(ASL(2,\mathbb{R}))$, H and $\varphi_1(SL(2,\mathbb{R}))$ (since g_0 is 2, 2, 1-block diagonal), we conclude:

(2.36)
$$\widetilde{H}_g = g\widetilde{H}g^{-1}$$
 and $H_g = gHg^{-1}$.

3. Some basic observations

In this section we prove some basic facts which we will need later about the cut-and-project construction and the related Ratner subgroup $H_q \subset G$.

Proposition 3.1. For any affine lattice $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and any bounded subset $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with nonempty interior, the cut-and-project set $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L})$ is a Delone set.

Cf. Meyer, [24, p. 48 (Thm. IV)]. For completeness we give a simple proof using our setup.

Proof. Using $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L} + \boldsymbol{x}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W} - \pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{x}), \mathcal{L}) + \pi(\boldsymbol{x})$ we may assume from start that \mathcal{L} is a lattice. Set $r = 1 + \text{diam}(\mathcal{W})$, and take $\delta > 0$ so that $\|\pi(\boldsymbol{x})\| \geq \delta$ for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{B}_r^n$ satisfying $\pi(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq \boldsymbol{0}$. Now let $\pi(\boldsymbol{y})$ and $\pi(\boldsymbol{y}')$ (with $\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{y}' \in \mathcal{L}, \pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{y}), \pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{y}') \in \mathcal{W}$) be any two distinct points in \mathcal{P} . Then $\|\pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{y}) - \pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{y}')\| \leq \text{diam}(\mathcal{W})$; hence if $\|\pi(\boldsymbol{y}) - \pi(\boldsymbol{y}')\| < 1$ then $\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{y}' \in \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{B}_r^n$ and therefore $\|\pi(\boldsymbol{y}) - \pi(\boldsymbol{y}')\| = \|\pi(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{y}')\| \geq \delta$. Thus $\|\pi(\boldsymbol{y}) - \pi(\boldsymbol{y}')\| \geq \min(1, \delta)$ always. Hence \mathcal{P} is uniformly discrete.

Next since \mathcal{W} has non-empty interior, there is some $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{L}$ and an open ball $B \subset \mathcal{A}^{\circ}$ such that $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbf{b}) + B \subset \mathcal{W}$. Let $B', B'' \subset \mathcal{A}^{\circ}$ be open balls satisfying $B' + B'' \subset B$. Since the torus $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is compact, there is a finite set $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_r\} \subset \mathcal{V}$ such that $\mathbf{v}_j + (\mathcal{B}_1^d \times B') + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, r$ together cover $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$. It follows from the definition of \mathcal{V} that $\mathbb{R}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$; in particular we can take R > 0 so large that $\mathcal{B}_R^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ meets each set $-\mathbf{v}_j + (\mathcal{B}_1^d \times B'') + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$, or in other words $\mathbf{v}_j \in (\mathcal{B}_{R+1}^d \times B'') + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$ for each $j = 1, \ldots, r$. Now for every $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}$ we can take $j \in \{1, \ldots, r\}$ such that

$$\boldsymbol{w} \in \boldsymbol{v}_j + (\mathcal{B}_1^d \times B') + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}} \subset (\mathcal{B}_{R+1}^d \times B'') + (\mathcal{B}_1^d \times B') + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}} \subset (\mathcal{B}_{R+2}^d \times B) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

In particular for every $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, applying the above statement with $\boldsymbol{w} = (-\boldsymbol{x} + \pi(\boldsymbol{b}), \boldsymbol{0})$ we conclude that $-\boldsymbol{b} + (\boldsymbol{x} + \mathcal{B}_{R+2}^d) \times (\pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{b}) + B)$ has nonempty intersection with \mathcal{L} , and thus $\boldsymbol{x} + \mathcal{B}_{R+2}^d$ has nonempty intersection with \mathcal{P} . Hence \mathcal{P} is relatively dense.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an affine lattice. Then for any bounded subset $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\partial \mathcal{W}) = 0$ and any bounded subset $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $vol(\partial \mathcal{D}) = 0$, we have

(3.1)
$$\frac{\#(\mathcal{L}\cap((x+T\mathcal{D})\times\mathcal{W}))}{T^d}\to\delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L})\mu_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{D}\times\mathcal{W}), \quad as \ T\to\infty,$$

uniformly over all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Cf. Schlottmann [33] and Hof [15]. We give a proof along the lines of [15].

Proof. By a translation argument we may assume without loss of generality that \mathcal{L} is a lattice, i.e. $\mathbf{0} \in \mathcal{L}$. Furthermore, since \mathcal{W} is bounded it can only intersect finitely many components of \mathcal{A} , and by a partition and translation argument we may reduce to the situation when $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{A}^{\circ}$. Also by partitioning \mathcal{W} further if necessary we may assume that $\mathcal{L} \cap (\{\mathbf{0}\} \times (\mathcal{W} - \mathcal{W})) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Since \mathcal{D} is bounded and $\operatorname{vol}(\partial \mathcal{D}) = 0$, \mathcal{D} is Jordan measurable. Hence for any $\eta > 0$ we can construct bounded sets $\mathcal{D}_{\eta}^{\pm} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\operatorname{vol}(\partial \mathcal{D}_{\eta}^{\pm}) = 0$ which have the properties that \mathcal{D} contains the η -neighbourhood of \mathcal{D}_{η}^{-} and \mathcal{D}_{η}^{+} contains the η -neighbourhood of \mathcal{D} , and $\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{D}_{\eta}^{+} \setminus \mathcal{D}_{\eta}^{-}) \to 0$ as $\eta \to 0$.

Using now $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{A}^{\circ}$ and $\mathcal{L} \cap (\{\mathbf{0}\} \times (\mathcal{W} - \mathcal{W})) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ we have

$$(3.2) \quad T^{-d} \# \left(\mathcal{L} \cap \left((\boldsymbol{x} + T\mathcal{D}) \times \mathcal{W} \right) \right) = T^{-d} \# \left\{ \boldsymbol{t} \in T\mathcal{D} : (\boldsymbol{x} + \boldsymbol{t}, \boldsymbol{0}) \in -(\{\boldsymbol{0}\} \times \mathcal{W}) + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}} \right\},$$

and for any $T \geq 1$ and any $\eta > 0$ so small that the set $\mathcal{B}_{\eta}^d \times \mathcal{W}$ is injectively embedded in the torus $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$, the last quantity is bounded from above by

$$(3.3) T^{-d}\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{B}_{\eta}^{d})^{-1}\operatorname{vol}\left(\left\{\boldsymbol{t}\in T\mathcal{D}_{\eta}^{+}: (\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{t},\boldsymbol{0})\in -(\mathcal{B}_{\eta}^{d}\times\mathcal{W})+\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}\right\}\right),$$

and from below by the analogous expression with \mathcal{D}_{η}^- . However the fact that $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}})$ is dense in \mathcal{A}° implies that that the only point $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathcal{A}^{\circ}$ satisfying $(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{v}) \cdot \boldsymbol{w} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is $\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{0}$. Therefore, by Weyl equidistribution (cf., e.g., [15, Theorems 3.1 and 3.2]), the set $(\boldsymbol{x} + T\mathcal{D}_{\eta}^+) \times \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$ becomes asymptotically equidistributed in the torus $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$ as $T \to \infty$. In particular (since both \mathcal{W} and \mathcal{D}_{η}^+ are Jordan measurable) the expression in (3.3) tends to

(3.4)
$$\frac{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{D}_{\eta}^{+})}{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{B}_{\eta}^{d})} \frac{\mu_{\mathcal{V}}((\mathcal{B}_{\eta}^{d} \times \mathcal{W} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}})/\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}})}{\mu_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}})} = \delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L}) \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{D}_{\eta}^{+}) \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{W}),$$

uniformly with respect to $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, where the last equality holds since $\mathcal{B}_{\eta}^d \times \mathcal{W}$ is injectively embedded in $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$. Similarly our bound from below tends to $\delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L}) \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{D}_{\eta}^-) \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{W})$. The proof is completed by taking $\eta \to 0$ and using $\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{D}_{\eta}^{\pm}) \to \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{D})$.

Recall from Section 1.4 that to each $g \in G = \mathrm{ASL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ we associate a Ratner subgroup $H_g \subset G$.

Theorem 3.3. (Ratner [31].) The family $\{H_q : g \in G\}$ is countable.

Proof. This follows from [31, Cor. A(2)] (for note that by [31, Cor. B], for each $g \in G$ there is a one-parameter subgroup U of $\varphi_g(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ which is unipotent in G and such that $\overline{\Gamma}\backslash\Gamma\overline{U} = \Gamma\backslash\Gamma H_g$).

Remark 3.1. The family $\{H_g: g \in G\}$ is always infinite. For example, for any $g = (1_n, \boldsymbol{\alpha})$ with $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Q}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ we have $H_g = \varphi_g(\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R}))$, and the groups H_g so obtained are pairwise different.

Corollary 3.4. Let $g \in G$. Then $H_{hg} \subset H_g$ for all $h \in H_g$ and $H_{hg} = H_g$ for μ_g -almost all $h \in H_g$.

Proof. For any $h \in H_g$ we have $H_{hg} \subset H_g$ since $\varphi_{hg}(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})) \subset hH_gh^{-1} = H_g$. On the other hand, let U be a one-parameter subgroup of $\varphi_g(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ which is unipotent in G and such that $\overline{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma U} = \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_g$ [31, Cor. B]. Then for any $h \in H_g$ we have $hUh^{-1} \subset \varphi_{hg}(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$, and so $\Gamma hU \subset \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_{hg}h$. Therefore if $H_{hg} \subsetneq H_g$ then ΓhU is not dense in $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_g$. Since U acts ergodically on $(\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_g, \mu_g)$ [31, Cor. A] this can only happen for a μ_g -null set of $h \in H_g$. \square

Proposition 3.5. Let $g \in G$ and set $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}^n g$ and $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\pi_{\rm int}(\mathcal{L})}$. Then $\overline{\pi_{\rm int}(\mathbb{Z}^n h g)} \subset \mathcal{A}$ for all $h \in H_g$, and $\overline{\pi_{\rm int}(\mathbb{Z}^n h g)} = \mathcal{A}$ for μ_g -almost all $h \in H_g$.

Proof. For the first claim, since H_g lies in the closure of $\Gamma \varphi_g(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ in G, it suffices to prove that if $\{\gamma_k\} \subset \Gamma$ and $\{A_k\} \subset \mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ are any sequences such that $h = \lim_k \gamma_k \varphi_g(A_k)$ exists then $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbb{Z}^n hg) \subset \overline{\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbb{Z}^n g)}$. Thus fix a vector $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Now $\gamma_k g \varphi_1(A_k) \to hg$ and

thus $\pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{m}\gamma_k g\varphi_1(A_k)) \to \pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{m}hg)$ as $k \to \infty$, and here $\pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{m}\gamma_k g\varphi_1(A_k)) = \pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{m}\gamma_k g) \in \pi_{\text{int}}(\mathbb{Z}^n g)$ for each k; hence $\pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{m}hg) \in \overline{\pi_{\text{int}}(\mathbb{Z}^n g)}$, and the claim is proved.

Replacing $\langle g, h \rangle$ by $\langle hg, h^{-1} \rangle$ in the statement just proved we conclude that if $h^{-1} \in H_{hg}$ then $\mathcal{A} \subset \overline{\pi_{\text{int}}(\mathbb{Z}^n hg)}$. In particular if $h \in H_g$ satisfies $H_{hg} = H_g$ then $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\pi_{\text{int}}(\mathbb{Z}^n hg)}$. This holds for μ_g -almost all $h \in H_g$, by Corollary 3.4.

