

GALOIS THEORY 2019: HW 3 SOLUTIONS

For assessment: Problems 1, 2, 3

Due by noon Tuesday, week 7 of the term

1. (a) Let $L : \mathbb{Q}$ be a splitting field extension for $f(X) = (X^2 - 2)(X^2 + 7)$.

- (i) Determine the degree of the extension $L : \mathbb{Q}$, justifying your answer.
 (ii) Describe the Galois group $\text{Gal}(L : \mathbb{Q})$ (that is, give generators and relations for the Galois group).

Solutions: [This is from the 2015 exam.] (i) We have $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-7})$. The polynomials $X^2 - 2$ and $X^2 + 7$ are both irreducible over \mathbb{Q} (by Eisenstein's Criterion with $p = 2$ and $p = 7$ they are irreducible over \mathbb{Z} , and then by Gauss' Lemma they are irreducible over \mathbb{Q}). The roots of $X^2 - 2$ are $\pm\sqrt{2}$, and so $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$. Over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, if $X^2 + 7$ is reducible then it has a linear factor in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})[X]$ which means that $\sqrt{-7} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. But $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $\sqrt{-7} \notin \mathbb{R}$, so $X^2 + 7$ must be irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. Thus $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-7}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})] = 2$ and (by the Tower Law) $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-7}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$.

(ii) $\text{Gal}(L : \mathbb{Q})$ is generated by the \mathbb{Q} -homomorphisms σ, τ where $\sigma(\sqrt{2}) = -\sqrt{2}$, $\sigma(\sqrt{-7}) = \sqrt{-7}$, $\tau(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2}$, $\tau(\sqrt{-7}) = -\sqrt{-7}$. So $\sigma^2 = 1 = \tau^2$, $\sigma\tau = \tau\sigma$, and $\text{Gal}(L : \mathbb{Q}) = \{1, \sigma, \tau, \sigma\tau\}$. We can also present this group as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Gal}(L : \mathbb{Q}) &\simeq \langle \sigma, \tau : \sigma^2 = 1 = \tau^2, \sigma\tau = \tau\sigma \rangle \\ &\simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Let $K : \mathbb{Q}$ be a splitting field extension for $g(X) = X^4 - 5$.

- (i) Show that $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 8$