Proposition 3.6. Given any affine lattice $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ let us write $\mathcal{L}_0 := \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}$ for the lattice of which it is a translate. Let $g \in G$. Then $(\mathbb{Z}^n g)_0 \cap (\{\mathbf{0}\} \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ is a subset of $(\mathbb{Z}^n hg)_0 \cap (\{\mathbf{0}\} \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ for all $h \in H_q$, and for μ_q -almost all $h \in H_q$ these two sets are equal.

Proof. Assume $g = (M_g, \mathbf{v}_g)$. Take $h = (M_h, \mathbf{v}_h) \in H_g$, and choose sequences $\{(\gamma_k, \mathbf{m}_k)\} \subset \Gamma$ and $\{A_k\} \subset \operatorname{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ such that $h = \lim_k (\gamma_k, \mathbf{m}_k) \varphi_g(A_k)$; then note that $M_h = \lim_k \gamma_k \varphi_{M_g}(A_k)$ in G^1 . Note also $(\mathbb{Z}^n g)_0 = \mathbb{Z}^n M_g$; consider any fixed $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $\mathbf{m} M_g \in \{\mathbf{0}\} \times \mathbb{R}^m$. Then $\mathbf{m} M_g \varphi_1(A_k^{-1}) = \mathbf{m} M_g$ and therefore $\mathbf{m} (\gamma_k \varphi_{M_g}(A_k))^{-1} = \mathbf{m} \gamma_k^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Taking $k \to \infty$ we conclude that $\mathbf{m} M_h^{-1} = \mathbf{m} \gamma_k^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ for all sufficiently large k, and so $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n M_h$ and $\mathbf{m} M_g \in \mathbb{Z}^n M_h M_g = (\mathbb{Z}^n hg)_0$. We have thus proved that $(\mathbb{Z}^n g)_0 \cap (\{\mathbf{0}\} \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ is a subset of $(\mathbb{Z}^n hg)_0 \cap (\{\mathbf{0}\} \times \mathbb{R}^m)$. Finally in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 3.5 we conclude that we have equality for almost all $h \in H_g$.

Proposition 3.7. Let $g \in G$, set $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}^n g$ and $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{L})}$, and let $\mathcal{W} \neq \emptyset$ be any open subset of \mathcal{A} such that the map $\pi_{\mathcal{W}} : \{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{L} : \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{W} \} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L})$ is bijective. Then for almost all $h \in H_g$ the corresponding map from $\{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^n hg : \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{W} \}$ to $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathbb{Z}^n hg)$ is bijective.

Proof. We first claim that for any affine lattice $\mathcal{L}' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\overline{\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{L}')} = \mathcal{A}$, the restriction $\pi_{\mathcal{W},\mathcal{L}'}$ of π to $\{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{L}' : \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\boldsymbol{y}) \in \mathcal{W}\}$ is injective (or in other words, bijective as a map to $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{L}')$) if and only if

(3.5)
$$\mathcal{W}_0 \cap \pi_{\mathrm{int}} \left(\mathcal{L}'_0 \cap (\{\mathbf{0}\} \times \mathbb{R}^m) \right) = \{\mathbf{0}\},$$

where $W_0 = W - W \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ and $\mathcal{L}'_0 = \mathcal{L}' - \mathcal{L}' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Indeed, if $\pi_{W,\mathcal{L}'}$ is not injective then there are $\ell_1 \neq \ell_2 \in \mathcal{L}'$ satisfying $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\ell_1)$, $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\ell_2) \in W$ and $\pi(\ell_1) = \pi(\ell_2)$, and this implies $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\ell_1) \neq \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\ell_2) \in W$ and $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\ell_1) - \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\ell_2) = \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\ell_1 - \ell_2) \in \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{L}'_0 \cap (\{\mathbf{0}\} \times \mathbb{R}^m))$, so that (3.5) fails. Conversely, assume that (3.5) fails. Then there are some $\mathbf{w}_1 \neq \mathbf{w}_2 \in W$ and some $\ell \in \mathcal{L}'_0$ such that $\pi(\ell) = \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{w}_1 - \mathbf{w}_2 = \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\ell)$ (thus also $\ell \neq \mathbf{0}$). Now since $\overline{\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\mathcal{L}')} = \mathcal{A}$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find some $\ell_1 \in \mathcal{L}'$ such that $\|\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\ell_1) - \mathbf{w}_1\| < \varepsilon$; therefore, since W is open in \mathcal{A} , we can find $\ell_1 \in \mathcal{L}'$ such that both $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\ell_1)$, $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\ell_1 - \ell) \in W$, and now $\pi(\ell_1) = \pi(\ell_1 - \ell)$, i.e. $\pi_{W,\mathcal{L}'}$ is not injective. This completes the proof of the claim.

Using the claim and our assumptions, we have $\underline{W_0 \cap \pi_{\text{int}}}(\mathcal{L}_0 \cap (\{\mathbf{0}\} \times \mathbb{R}^m)) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Furthermore by Propositions 3.5 and 3.6 we know that $\overline{\pi_{\text{int}}(\mathbb{Z}^n hg)} = \mathcal{A}$ and $(\mathbb{Z}^n hg)_0 \cap (\{\mathbf{0}\} \times \mathbb{R}^m) = \mathcal{L}_0 \cap (\{\mathbf{0}\} \times \mathbb{R}^m)$ for almost all $h \in H_g$; and using our claim again we conclude that $\pi_{\mathcal{W},\mathbb{Z}^n hg}$ is injective for all these h.

4. Dynamics on the space of lattices

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and t > 0 we write n(x) and Φ^t for the following elements in $SL(d, \mathbb{R})$:

$$(4.1) \hspace{1cm} n(\boldsymbol{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{x} \\ {}^t\!\boldsymbol{0} & 1_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Phi^t = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-(d-1)t} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ {}^t\!\boldsymbol{0} & e^t 1_{d-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We also fix, once and for all, a map $K: S_1^{d-1} \to SO(d)$ such that $\mathbf{v}K(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ for all $\mathbf{v} \in S_1^{d-1}$; we assume that K is smooth when restricted to S_1^{d-1} minus one point, cf. [19, Footnote 3, p. 1968]. For any topological space X we denote by $C_b(X)$ the space of bounded continuous functions $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 4.1. Fix $g \in G$ and set $X = \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_g$. Let $f \in C_b(S_1^{d-1} \times X)$ and let λ be a Borel probability measure on S_1^{d-1} which is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Then

(4.2)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{S_1^{d-1}} f\left(\boldsymbol{v}, \varphi_g(K(\boldsymbol{v})\Phi^t)\right) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v}) = \int_{S_1^{d-1} \times X} f(\boldsymbol{v}, p) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v}) d\mu_g(p).$$

We will prove Theorem 4.1 by extending the methods from [19, Sec. 5.1-2] to the present case. As a first step we prove the following generalization of [19, Thm. 5.3]:

Theorem 4.2. Fix $g \in G$ and set $X = \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_g$. Let λ be a Borel probability measure on \mathbb{R}^{d-1} which is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Let $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times X)$; let R be a subset of \mathbb{R} having $+\infty$ as a limit point, and let $\{f_t\}_{t \in R}$ be a family of functions in $C_b(\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times X)$ which are uniformly bounded (i.e. $|f_t| < K$ for some absolute constant K) and satisfy $f_t \to f$ as $t \to \infty$, uniformly on compacta. Then for any $E_0 \in SL(d,\mathbb{R})$ we have

(4.3)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} f_t(\boldsymbol{x}, \varphi_g(E_0 n(\boldsymbol{x}) \Phi^t)) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times X} f(\boldsymbol{x}, p) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) d\mu_g(p).$$

Proof. First assume $E_0 = 1_d$. If $f(\boldsymbol{x}, p) \equiv F(p)$ for some $F \in C_b(X)$ and $f_t \equiv f$ for all $t \in R$ then (4.3) is a special case of Shah [34, Thm. 1.4]; the extension to arbitrary $f, \{f_t\}_{t \in R}$ as above can be done exactly as in [19, Thm. 5.3]. Finally we extend to the case of general $E_0 \in SL(d, \mathbb{R})$ by a simple substitution argument: For $f, \{f_t\}_{t \in R}$ given as above, define $\tilde{f} \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times X)$ and $\{\tilde{f}_t\}_{t \in R} \subset C_b(\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times X)$ through

(4.4)
$$\widetilde{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, p) := f(\boldsymbol{x}, p\varphi_g(E_0)); \qquad \widetilde{f}_t(\boldsymbol{x}, p) := f_t(\boldsymbol{x}, p\varphi_g(E_0)).$$

Set $g_0 = \varphi_1(E_0)$. Noticing that $\varphi_{gg_0}(A) = \varphi_g(E_0AE_0^{-1})$ for all $A \in SL(d, \mathbb{R})$ we see that $H_{gg_0} = H_g$. By the limit relation which we have already proved, with $gg_0, \widetilde{f}, {\widetilde{f}_t}$ in the place of $g, f, {f_t}$, we have

(4.5)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \widetilde{f}_t \left(\boldsymbol{x}, \varphi_{gg_0} \left(n(\boldsymbol{x}) \Phi^t \right) \right) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times X} \widetilde{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, p) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) d\mu_g(p).$$

However here $\widetilde{f}_t(\boldsymbol{x}, \varphi_{gg_0}(n(\boldsymbol{x})\Phi^t)) = f_t(\boldsymbol{x}, \varphi_g(E_0n(\boldsymbol{x})\Phi^t))$; also using the fact that μ_g is right H_g -invariant we see that the right hand side of (4.5) equals $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}\times X} f(\boldsymbol{x}, p) \, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) \, d\mu_g(p)$. Hence we have proved (4.3).

Corollary 4.3. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ be an open subset and let $E_1: D \to SO(d)$ be a smooth map such that the map $D \ni x \mapsto e_1 E_1(x)^{-1} \in S_1^{d-1}$ has nonsingular differential at (Lebesgue-)almost all $x \in D$. Let λ be a Borel probability measure on D, absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Let $f \in C_b(D \times X)$; let R be a subset of \mathbb{R} having $+\infty$ as a limit point, and let $\{f_t\}_{t \in R}$ be a uniformly bounded family of functions in $C_b(D \times X)$ satisfying $f_t \to f$ as $t \to \infty$, uniformly on compacta. Then

(4.6)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_D f_t \left(\boldsymbol{x}, \varphi_g \left(E_1(\boldsymbol{x}) \Phi^t \right) \right) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) = \int_{D \times X} f(\boldsymbol{x}, p) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}) d\mu_g(p).$$

Proof. This is proved by mimicking the proof of [19, Cor. 5.4], using Theorem 4.2 in place of [19, Thm. 5.3]. Let us only point out that [19, eq. (5.23)] is now replaced by

$$(4.7) \widetilde{f}_t(\boldsymbol{x}, p) = h(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}) f_t\left(\boldsymbol{x}, p\varphi_g\left(\begin{pmatrix} c(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ {}^t\!\boldsymbol{v}(\boldsymbol{x})e^{-dt} & A(\boldsymbol{x})\end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \text{if } \widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}} \in \widetilde{D}_0';$$

$$(4.8) \widetilde{f}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}, p) = h(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}) f\left(\boldsymbol{x}, p\varphi_g\left(\begin{pmatrix} c(\boldsymbol{x})^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ {}^{\mathsf{t}}\mathbf{0} & A(\boldsymbol{x}) \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \text{if } \widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}} \in \widetilde{D}_0';$$

(4.9)
$$\widetilde{f}_t(\boldsymbol{x}, p) = \widetilde{f}(\boldsymbol{x}, p) := 0$$
 if $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}} \notin \widetilde{D}'_0$,

and [19, eq. (5.24)] is replaced by

(4.10)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1}} \widetilde{f}_t \left(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}, \varphi_g \left(E_0 n(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}) \Phi^t \right) \right) d\widetilde{\lambda}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times X} \widetilde{f}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}, p) d\widetilde{\lambda}(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}) d\mu_g(p),$$

which follows from our Theorem 4.2.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. As in [19, beginning of Sec. 9.3] we may fix a smooth map $E_1: D \to SO(d)$ such that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{e}_1 E_1(\mathbf{x})^{-1}$ gives a diffeomorphism between the bounded open set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$ and S_1^{d-1} minus one point, and $E_1(\mathbf{x}) = K(\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}))$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in D$. Theorem 4.1 is now obtained as a special case of Corollary 4.3.

We next study further the relationship between the Ratner subgroups H_g and \widetilde{H}_g .

Lemma 4.4. Let $g = (M_g, \mathbf{v}_g) \in G$, set $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}^n M_g$, and let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\circ}, \mathcal{V}$ be as in the introduction. Then $(1_n, \mathbf{w} M_g^{-1}) \in \widetilde{H}_g$ holds for all $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}$.

Proof. Note that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we have

(4.11)
$$\Gamma g(1_n, \boldsymbol{m} M_g + (\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{0})) g^{-1} = \Gamma(1_n, \boldsymbol{m}) \varphi_g((1_d, \boldsymbol{y})) = \Gamma \varphi_g((1_d, \boldsymbol{y})),$$

and this point belongs to the closed subset $\Gamma \backslash \widetilde{\Gamma}H_g$ of $\Gamma \backslash G$. Hence also for every \boldsymbol{w} in the closure of $\mathcal{L} + (\mathbb{R}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\})$ we have $g(1_n, \boldsymbol{w})g^{-1} \in \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g$, i.e. $(1_n, \boldsymbol{w}M_g^{-1}) \in \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g$, and for \boldsymbol{w} sufficiently near $\boldsymbol{0}$ this forces $(1_n, \boldsymbol{w}M_g^{-1}) \in \widetilde{H}_g$. Hence by linearity we have $(1_n, \boldsymbol{w}M_g^{-1}) \in \widetilde{H}_g$ for all $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathcal{V}$.

For any $g \in G$, using the defining properties of H_g and \widetilde{H}_g and noticing that

$$(4.12) \varphi_{q(1_n,(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{0}))}(A) = \varphi_q((A,\boldsymbol{x}A - \boldsymbol{x})), \forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, A \in \mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}),$$

it follows that $H_{g(1_n, \boldsymbol{x})} \subset \widetilde{H}_g$ for all $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$. The next proposition shows that this inclusion is in fact an *equality* for almost all \boldsymbol{x} .

Proposition 4.5. Let $g \in G$ be fixed. Then for (Lebesgue-)almost all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ we have $H_{g(1_n,\mathbf{x})} = \widetilde{H}_g$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, the following family is countable:

(4.13)
$$F := \{ H_{q(1_n, \mathbf{x})} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \{ \mathbf{0} \} \}.$$

As we noted above we have $H \subset \widetilde{H}_g$ for all $H \in F$.

Given any $H \in F$ we set

$$(4.14) V_H := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \{ \mathbf{0} \} : H_{g(1_n, x)} \subset H \}.$$

Then $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$ lies in V_H if and only if $\varphi_{g(1_n,\boldsymbol{x})}(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})) \subset H$, or in other words if and only if $d\varphi_{g(1_n,\boldsymbol{x})}(Y_j) \in \mathfrak{h}$ for each $j=1,\ldots,d^2-1$, where Y_1,\ldots,Y_{d^2-1} is a fixed basis of $\mathfrak{sl}(d,\mathbb{R})$, and \mathfrak{h} is the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{asl}(n,\mathbb{R})$ corresponding to H. Writing $g=(M_g,\boldsymbol{v}_g)$ we compute

(4.15)
$$d\varphi_{g(1_n,\boldsymbol{x})}(Y_j) = \left(\operatorname{Ad}(M_g,\boldsymbol{v}_g+\boldsymbol{x})\right) \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \boldsymbol{0} \end{pmatrix} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} M_g \begin{pmatrix} Y_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M_g^{-1}, (\boldsymbol{v}_g+\boldsymbol{x}) \begin{pmatrix} Y_j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} M_g^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where we have identified \mathfrak{g} in the natural way with $\mathfrak{sl}(n,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^n$. It follows that for each j the set of $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ satisfying $d\varphi_{g(1_n,\boldsymbol{x})}(Y_j) \in \mathfrak{h}$ is an affine linear subspace (i.e. a translate of a linear subspace) of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Hence also V_H is an affine linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Note also that if $H \in F$ satisfies $V_H = \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ then $\varphi_{g(1_n, \boldsymbol{x})}(\operatorname{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})) \subset H$ for each $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$, and by (4.12) this implies that H contains a dense subset of $\varphi_g(\operatorname{ASL}(d, \mathbb{R}))$; hence $\varphi_g(\operatorname{ASL}(d, \mathbb{R})) \subset H$ since H is closed, and this forces $\widetilde{H}_g \subset H$, i.e. $H = \widetilde{H}_g$. We have

thus proved that for each $H \in F \setminus \{\widetilde{H}_g\}$, V_H is an affine linear subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$, not equal to the full set $\mathbb{R}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Using the fact that F is countable we conclude that $\bigcup_{H \in F \setminus \{\widetilde{H}_g\}} V_H$ has Lebesgue measure zero in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$. It follows from our definitions that for any $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ outside this set we have $H_{g(1_n,\mathbf{x})} = \widetilde{H}_g$.

Theorem 4.6. Given $g \in G$ there is a subset $\mathfrak{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $q \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathfrak{S}$, any $f \in C_b(\Gamma \setminus \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g)$ and any Borel probability measure λ on S_1^{d-1} which is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, we have

(4.16)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{S_1^{d-1}} f \circ \varphi_g ((1_d, \mathbf{q}) K(\mathbf{v}) \Phi^t) d\lambda(\mathbf{v}) = \int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g} f d\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g}.$$

Proof. By Proposition 4.5 there is a set $\mathfrak{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ of Lebesgue measure zero such that $H_{g(1_n,(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{0}))} = \widetilde{H}_g$ holds for every $\boldsymbol{q} \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathfrak{S}$. Hence by Theorem 4.1, for any $\boldsymbol{q} \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \mathfrak{S}$, $f \in C_b(\Gamma \setminus \widetilde{\Gamma} \widetilde{H}_g)$ and any Borel probability measure λ on S_1^{d-1} which is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure, we have

(4.17)
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{S_1^{d-1}} f \circ \varphi_{g(1_n, (\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{0}))}(K(\boldsymbol{v}) \Phi^t) \, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v}) = \int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g} f \, d\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g}.$$

Now the desired result follows by an easy substitution argument (similar to what we did in the proof of Theorem 4.2), using

(4.18)
$$\varphi_{g(1_n,(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{0}))}(A) = \varphi_g((1_d,\boldsymbol{q})(A,\boldsymbol{0}))\varphi_g((1_d,-\boldsymbol{q})), \quad \forall A \in \mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}).$$

Theorem 4.7. Fix $g \in G$, $f \in C_b(\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g)$, a Borel probability measure Λ on $T^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ which is absolutely continuous with respect to Liouville measure, and $s_0 > 0$. Then

$$(4.19) \qquad \int_{\mathrm{T}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} f \circ \varphi_g \big((1_d, s\boldsymbol{q}) K(\boldsymbol{v}) \Phi^t \big) \, d\Lambda(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}) \to \int_{\mathrm{T} \setminus \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g} f \, d\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g} \qquad as \ t \to \infty,$$

uniformly with respect to all $s > s_0$.

Proof. For fixed s>0, (4.19) follows from Corollary 4.6 by a standard argument using Lebesgue's Bounded Convergence Theorem, cf. the proof of [19, Cor. 9.4]. In order to prove uniformity with respect to s we will use a compactness argument together with the fact that $\varphi_1(\mathrm{ASL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ commutes with all $(1_n,(\mathbf{0},\mathbb{R}^m))$ (cf. (4.25) below).

Let us write $g = (M_g, \mathbf{v}_g) \in G$, set $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}^n M_g$, and let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^{\circ}, \mathcal{V}, \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$ be as in the introduction. Let $C \subset \mathcal{V}$ be a closed fundamental parallelogram for $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$. Note that we may assume without loss of generality that f has compact support, since the extension to the more general case of bounded continuous f can then be done by a standard approximation argument. For $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}$ we define the function $f_{\mathbf{w}} \in C_c(\Gamma \setminus \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g)$ through

(4.20)
$$f_{\mathbf{w}}(p) = f(p(1_n, \mathbf{w} M_g^{-1})).$$

This is well-defined by Lemma 4.4. Let \mathcal{F} be the closure of $\{f_{\boldsymbol{w}}: \boldsymbol{w} \in \{\boldsymbol{0}\} \times \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(C)\}$ in $C_b(\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g)$ (with the supremum norm); then by the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem and using the compactness of supp f and of $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(C)$, we see that \mathcal{F} is compact.

By the Radon-Nikodym Theorem we have $d\Lambda(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}) = \lambda(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}) d\boldsymbol{q} d\boldsymbol{v}$ for some $\lambda \in L^1(T^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$, where we write $d\boldsymbol{q} d\boldsymbol{v} = d\mathrm{vol}(\boldsymbol{q}) d\omega(\boldsymbol{v})$. Since $C_c(T^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is dense in $L^1(T^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ we may assume without loss of generality that $\lambda \in C_c(T^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$. For $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, s > 0 and $\boldsymbol{c} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ we define the function $\alpha_{\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{m},s} \in L^1(T^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$ through

(4.21)
$$\alpha_{\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{m},s}(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{v}) = \begin{cases} \lambda(s^{-1}(\boldsymbol{q}-\boldsymbol{c}+\boldsymbol{m}),\boldsymbol{v}) & \text{if } \boldsymbol{q}-\boldsymbol{c} \in [0,1]^d \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let K be the closure of the family

(4.22)
$$\{\alpha_{\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{m},s}: \boldsymbol{c} \in \pi(C), \, \boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \, s \geq s_0\}$$

in $L^1(T^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$. We claim that \mathcal{K} is compact. To see this we first note that since $\lambda \in C_c(T^1(\mathbb{R}^d))$, the family $\mathcal{K}' = \{\alpha_{\mathbf{0},m,s}|_{[0,1]^d \times S_1^{d-1}} : m \in \mathbb{R}^d, s \geq s_0\}$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous, and hence by the Arzela-Ascoli Theorem the closure of \mathcal{K}' in $C([0,1]^d \times S_1^{d-1})$ (with the supremum norm) is compact. But every function μ in the family (4.22) is given by the formula $\mu(q,v) = I(q-c \in [0,1]^d)\alpha(q-c,v)$ for some $c \in \pi(C)$ and some $c \in \mathcal{K}'$, where $c \in \mathcal{K}'$ is the indicator function, and the fact that $c \in \mathcal{K}'$ is compact follows easily from the compactness of $c \in \mathcal{K}'$, the compactness of $c \in \mathcal{K}'$, and the fact that the $c \in \mathcal{K}'$ is subsumed by the supremum norm for our compactly supported functions.

Now let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We have already noted that (4.19) holds for fixed s, and applying this with s = 1 and using the compactness of the families \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{K} and the fact that $\int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g} f_{\boldsymbol{w}} d\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g} = \int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g} f d\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g}$ for each $\boldsymbol{w} \in \mathcal{V}$, we conclude that there is some T > 0 such that for all $t \geq T$, $\boldsymbol{w} \in \{\mathbf{0}\} \times \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(C)$ and $\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathrm{T}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} f_{\boldsymbol{w}} \circ \varphi_g \big((1_d, \boldsymbol{q}) K(\boldsymbol{v}) \Phi^t \big) \, \alpha(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}) \, d\boldsymbol{q} \, d\boldsymbol{v} - \int_{\mathrm{T}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \alpha(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}) \, d\boldsymbol{q} \, d\boldsymbol{v} \int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g} f \, d\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Now for given $t \geq T$ and $s \geq s_0$ we note that

$$\int_{\mathrm{T}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} f \circ \varphi_{g}((1_{d}, s\mathbf{q})K(\mathbf{v})\Phi^{t}) d\Lambda(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{v})$$

$$= s^{-d} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}} \int_{[0,1]^{d}} \int_{\mathrm{S}_{1}^{d-1}} f \circ \varphi_{g}((1_{d}, \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{m})K(\mathbf{v})\Phi^{t}) \lambda(s^{-1}(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{m}), \mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{v} d\mathbf{q}.$$
(4.24)

For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$, since C is a fundamental region for $\mathcal{V}/\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{V}}$, there is some $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $\boldsymbol{a}M_g \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\boldsymbol{c} := (\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{0}) - \boldsymbol{a}M_g \in C$. Let us write $\boldsymbol{c} = (\boldsymbol{c}_1, \boldsymbol{c}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^m = \mathbb{R}^n$. Using the fact that $(1_n, (\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{c}_2))$ commutes with all $\varphi_1(\mathrm{ASL}(d, \mathbb{R}))$ we find that

$$(4.25) \qquad (1_n, -\boldsymbol{a}) \,\varphi_g \big((1_d, \boldsymbol{q} + \boldsymbol{m}) K(\boldsymbol{v}) \Phi^t \big) = \varphi_g \big((1_d, \boldsymbol{q} + \boldsymbol{c}_1) K(\boldsymbol{v}) \Phi^t \big) (1_n, (\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{c}_2) M_q^{-1}).$$

Hence since $(1_n, -\boldsymbol{a}) \in \Gamma$ we get that (4.24) is equal to

$$(4.26) \quad s^{-d} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{[0,1]^d} \int_{S_1^{d-1}} f_{(\boldsymbol{0},\boldsymbol{c}_2)} \circ \varphi_g \big((1,\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{c}_1)K(\boldsymbol{v})\Phi^t \big) \, \lambda(s^{-1}(\boldsymbol{q}+\boldsymbol{m}),\boldsymbol{v}) \, d\boldsymbol{v} \, d\boldsymbol{q}$$

$$= s^{-d} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \int_{T^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} f_{(\boldsymbol{0},\boldsymbol{c}_2)} \circ \varphi_g \big((1,\boldsymbol{q})K(\boldsymbol{v})\Phi^t \big) \, \alpha_{\boldsymbol{c}_1,\boldsymbol{m},s}(\boldsymbol{q},\boldsymbol{v}) \, d\boldsymbol{q} \, d\boldsymbol{v}.$$

Here remember that c_1, c_2 depend on m. By construction we have $c \in C$, and thus $c_1 \in \pi(C)$ and $c_2 \in \pi_{\text{int}}(C)$, for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Hence (4.23) applies, and using this for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ with $\alpha_{c_1,m,s} \not\equiv 0$ we conclude that

$$\left| \int_{\mathrm{T}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} f \circ \varphi_{g} ((1, s\boldsymbol{q}) K(\boldsymbol{v}) \Phi^{t}) d\Lambda(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}) \right|$$

$$-s^{-d} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} \\ (\alpha_{\boldsymbol{c}_{1}, \boldsymbol{m}, s} \neq 0)}} \int_{\mathrm{T}^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{d})} \alpha_{\boldsymbol{c}_{1}, \boldsymbol{m}, s} (\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}) d\boldsymbol{q} d\boldsymbol{v} \int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma \widetilde{H}_{g}} f d\mu_{\widetilde{H}_{g}} \right|$$

$$\leq s^{-d} \cdot \# \{ \boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{d} : \alpha_{\boldsymbol{c}_{1}, \boldsymbol{m}, s} \neq 0 \} \cdot \varepsilon.$$

Here we obviously have

$$(4.28) s^{-d} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^d \\ (\alpha_{\boldsymbol{c}_1, \boldsymbol{m}, s} \neq 0)}} \int_{\mathrm{T}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \alpha_{\boldsymbol{c}_1, \boldsymbol{m}, s}(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}) \, d\boldsymbol{q} \, d\boldsymbol{v} = s^{-d} \int_{\mathrm{T}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lambda(s^{-1}\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}) \, d\boldsymbol{q} \, d\boldsymbol{v} = 1.$$

Furthermore we note that $\alpha_{c_1,m,s} \not\equiv 0$ can only hold when $\mathbf{m} \in -[0,1]^d + s \cdot C_{\lambda}$, where $C_{\lambda} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is the image of $\operatorname{supp}(\lambda) \subset \operatorname{T}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ under the projection $\operatorname{T}^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R}^d$. Hence (4.27) implies that for all $t \geq T$,

$$\left| \int_{\mathrm{T}^1(\mathbb{R}^d)} f \circ \varphi_g \big((1, s \boldsymbol{q}) K(\boldsymbol{v}) \Phi^t \big) d\Lambda(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}) - \int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g} f d\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g} \right| \leq L \varepsilon,$$

where L is a constant which only depends on supp(λ) and s_0 . This concludes the proof. \square

5. Proof of the Siegel integral formula for quasicrystals

5.1. The Siegel integral formula. We state the Siegel integral formula (1.19) in a slightly more general form, using affine lattices in \mathbb{R}^n rather than quasicrystals in \mathbb{R}^d . Let $g \in G$ be given, and set $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}^n g$, $\mathcal{A} = \overline{\pi_{\rm int}(\mathcal{L})}$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{V}} = {\rm vol} \times \mu_{\mathcal{A}}$ as usual. For $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}, \mu_{\mathcal{V}})$, we define the Siegel transform $\widehat{f} : \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_g \to \mathbb{R}$ through

(5.1)
$$\widehat{f}(\Gamma h) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n hg \setminus \{\boldsymbol{0}\}} f(\boldsymbol{m}).$$

(Recall that $\mathbb{Z}^n hg \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}$ for all $h \in H_g$; cf. Prop. 3.5. It follows from the proof of the following theorem that the sum is absolutely convergent for μ_q -almost every $\Gamma h \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_q$.)

Theorem 5.1. For any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}, \mu_{\mathcal{V}})$

(5.2)
$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_g} \widehat{f}(p) \, d\mu_g(p) = \delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}} f \, d\mu_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Proof that Theorem 5.1 implies Theorem 1.5. We first prove Theorem 1.5 in the case $\delta = 1$. Taking $f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = I(\boldsymbol{y} \in \partial \mathcal{W})$ (indicator function) in Theorem 5.1 and using $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\partial \mathcal{W}) = 0$, it follows that we may replace \mathcal{W} by \mathcal{W}° without affecting either side of (1.19); thus from now on we may assume that \mathcal{W} is open. We now obtain (1.19) for a given $f_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$, by applying (5.2) with

(5.3)
$$f(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}) = \begin{cases} f_0(\boldsymbol{x}) & \text{if } \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{W} \\ 0 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{y} \notin \mathcal{W}, \end{cases}$$

and using the fact that the restriction of π to $\{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^n hg : \pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{y}) \in \mathcal{W}\}$ is injective for μ_g -almost all $h \in H_g$ (cf. Proposition 3.7).

Finally we extend to general δ . Let $\mathcal{L} = \delta^{1/n}(\mathbb{Z}^n g)$, \mathcal{W} and f be as in Theorem 1.5. Note that $\mathcal{D}_g(\mathcal{W}, \delta)$ is the bijective image of $\mathcal{D}_g(\delta^{-1/n}\mathcal{W}, 1)$ under the map $\mathcal{P} \mapsto \delta^{1/n}\mathcal{P}$, and this bijection respects the probability measures on these two spaces. Hence

(5.4)
$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_{g}(\mathcal{W},\delta)} \widehat{f}(\mathcal{P}) d\mu_{g}(\mathcal{P}) = \int_{\mathcal{D}_{g}(\delta^{-1/n}\mathcal{W},1)} \widehat{f}(\delta^{1/n}\mathcal{P}) d\mu_{g}(\mathcal{P})$$
$$= \delta_{d,m}(\mathbb{Z}^{n}g)\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\delta^{-1/n}\mathcal{W}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} f(\delta^{1/n}\boldsymbol{x}) d\boldsymbol{x},$$

where we used the special case of Theorem 1.5 which we have already proved. Using $\delta_{d,m}(\mathbb{Z}^n g) = \delta^{(d+m_1)/n}\delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L})$, $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\delta^{-1/n}\mathcal{W}) = \delta^{-m_1/n}\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{W})$ (where $m_1 = \dim \mathcal{A}^{\circ}$) and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\delta^{1/n}\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \delta^{-d/n}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$ we obtain (1.19) for our general δ .

Proof of Theorem 5.1. (We follow the same overall strategy as Veech [38, Thm. 0.12], although most steps are simpler in the present setting.) For E a set, we write χ_E for its characteristic function. If we let E run through the family of Borel sets of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}$, the map $E \mapsto \int_{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_g} \widehat{\chi}_E \, d\mu_g$ defines a Borel measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}$, and the theorem is equivalent to the statement that this Borel measure equals $\delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L})\mu_{\mathcal{V}}$. We start by considering sets of the form $E = \mathcal{B}_r^d \times \mathcal{W}$, where \mathcal{W} is any bounded open subset of \mathcal{A} with $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\partial \mathcal{W}) = 0$. Note that $\widehat{\chi}_E$ is nonnegative and lower semicontinuous, since E is open. Hence, by Corollary 4.3 and the Portmanteau theorem (cf., e.g., [39, Thm. 1.3.4(iv)]),

(5.5)
$$\int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma H_g} \widehat{\chi}_E(h) \, d\mu_g(h) \le \liminf_{R \to \infty} \int_{SO(d)} \widehat{\chi}_E(\varphi_g(k\Phi^{\log R})) \, dk,$$

where dk denotes Haar measure on SO(d), normalized by $\int_{SO(d)} dk = 1$. Next we rewrite the integral in the right hand side using the definition of $\hat{\chi}_E$ and the Monotone Convergence Theorem: Define $F = F_{E,R} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A} \to [0, \infty]$ by

(5.6)
$$F_{E,R}(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y}) = \chi_{\mathcal{W}}(\boldsymbol{y}) \int_{SO(d)} I(\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{B}_r^d \Phi^{-\log R} k^{-1}) dk = \chi_{\mathcal{W}}(\boldsymbol{y}) A_R(r^{-1} || \boldsymbol{x} ||),$$

where $I(\cdot)$ is the indicator function, $\chi_{\mathcal{W}}$ is the characteristic function of \mathcal{W} , and where $A_R(\tau) \in [0,1]$ is given by

(5.7)
$$A_R(\tau) = \frac{\omega(S_1^{d-1} \cap \tau^{-1} \mathcal{B}_1^d \Phi^{-\log R})}{\omega(S_1^{d-1})} \qquad (\tau > 0).$$

Then, for any R > 0,

(5.8)
$$\int_{SO(d)} \widehat{\chi}_E(\varphi_g(k\Phi^{\log R})) dk = \sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n g \setminus \{\boldsymbol{0}\}} F_{E,R}(\boldsymbol{m}).$$

Let us assume R>1 from now on. Note that $\mathcal{B}_1^d\Phi^{-\log R}$ is the ellipsoid $\{\boldsymbol{x}:R^{-2(d-1)}x_1^2+R^2x_2^2+\ldots+R^2x_d^2<1\}$; using this we see that $A_R(\tau)=1$ for $0<\tau\leq R^{-1}$, $A_R(\tau)=0$ for $\tau\geq R^{d-1}$, and $A_R(\tau)$ is continuous and decreasing. $(A_R(\tau)$ may be computed explicitly in terms of an incomplete Beta function; however we do not need this.) It follows from the above formula for $F=F_{E,R}$ that

(5.9)
$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{m}\in\mathbb{Z}^ng\setminus\{\boldsymbol{0}\}}F(\boldsymbol{m})=\sum_{\boldsymbol{m}\in\mathcal{L}\setminus\{\boldsymbol{0}\}}F(\boldsymbol{m})=\int_{R^{-1}}^{R^{d-1}}\#\Big((\mathcal{B}^d_{r\tau}\times\mathcal{W})\cap\mathcal{L}\setminus\{\boldsymbol{0}\}\Big)(-dA_R(\tau)),$$

where the last integral is a Riemann-Stieltjes integral.

However because $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L})$ is uniformly discrete, there exists some $\tau_0 > 0$ (which depends on $\mathcal{L}, r, \mathcal{W}$) such that $\#((\mathcal{B}^d_{r\tau} \times \mathcal{W}) \cap \mathcal{L} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}) = 0$ for all $\tau < \tau_0$. Also, by Proposition 3.2, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is some $\tau_1 > \tau_0$ such that for all $\tau \geq \tau_1$,

$$(5.10) \quad \#((\mathcal{B}_{r\tau}^d \times \mathcal{W}) \cap \mathcal{L} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}) \leq (1+\varepsilon)C_{\mathcal{W}}r^d\tau^d, \quad \text{where } C_{\mathcal{W}} = \delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L}) \,\mu_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{B}_1^d \times \mathcal{W}).$$

Hence for R sufficiently large we have

$$(5.11) \sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} F(\boldsymbol{m}g) \leq O(1) \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} (-dA_R(\tau)) + (1+\varepsilon) C_{\mathcal{W}} r^d \int_{\tau_1}^{R^{d-1}} \tau^d (-dA_R(\tau))$$

$$= O\Big(A_R(\tau_0)\Big) + (1+\varepsilon) C_{\mathcal{W}} r^d \Big(\tau_1^d A_R(\tau_1) + d \int_{\tau_1}^{R^{d-1}} \tau^{d-1} A_R(\tau) d\tau \Big).$$

It is clear from the definition of $A_R(\tau)$ that $A_R(\tau) \ll (R\tau)^{1-d}$ for all $\tau \geq 2R^{-1}$, and $A_R(\tau) \leq 1$ for all τ ; hence for large R the above is

(5.12)
$$= O(R^{1-d}) + (1+\varepsilon)C_{\mathcal{W}}dr^d \int_0^{R^{d-1}} \tau^{d-1}A_R(\tau) d\tau.$$

But it is clear from the definition of $A_R(\tau)$ that

(5.13)
$$\int_0^{R^{d-1}} \tau^{d-1} A_R(\tau) d\tau = \frac{\operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{B}_1^d \Phi^{-\log R})}{\omega(S_1^{d-1})} = d^{-1}.$$

Taking now $R \to \infty$ and then $\varepsilon \to 0$, we conclude

(5.14)
$$\int_{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_g} \widehat{\chi}_E(h) \, d\mu_g(h) \le \delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L}) \mu_{\mathcal{V}}(E),$$

for any set E of the form $E = \mathcal{B}_r^d \times \mathcal{W}$.

Next, using $\varphi_g(\operatorname{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})) \subset H_g$ we see that our Borel measure $E \mapsto \int_{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_g} \widehat{\chi}_E \, d\mu_g$ is invariant under $\{\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 1_m \end{pmatrix}: A \in \operatorname{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})\}$; also (5.14) shows that the measure is finite on any compact set. It is a well known fact that, up to scalar multiples, vol is the unique $\operatorname{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ invariant measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \backslash \{\mathbf{0}\} = e_d \operatorname{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})$, see e.g. [29, Lemma 1.4]. Therefore, for any fixed bounded Borel set $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{A}$, the Borel measure $V \mapsto \int_{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_g} \widehat{\chi_{V \times \mathcal{W}}} \, d\mu_g$ on \mathbb{R}^d equals $\kappa(\mathcal{W})$ vol for some finite constant $\kappa(\mathcal{W}) \geq 0$. Clearly our task is to prove $\kappa(\mathcal{W}) = \delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L})\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{W})$, and it suffices to prove that this holds for any bounded open subset $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\partial \mathcal{W}) = 0$. Let us fix such a set \mathcal{W} . By (5.14) we have $\kappa(\mathcal{W}) \leq \delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L})\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{W})$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let K be a compact subset of $\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_g$ with $\mu_g(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Since K is compact, there is some $\delta > 0$ such that $\|\boldsymbol{m}_1 - \boldsymbol{m}_2\| \geq \delta$ for all $\Gamma h \in K$ and any $\boldsymbol{m}_1 \neq \boldsymbol{m}_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^n hg$. It follows that there is a constant C > 0 such that

(5.15)
$$0 \le \widehat{\chi_{\mathcal{B}_R^d \times \mathcal{W}}}(\Gamma h) \le C(1+R)^d, \quad \forall R > 0, \ \Gamma h \in K.$$

Using also Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.5 we conclude that for μ_g -almost every $\Gamma h \in K$,

(5.16)
$$\frac{\widehat{\chi_{\mathcal{B}_{R}^{d} \times \mathcal{W}}}(\Gamma h)}{R^{d}} \to \delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L})\mu_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{B}_{1}^{d} \times \mathcal{W}), \quad \text{as } R \to \infty.$$

Using (5.15) and (5.16) and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we conclude

(5.17)
$$\lim_{R \to \infty} R^{-d} \int_{\mathcal{K}} \widehat{\chi_{\mathcal{B}_{R}^{d} \times \mathcal{W}}}(\Gamma h) \, d\mu_{g}(h) = \mu_{g}(K) \delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L}) \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{B}_{1}^{d}) \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{W}).$$

But here $\mu_g(K) > 1 - \varepsilon$ and ε is arbitrarily small. Hence we conclude that $\kappa(W) \ge \delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L})\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(W)$, and we are done.

Let us note that Theorem 5.1 immediately implies a similar formula for \widetilde{H}_q :

Corollary 5.2. For any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}, \mu_{\mathcal{V}})$,

(5.18)
$$\int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n h g} f(\boldsymbol{m}) d\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g}(h) = \delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}} f d\mu_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

(Recall that $\mathbb{Z}^n hg \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}$ for all $h \in \widetilde{H}_g$; cf. Propositions 3.5 and 4.5.)

Proof. Let $g \in G$ be given. By Proposition 4.5 we can find $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that $H_{g'} = \widetilde{H}_g$ with $g' = g(1_n, \mathbf{z})$. Set $\mathcal{L}' = \mathbb{Z}^n g' = \mathcal{L} + \mathbf{z}$; then $\overline{\pi_{\text{int}}(\mathcal{L}')} = \mathcal{A}$, since $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Define $f_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}, \mu_{\mathcal{V}})$ through $f_0(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = f((\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) - \mathbf{z})$. Now by Theorem 5.1 applied to g' and f_0 we have

(5.19)
$$\int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in (\mathbb{Z}^n hg + \boldsymbol{z}) \setminus \{\boldsymbol{0}\}} f_0(\boldsymbol{m}) d\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g}(h) = \delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}} f_0 d\mu_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

But using the fact that $\varphi_g((1_d, \boldsymbol{x})) \in \widetilde{H}_g$, $\forall \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we see that for $\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g}$ -almost every $h \in \widetilde{H}_g$ we have $\mathbf{0} \notin \mathbb{Z}^n hg + \boldsymbol{z}$. Hence the left hand side of (5.19) remains unchanged if we replace $\sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in (\mathbb{Z}^n hg + \boldsymbol{z}) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \text{ by } \sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n hg + \boldsymbol{z}}$. After this modification, the formula (5.19) is exactly the same as (5.18).

Remark 5.1. As we noted in Section 1.5, the continuity for $\xi < \infty$ of the limit distributions $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $F_{\mathcal{P},q}$ in Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.1, Corollary 5.2, and the formulas in Theorem 1.4. Let us now also prove the continuity at $\xi = \infty$, i.e. the fact that $F_{\mathcal{P}}(\xi) \to 0$ and $F_{\mathcal{P},q}(\xi,r) \to 0$ as $\xi \to \infty$: Since μ_g and $\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g}$ are $\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ -invariant, we may replace \mathfrak{Z}_{ξ} by $\xi^{1/d}\mathfrak{Z}_1$ in (1.16), and replace $\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} + re_d$ by $\xi^{1/d}(\mathfrak{Z}_1 + re_d)$ in (1.17). As before we write $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W},\mathcal{L})$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}^n g$, $g \in G$. By Proposition 3.2 and Proposition 3.5, for μ_g -almost every $h \in H_g$ there is some $\xi_0 = \xi_0(h) > 0$ such that $\xi^{1/d}(\mathfrak{Z}_1 + re_d) \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathbb{Z}^n hg) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\xi \geq \xi_0$ and all $r \in \mathbb{R}$. By (1.17) this implies that $F_{\mathcal{P},q}(\xi,r) \to 0$ as $\xi \to \infty$, uniformly with respect to $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$. The fact that $F_{\mathcal{P}}(\xi) \to 0$ as $\xi \to \infty$ is proved in the same way, using also the fact that $\widetilde{H}_g = H_{g'}$ for an appropriate g', cf. Proposition 4.5.

We will present detailed estimates of the tail of the limit distributions $F_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $F_{\mathcal{P},q}$ elsewhere; cf. [21] for the case when \mathcal{P} is a lattice.

6. Proof of the limit theorems for the free path lengths

6.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Assume $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L})$ and fix $g \in G^1$ and $\delta > 0$ so that $\mathcal{L} = \delta^{1/n} \mathbb{Z}^n g$. In fact, by an appropriate scaling of the length units, we can assume without loss of generality that $\delta = 1$.

Given $(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}) \in \mathrm{T}^1(\mathcal{K}_{\rho})$ and $\xi > 0$ we have $\rho^{d-1}\tau_1(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}; \rho) \geq \xi$ if and only if there is no \mathcal{P} -point in the open ρ -neighbourhood in \mathbb{R}^d of the line segment from \boldsymbol{q} to $\boldsymbol{q} + \rho^{1-d}\xi\boldsymbol{v}$. The last statement *implies* that \mathcal{P} is disjoint from the open cylinder $\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}$ of radius ρ about the line segment from \boldsymbol{q} to $\boldsymbol{q} + \rho^{1-d}\xi\boldsymbol{v}$, and is *implied* whenever \mathcal{P} is disjoint from the open cylinder $\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}$ of radius ρ about the line segment from \boldsymbol{q} to $\boldsymbol{q} + (\rho^{1-d}\xi + \rho)\boldsymbol{v}$. Therefore

(6.1)
$$\lambda(\{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbf{S}_{1}^{d-1} : \widetilde{\mathfrak{Z}} \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset\})$$

$$\leq \lambda(\{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbf{S}_{1}^{d-1} : \rho^{d-1}\tau_{1}(\boldsymbol{q}, \boldsymbol{v}; \rho) \geq \xi\})$$

$$\leq \lambda(\{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbf{S}_{1}^{d-1} : \mathfrak{Z} \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset\}).$$

Thus it suffices to prove that the left and right hand side of the inequality (6.1) converge to $F_{\mathcal{P}}(\xi)$ as $\rho \to 0$ for almost every fixed \boldsymbol{q} . We will only discuss the right hand case. The left hand side can be reduced to the right hand case: we bound the left hand side from below by replacing $\tilde{\mathfrak{Z}}$ by a slightly longer $\underline{\mathfrak{Z}}$ of length $\rho^{1-d}(\xi+\varepsilon)$, for any $\varepsilon>0$, and then use $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} F_{\mathcal{P}}(\xi+\varepsilon) = F_{\mathcal{P}}(\xi)$; recall that the continuity of $F_{\mathcal{P}}(\xi)$ is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.5.

We have $\underline{\mathfrak{Z}} = \mathfrak{Z}_{\xi}\Phi^{\log\rho}K(\boldsymbol{v})^{-1}(1_d,\boldsymbol{q})$, where \mathfrak{Z}_{ξ} is the open cylinder of radius 1 about the line segment from $\boldsymbol{0}$ to $\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{e}_1$ as defined in (1.15). Now

(6.2)
$$\frac{\underline{\mathfrak{Z}} \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset}{\iff \left(\left(\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} \Phi^{\log \rho} K(\boldsymbol{v})^{-1} (1_{d}, \boldsymbol{q}) \right) \times \mathcal{W} \right) \cap \mathcal{L} = \emptyset}$$

$$\iff \left(\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} \times \mathcal{W} \right) \cap \mathcal{L} \varphi_{1} ((1_{d}, -\boldsymbol{q}) K(\boldsymbol{v}) \Phi^{-\log \rho}) = \emptyset$$

$$\iff \left(\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} \times \mathcal{W} \right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n} \varphi_{q} ((1_{d}, -\boldsymbol{q}) K(\boldsymbol{v}) \Phi^{-\log \rho}) g = \emptyset.$$

Since \mathcal{W} is bounded and $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\partial \mathcal{W}) = 0$, \mathcal{W} is Jordan measurable, and so is the product set $\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} \times \mathcal{W}$ as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}$. Hence given any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist nonnegative continuous functions a^- and a^+ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $a^- \leq \chi_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} \times \mathcal{W}} \leq a^+$ and

(6.3)
$$\mu_{\mathcal{V}}(\operatorname{supp}(a^{+} - a^{-})) < \frac{\varepsilon}{\delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L})}.$$

Now define f^+ and $f^- \in C_b(\Gamma \backslash \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g)$ through

(6.4)
$$f^{\pm}(\Gamma h) = \max\left(0, 1 - \sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n hg} a^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{m})\right).$$

(Again recall that $\mathbb{Z}^n hg \subset \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}$ for all $h \in \widetilde{H}_g$, by Propositions 3.5 and 4.5.) By construction,

(6.5)
$$f^{+}(\Gamma h) \leq I((\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} \times \mathcal{W}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^{n} h g = \emptyset) \leq f^{-}(\Gamma h), \qquad \forall h \in \widetilde{H}_{g}.$$

Hence by (6.2) and Theorem 4.6, for q outside a set of Lebesgue measure zero,

(6.6)
$$\limsup_{\rho \to 0} \lambda(\{ \boldsymbol{v} \in \mathcal{S}_1^{d-1} : \underline{\mathfrak{Z}} \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset \}) \le \int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g} f^-(\Gamma h) \, d\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g}(h)$$

and

(6.7)
$$\liminf_{\rho \to 0} \lambda(\{ \boldsymbol{v} \in S_1^{d-1} : \underline{\mathfrak{Z}} \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset \}) \ge \int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g} f^+(\Gamma h) \, d\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g}(h).$$

But note that we have equality throughout in (6.5) for any $\Gamma h \in \Gamma \backslash \widetilde{H}_g$ such that $a^+(\boldsymbol{m}) = a^-(\boldsymbol{m})$ holds for all $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n hg$. By (6.3) and Corollary 5.2, the set of $\Gamma h \in \Gamma \backslash \widetilde{H}_g$ for which this fails has measure less than ε . Note also that $f^-(\Gamma h) - f^+(\Gamma h) \leq 1$ for all $h \in \widetilde{H}_g$. Therefore the right hand sides of (6.6) and (6.7) are both within ε of

$$\mu_{\widetilde{H}_g}\Big(\Big\{\Gamma h\in\Gamma\backslash\Gamma\widetilde{H}_g\ :\ (\mathfrak{Z}_\xi\times\mathcal{W})\cap\mathbb{Z}^n hg=\emptyset\Big\}\Big).$$

Hence, since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, and since $(\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} \times \mathcal{W}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n hg = \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathbb{Z}^n hg) \cap \mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} = \emptyset$, we conclude:

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \lambda(\{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbf{S}_1^{d-1} : \underline{\mathfrak{Z}} \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset\}) = \mu_{\widetilde{H}_g} \big(\{ \Gamma h \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma \widetilde{H}_g : \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathbb{Z}^n hg) \cap \mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} = \emptyset \big\} \big) = F_{\mathcal{P}}(\xi).$$

Cf. (1.16) regarding the last equality. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

- 6.2. **Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The proof is virtually the same as for Theorem 1.2, with Theorem 4.6 replaced by Theorem 4.7.
- 6.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** We again assume $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{L})$ and $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}^n g$ with $g \in G^1$. By mimicking the argument leading to (6.1) we get:

(6.8)
$$\lambda(\{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbf{S}_{1}^{d-1} : \widetilde{\mathfrak{Z}} \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset\})$$

$$\leq \lambda(\{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbf{S}_{1}^{d-1} : \rho^{d-1}\tau_{1}(\boldsymbol{q}_{\rho,\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\boldsymbol{v}), \boldsymbol{v}; \rho) \geq \xi\})$$

$$\leq \lambda(\{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbf{S}_{1}^{d-1} : \mathfrak{Z} \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset\}),$$

where now $\underline{3}$ is the open cylinder of radius ρ about the line segment from $q_{\rho,\beta}(v)$ to $q_{\rho,\beta}(v) + \rho^{1-d}\xi v$ and $\widetilde{3}$ is the open cylinder of radius ρ about the line segment from $q_{\rho,\beta}(v)$ to $q_{\rho,\beta}(v) + (\rho^{1-d}\xi + \rho)v$. Hence, as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, it suffices to prove that $\lambda(\{v \in S_1^{d-1} : \underline{3} \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset\})$ converges to the right hand side of (1.11) in Theorem 1.3, and that the convergence is uniform with respect to the choice of $q \in \mathcal{P}$. Furthermore we may here replace $\underline{3}$ by the open cylinder $\underline{3}'$ of radius ρ about the line segment from $q + \rho \operatorname{Proj}_{\{v\}^{\perp}} \beta(v)$ to $q + \rho \operatorname{Proj}_{\{v\}^{\perp}} \beta(v) + \rho^{1-d}\xi v$.

Recall that we have introduced the map $K: S_1^{d-1} \to SO(d)$ so that $\boldsymbol{v}K(\boldsymbol{v}) = \boldsymbol{e}_1$ for all $\boldsymbol{v} \in S_1^{d-1}$. For any $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we write \boldsymbol{x}_{\perp} for the orthogonal projection of $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ onto $\{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. Then $(\operatorname{Proj}_{\{\boldsymbol{v}\}^{\perp}} \boldsymbol{\beta}(\boldsymbol{v}))K(\boldsymbol{v}) = (\boldsymbol{\beta}(\boldsymbol{v})K(\boldsymbol{v}))_{\perp}$, and thus we have

(6.9)
$$\mathfrak{Z}' = \mathfrak{Z}_{\xi, v} \Phi^{\log \rho} K(v)^{-1} (1_d, q)$$

where

(6.10)
$$\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi,\boldsymbol{v}} := \mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} + (\boldsymbol{\beta}(\boldsymbol{v})K(\boldsymbol{v}))_{\perp},$$

with \mathfrak{Z}_{ξ} as before. From this we get, just as in the proof of Theorem 1.2:

(6.11)
$$\mathfrak{Z}' \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset \iff (\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi, \boldsymbol{v}} \times \mathcal{W}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \varphi_g((1_d, -\boldsymbol{q})K(\boldsymbol{v})\Phi^{-\log \rho})g = \emptyset.$$

Since $q \in \mathcal{P}$, there is some $y \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $q = \pi(y)$. Now y = mg for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, and $(q, \mathbf{0}) = y - (\mathbf{0}, \pi_{\text{int}}(y)) = mg - (\mathbf{0}, \pi_{\text{int}}(y))$. Hence for any $h \in \text{ASL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ we have:

(6.12)
$$\varphi_g((1_d, -\boldsymbol{q})h)g = (1_n, -\boldsymbol{m})\varphi_g(h)g(1_n, (\boldsymbol{0}, \pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{y}))),$$

and we can rewrite (6.11) as:

(6.13)
$$\mathfrak{Z}' \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset \iff (\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi, \boldsymbol{v}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\boldsymbol{y}}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n \varphi_g(K(\boldsymbol{v}) \Phi^{-\log \rho}) g = \emptyset,$$

where $W_{\boldsymbol{y}} := \mathcal{W} - \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\boldsymbol{y})$. (Note that $W_{\boldsymbol{y}} \subset \mathcal{A}$ since $\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\boldsymbol{y}) \in \mathcal{A}$.) Now let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given, and let a^- and a^+ be as in the proof of Theorem 1.2. For any $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathrm{S}_1^{d-1}$ and $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathcal{A}$ we define $a_{\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{z}}^-$ and $a_{\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{z}}^+$ to be the appropriate translates of a^- and a^+ :

(6.14)
$$a_{\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{z}}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{x}) = a^{\pm} \Big(\boldsymbol{x} + \big(-(\boldsymbol{\beta}(\boldsymbol{v})K(\boldsymbol{v}))_{\perp}, \boldsymbol{z} \big) \Big).$$

Note that $a_{\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{z}}^-(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $a_{\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{z}}^+(\boldsymbol{x})$ are jointly continuous in $\boldsymbol{v},\boldsymbol{z},\boldsymbol{x}$, and for any $\boldsymbol{v}\in\mathrm{S}_1^{d-1}$ we have $a_{\boldsymbol{v},\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\boldsymbol{y})}^- \leq \chi_{\mathfrak{z}_{\xi,\boldsymbol{v}}\times\mathcal{W}_{\boldsymbol{y}}} \leq a_{\boldsymbol{v},\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\boldsymbol{y})}^+$. We now define f^+ and $f^-\in\mathrm{C}_b(\mathrm{S}_1^{d-1}\times\Gamma\backslash\Gamma H_g)$ through

(6.15)
$$f^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{v}, \Gamma h) = \max \left(0, 1 - \sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n hg \setminus \{\boldsymbol{0}\}} a_{\boldsymbol{v}, \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\boldsymbol{y})}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{m})\right).$$

Then, using the fact that $\mathbf{0} \notin \mathfrak{Z}_{\xi, \boldsymbol{v}}$ for all $\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathcal{S}_1^{d-1}$.

$$(6.16) f^+(\boldsymbol{v}, \Gamma h) \leq I\left(\left(\mathfrak{Z}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{v}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\boldsymbol{y}}\right) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n h g = \emptyset\right) \leq f^-(\boldsymbol{v}, \Gamma h), \forall (\boldsymbol{v}, h) \in S_1^{d-1} \times H_g.$$

Hence by (6.13) and Theorem 4.1 we have

(6.17)
$$\limsup_{\rho \to 0} \lambda(\{\boldsymbol{v} \in S_1^{d-1} : \mathfrak{Z}' \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset\}) \le \int_{S_1^{d-1} \times \Gamma \setminus \Gamma H_g} f^-(\boldsymbol{v}, \Gamma h) \, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v}) \, d\mu_g(h)$$

and

(6.18)
$$\liminf_{\rho \to 0} \lambda(\{ \boldsymbol{v} \in S_1^{d-1} : \mathfrak{Z}' \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset \}) \ge \int_{S_1^{d-1} \times \Gamma \setminus \Gamma H_g} f^+(\boldsymbol{v}, \Gamma h) \, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v}) \, d\mu_g(h).$$

But note that we have equality throughout in (6.16) for any $(\boldsymbol{v}, \Gamma h)$ such that $a_{\boldsymbol{v}, \pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\boldsymbol{y})}^-(\boldsymbol{m}) =$ $a_{\boldsymbol{v},\pi_{\mathrm{int}}(\boldsymbol{y})}^+(\boldsymbol{m})$ for all $\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n hg \setminus \{\boldsymbol{0}\}$. The set of $(\boldsymbol{v},\Gamma h)$ for which this fails has measure bounded from above by

(6.19)
$$\int_{\mathbf{S}_{1}^{d-1}} \int_{\Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_{g}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n} hg \backslash \{\boldsymbol{0}\}} I\left(\boldsymbol{m} \in \operatorname{supp}\left(a_{\boldsymbol{v}, \pi_{\operatorname{int}}(\boldsymbol{y})}^{+} - a_{\boldsymbol{v}, \pi_{\operatorname{int}}(\boldsymbol{y})}^{-}\right)\right) d\mu_{g}(h) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v})$$

$$= \delta_{d, m}(\mathcal{L}) \int_{\mathbf{S}_{1}^{d-1}} \mu_{\mathcal{V}}\left(\operatorname{supp}\left(a_{\boldsymbol{v}, \pi_{\operatorname{int}}(\boldsymbol{y})}^{+} - a_{\boldsymbol{v}, \pi_{\operatorname{int}}(\boldsymbol{y})}^{-}\right)\right) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v}) < \varepsilon,$$

where we used Theorem 5.1 and (6.3) together with obvious translational invariance. Therefore the right hand sides of (6.17) and (6.18) are both within ε of

(6.20)
$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} \mu_g \Big(\Big\{ \Gamma h \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_g : \big(\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi, \boldsymbol{v}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\boldsymbol{y}} \big) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n hg = \emptyset \Big\} \Big) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v}).$$

Hence, since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, and since $(\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi,v} \times \mathcal{W}_y) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n hg = \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n hg, \mathcal{W}_y) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n hg = \emptyset$ $\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi,\boldsymbol{v}}=\emptyset$, we conclude:

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \lambda(\{\boldsymbol{v} \in S_1^{d-1} : \boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}' \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset\}) = \int_{S_1^{d-1}} \mu_g \left(\left\{ \Gamma h \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_g : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n hg, \mathcal{W}_{\boldsymbol{y}}) \cap \boldsymbol{\mathcal{J}}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{v}} = \emptyset \right\} \right) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v}).$$

Recall that we have fixed $g \in G^1$ so that $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}^n g$. Now set $g' = g(1_n, (-q, \mathbf{0}))$, so that $\mathcal{L}-(q,0)=\mathbb{Z}^n g'$ (i.e. g' corresponds to "g" in Theorem 1.4). Then

(6.22)
$$g' = (1_n, -\boldsymbol{m}) g\left(1_n, (\boldsymbol{0}, \pi_{\text{int}}(\boldsymbol{y}))\right),$$

with $\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{m}$ as above. Using also the fact that $(1_n, (\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{z}))$ commutes with $\varphi_1(\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R}))$ for any $\boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we now have $\varphi_{g'}(\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})) = \phi_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\varphi_g(\mathrm{SL}(d, \mathbb{R})))$, where $\phi_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ denotes conjugation with $(1_n, -\boldsymbol{m})$, i.e. $\phi_{\boldsymbol{m}}(h) = (1_n, -\boldsymbol{m})h(1_n, \boldsymbol{m})$ for $h \in G$. Using $(1_n, \boldsymbol{m}) \in \Gamma$ it follows that $H_{g'} = \phi_{\boldsymbol{m}}(H_g)$. Note also that for any $h \in H_g$ we have

(6.23)
$$\mathbb{Z}^n \phi_{\mathbf{m}}(h) g' = \mathbb{Z}^n h g + \pi_{\text{int}}(\mathbf{y}),$$

and hence the right hand side of (6.21) equals

(6.24)
$$\int_{S_1^{d-1}} \mu_{g'} \left(\left\{ \Gamma h \in \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_{g'} : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^n h g', \mathcal{W}) \cap \mathfrak{Z}_{\xi, \mathbf{v}} = \emptyset \right\} \right) d\lambda(\mathbf{v}).$$

Here the integrand is unchanged if $\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi,\boldsymbol{v}}$ is replaced by $\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi,\boldsymbol{v}}A$ for any $A \in \mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R})$ (which may depend on \boldsymbol{v}), since $\mu_{g'}$ is right $\varphi_{g'}(\mathrm{SL}(d,\mathbb{R}))$ -invariant. In particular, using this with an appropriate $A \in \mathrm{SO}(d)$, we see that $\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi,\boldsymbol{v}}$ may be replaced by $\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} + \|(\boldsymbol{\beta}(\boldsymbol{v})K(\boldsymbol{v}))_{\perp}\|\boldsymbol{e}_d$, and therefore using (1.17) and $\|(\boldsymbol{\beta}(\boldsymbol{v})K(\boldsymbol{v}))_{\perp}\| = \beta_{\perp}(\boldsymbol{v})$ we see that the above expression equals the right hand side of (1.11). Hence we have proved that (1.11) holds for any fixed $\boldsymbol{q} \in \mathcal{P}$. Note that the proof in fact works more generally to show that (1.11) holds for any point $\boldsymbol{q} \in \pi(\mathcal{L})$.

Finally we will prove that the convergence in (1.11) holds uniformly over all $\mathbf{q} \in \mathcal{P}$, and in fact more generally holds uniformly over all $\mathbf{q} \in \pi(\mathcal{L} \cap \pi_{\mathrm{int}}^{-1}(B))$, where B is any given bounded subset of \mathcal{A} . It follows from the previous discussion that it suffices to prove that (6.21) holds uniformly over all $\mathbf{q} \in \pi(\mathcal{L} \cap \pi_{\mathrm{int}}^{-1}(B))$. (We now understand \mathbf{y} to denote any point in $\mathcal{L} \cap (\{\mathbf{q}\} \times B)$; this point is not necessarily uniquely determined by \mathbf{q} , but if there are more than one such \mathbf{y} these all yield the same value for the right hand side of (6.21).)

Because of the Jordan measurability of \mathcal{W} , for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ we may choose the functions a^- and a^+ on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{A}$ in such a way that (6.3) holds, while the condition $a^- \leq \chi_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} \times \mathcal{W}} \leq a^+$ is strengthened to $a^- \leq \chi_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} \times \mathcal{W}_{\eta}^-}$ and $\chi_{\mathfrak{Z}_{\xi} \times \mathcal{W}_{\eta}^+} \leq a^+$ for some $\eta = \eta(\varepsilon) > 0$, where $\mathcal{W}_{\eta}^- = \mathcal{W} \setminus B(\partial \mathcal{W}, \eta)$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\eta}^+ = \mathcal{W} \cup B(\partial \mathcal{W}, \eta)$, with $B(\partial \mathcal{W}, \eta)$ denoting the η -neighbourhood of $\partial \mathcal{W}$ in \mathcal{A} . Now since B is bounded there is a finite set of points $\mathbf{z}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{z}_s \in \mathcal{A}$ such that each $\mathbf{z} \in B$ lies in the η -neighborhood of some \mathbf{z}_j . For each $j \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ we define $f_j^{\pm} \in \mathcal{C}_b(S_1^{d-1} \times \Gamma \setminus \Gamma H_g)$ by

(6.25)
$$f_j^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{v}, \Gamma h) = \max \left(0, 1 - \sum_{\boldsymbol{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^n hg \setminus \{\boldsymbol{0}\}} a_{\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{z}_j}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{m})\right).$$

By Theorem 4.1 there is some $\rho_0 > 0$ such that for every $\rho \in (0, \rho_0]$ and every $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$,

$$(6.26) \qquad \left| \int_{\mathbf{S}_{1}^{d-1}} f_{j}^{\pm} \left(\boldsymbol{v}, \varphi_{g}(K(\boldsymbol{v}) \Phi^{-\log \rho}) \right) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v}) - \int_{\mathbf{S}_{1}^{d-1} \times \Gamma \backslash \Gamma H_{g}} f_{j}^{\pm}(\boldsymbol{v}, \Gamma h) d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v}) d\mu_{g}(h) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

We now claim that for every $q \in \pi(\mathcal{L} \cap \pi_{\text{int}}^{-1}(B))$ and every $\rho \in (0, \rho_0]$,

(6.27)

$$\left|\lambda(\{\boldsymbol{v}\in\mathrm{S}_1^{d-1}:\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}'\cap\mathcal{P}=\emptyset\})-\int_{\mathrm{S}_1^{d-1}}\mu_g\Big(\Big\{\Gamma h\in\Gamma\backslash\Gamma H_g\ :\ \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}^nhg,\mathcal{W}_{\boldsymbol{y}})\cap\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}_{\xi,\boldsymbol{v}}=\emptyset\Big\}\Big)\,d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v})\right|<2\varepsilon.$$

To prove this let $q \in \pi(\mathcal{L} \cap \pi_{\text{int}}^{-1}(B))$ be given, and fix a point $y \in \mathcal{L} \cap (\{q\} \times B)$. We may now take $j \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $\|\pi_{\text{int}}(y) - z_j\| < \eta$, and then by construction,

$$(6.28) f_j^+(\boldsymbol{v}, \Gamma h) \leq I\Big(\big(\mathfrak{Z}_{\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{v}} \times \mathcal{W}_{\boldsymbol{y}}\big) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n h g = \emptyset\Big) \leq f_j^-(\boldsymbol{v}, \Gamma h), \forall (\boldsymbol{v}, h) \in S_1^{d-1} \times H_g.$$

Also for all $v \in S_1^{d-1}$ the equivalence (6.13) holds. Combining these facts with (6.26) we conclude that for all $\rho \in (0, \rho_0]$,

$$(6.29) \lambda(\{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathcal{S}_1^{d-1} : \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}' \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset\}) < \int_{\mathcal{S}_1^{d-1} \times \Gamma \setminus \Gamma H_q} f_j^-(\boldsymbol{v}, \Gamma h) \, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v}) \, d\mu_g(h) + \varepsilon$$

and

$$(6.30) \lambda(\{\boldsymbol{v} \in \mathbf{S}_1^{d-1}: \boldsymbol{\mathfrak{Z}}' \cap \mathcal{P} = \emptyset\}) > \int_{\mathbf{S}_1^{d-1} \times \Gamma \setminus \Gamma H_g} f_j^+(\boldsymbol{v}, \Gamma h) \, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v}) \, d\mu_g(h) - \varepsilon.$$

However by the same argument as before, using (6.28), both the last two integrals differ by at most ε from the right hand side of (6.21); hence (6.27) is proved. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we have proved the desired uniformity.

APPENDIX: DIRECTIONS IN QUASICRYSTALS

The methods developed in this paper can also be applied to understand the fine-scale statistics of directions in a cut-and-project set \mathcal{P} . In analogy with the problem of directions in affine lattices discussed in [19, Sect. 2], we consider the set $\mathcal{P}_T = \mathcal{P} \cap \mathcal{B}_T^d(c) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ of points in \mathcal{P} inside the spherical shell

(A.1)
$$\mathcal{B}_T^d(c) = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d : cT \le ||x|| < T \}, \quad 0 \le c < 1.$$

In view of (1.7), there are asymptotically Cv_dT^d such points as $T\to\infty$, where

(A.2)
$$C = \delta_{d,m}(\mathcal{L})(1 - c^d)\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{W})$$

and $v_d = \operatorname{vol}(\mathcal{B}_1^d) = \pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(\frac{d+2}{2})$ is the volume of the unit ball. For each T, we study the corresponding directions $\|\boldsymbol{y}\|^{-1}\boldsymbol{y} \in S_1^{d-1}$ with $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{P}_T$, counted with multiplicity. Again the asymptotics (1.7) implies that, as $T \to \infty$, these points become uniformly distributed on S_1^{d-1} . That is, for any set $\mathfrak{U} \subset S_1^{d-1}$ with boundary of measure zero (with respect to the volume element ω on S_1^{d-1}) we have

(A.3)
$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{\#\{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{P}_T : \|\boldsymbol{y}\|^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathfrak{U}\}}{\#\mathcal{P}_T} = \frac{\omega(\mathfrak{U})}{\omega(S_1^{d-1})}.$$

Recall that $\omega(\mathbf{S}_1^{d-1}) = dv_d$.

To analyse the fine-scale statistics of the directions to points in \mathcal{P}_T , we consider the probability of finding r directions in a small open disc $\mathfrak{D}_T(\sigma, \boldsymbol{v}) \subset S_1^{d-1}$ with random center $\boldsymbol{v} \in S_1^{d-1}$. Denote by

(A.4)
$$\mathcal{N}_{c,T}(\sigma, \boldsymbol{v}) = \#\{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{P}_T : \|\boldsymbol{y}\|^{-1} \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathfrak{D}_T(\sigma, \boldsymbol{v})\}$$

the number of points in $\mathfrak{D}_T(\sigma, \boldsymbol{v})$. The radius of $\mathfrak{D}_T(\sigma, \boldsymbol{v})$ is chosen so that it has volume $\frac{\sigma d}{CT^d}$ with $\sigma > 0$ fixed. The reason for this volume scaling is that the expectation value for the counting function is asymptotically equal to σ : For any probability measure λ on S_1^{d-1} with continuous density

(A.5)
$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{S_1^{d-1}} \mathcal{N}_{c,T}(\sigma, \boldsymbol{v}) \, d\lambda(\boldsymbol{v}) = \sigma.$$

This follows directly from (1.7).

Theorem A.1. Let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{W})$ be a regular cut-and-project set for some (possibly affine) lattice \mathcal{L} . Choose $g \in G$ and $\delta > 0$ so that $\mathcal{L} = \delta^{1/n}(\mathbb{Z}^n g)$. Let λ be a Borel probability measure on S_1^{d-1} which is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. Then, for every $\sigma \geq 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the limit

(A.6)
$$E_{c,\mathcal{P}}(r,\sigma) := \lim_{T \to \infty} \lambda(\{ \boldsymbol{v} \in S_1^{d-1} : \mathcal{N}_{c,T}(\sigma, \boldsymbol{v}) = r \})$$

exists, and is given by

(A.7)
$$E_{c,\mathcal{P}}(r,\sigma) = \mu_q(\{\mathcal{P}' \in \mathfrak{Q}_q : \#(\mathcal{P}' \cap \mathfrak{C}(c,\sigma)) = r\})$$

where

(A.8)
$$\mathfrak{C}(c,\sigma) = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : c < x_1 < 1, \| (x_2, \dots, x_d) \| \le \left(\frac{\sigma d}{C v_{d-1}} \right)^{1/(d-1)} x_1 \right\}.$$

In particular, $E_{c,\mathcal{P}}(r,\sigma)$ is continuous in σ and independent of λ .

The proof of this theorem is analogous to that of Theorem 1.2, with the cylinder \mathfrak{Z}_{ξ} replaced by the cone $\mathfrak{C}(c,\sigma)$.

Theorem A.1 considers the set of directions in \mathcal{P} with multiplicity. Although for generic \mathcal{P} the multiplicity is typically one, there are important examples where this is not the case. The Penrose tiling and other cut-and-project sets which are based on the construction in Section 2.2 fall into this category, cf. [27]. It would therefore be natural to also consider the statistics of directions without multiplicity, in analogy with the discussion of primitive lattice points in [19, Sect. 2.4].

Acknowledgments

We thank Michael Baake for instructive discussions on quasicrystals, in particular for bringing Pleasants' paper [27] to our attention. We are also grateful to Manfred Einsiedler and Alex Eskin for helpful discussions.

References

- [1] F.P. Boca, R.N. Gologan and A. Zaharescu, The statistics of the trajectory of a certain billiard in a flat two-torus. Comm. Math. Phys. **240** (2003), 53–73.
- [2] F.P. Boca and A. Zaharescu, The distribution of the free path lengths in the periodic two-dimensional Lorentz gas in the small-scatterer limit, Commun. Math. Phys. **269** (2007), 425–471.
- [3] F.P. Boca, R.N. Gologan, On the distribution of the free path length of the linear flow in a honeycomb. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 59 (2009), 1043–1075.
- [4] F. P. Boca, Distribution of the linear flow length in a honeycomb in the small-scatterer limit, New York J. Math. 16 (2010), 651–735.
- [5] C. Boldrighini, L.A. Bunimovich and Y.G. Sinai, On the Boltzmann equation for the Lorentz gas. J. Statist. Phys. **32** (1983), 477–501.
- [6] A. Borel and Harish-Chandra, Arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups, Ann. of Math. 75 (1962), 485–535.
- [7] N. G. de Bruijn, Algebraic theory of Penrose's non-periodic tilings of the plane, Koninklijke Nederlandse Akademie van Wetenschappen. Indagationes Mathematicae 43 (1981), 39–52, 53–66.
- [8] J. Bourgain, F. Golse and B. Wennberg, On the distribution of free path lengths for the periodic Lorentz gas. Comm. Math. Phys. **190** (1998), 491–508.
- [9] E. Caglioti and F. Golse, On the distribution of free path lengths for the periodic Lorentz gas. III. Comm. Math. Phys. **236** (2003), 199–221.
- [10] E. Caglioti and F. Golse, On the Boltzmann-Grad limit for the two dimensional periodic Lorentz gas. J. Stat. Phys. **141** (2010), 264–317.
- [11] P. Dahlqvist, The Lyapunov exponent in the Sinai billiard in the small scatterer limit. Nonlinearity 10 (1997), 159–173.
- [12] C.P. Dettmann, New horizons in multidimensional diffusion: the Lorentz gas and the Riemann hypothesis. J. Stat. Phys. 146 (2012), 181–204.
- [13] G. Gallavotti, Divergences and approach to equilibrium in the Lorentz and the Wind-tree-models, Physical Review 185 (1969), 308–322.
- [14] F. Golse and B. Wennberg, On the distribution of free path lengths for the periodic Lorentz gas. II. M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal. **34** (2000), no. 6, 1151–1163.
- [15] A. Hof, Uniform distribution and the projection method, in Quasicrystals and discrete geometry (Toronto, ON, 1995), Fields Inst. Monogr. 10, (1998), 201–206.
- [16] A.S. Kraemer and D.P. Sanders, Periodizing quasicrystals: Anomalous diffusion in quasiperiodic systems, arXiv:1206.1103
- [17] S. Lang, Algebraic Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [18] H. Lorentz, Le mouvement des électrons dans les métaux, Arch. Néerl. 10 (1905), 336–371.
- [19] J. Marklof and A. Strömbergsson, The distribution of free path lengths in the periodic Lorentz gas and related lattice point problems, Annals of Math. 172 (2010), 1949–2033.
- [20] J. Marklof and A. Strömbergsson, The Boltzmann-Grad limit of the periodic Lorentz gas, Annals of Math. 174 (2011) 225–298.
- [21] J. Marklof and A. Strömbergsson, Kinetic transport in the two-dimensional periodic Lorentz gas, Nonlinearity 21 (2008) 1413–1422.
- [22] J. Marklof and A. Strömbergsson, The periodic Lorentz gas in the Boltzmann-Grad limit: Asymptotic estimates, GAFA. **21** (2011), 560-647.

- [23] J. Marklof and A. Strömbergsson, Power-law distributions for the free path length in Lorentz gases, arXiv:1310.0328
- [24] Y. Meyer, Algebraic numbers and harmonic analysis, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1972.
- [25] Y. Meyer, Quasicrystals, Diophantine approximation and algebraic numbers, in Beyond quasicrystals (Les Houches, 1994), pp. 3–16, Springer, Berlin, 1995.
- [26] P. Nandori, D. Szasz and T. Varju , Tail asymptotics of free path lengths for the periodic Lorentz process. On Dettmann's geometric conjectures, arXiv:1210.2231
- [27] P.A.B. Pleasants, Lines and planes in 2- and 3-dimensional quasicrystals, in *Coverings of discrete quasiperiodic sets*, Springer Tracts Modern Phys., **180**, pp. 185–225, Springer, Berlin, 2003.
- [28] G. Polya, Zahlentheoretisches und Wahrscheinlichkeitstheoretisches über die Sichtweite im Walde, Arch. Math. Phys. 27 (1918), 135–142.
- [29] M. S. Raghunathan, Discrete subgroups of Lie groups, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [30] M. Ratner, On Raghunathan's measure conjecture, Ann. of Math. 134 (1991) 545-607.
- [31] M. Ratner, Raghunathan's topological conjecture and distributions of unipotent flows, Duke Math. J. 63 (1991), 235–280.
- [32] M. Senechal, Quasicrystals and geometry, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [33] M. Schlottmann, Cut-and-project sets in locally compact abelian groups, in Quasicrystals and discrete geometry (Toronto, ON, 1995), Fields Inst. Monogr. 10, (1998), 247–264.
- [34] N.A. Shah, Limit distributions of expanding translates of certain orbits on homogeneous spaces. Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. 106 (1996), no. 2, 105–125.
- [35] C. L. Siegel, A mean value theorem in geometry of numbers, Ann. of Math. 46 (1945), 340–347.
- [36] C. L. Siegel, Lectures on the Geometry of Numbers, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1989.
- [37] H. Spohn, The Lorentz process converges to a random flight process, Comm. Math. Phys. 60 (1978), 277–290.
- [38] W. A. Veech, Siegel Measures, Ann. of Math. 148 (1998), 895–944.
- [39] Aad W. van der Vaart and Jon A. Wellner, Weak convergence and empirical processes, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.
- [40] A. Weil, Basic Number Theory, 3rd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.
- [41] B. Wennberg, Free path lengths in quasi crystals. J. Stat. Phys. 147 (2012), 981–990.

School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 1TW, U.K. j.marklof@bristol.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOX 480, UPPSALA UNIVERSITY, SE-75106 UPPSALA, SWEDEN astrombe@math.uu.se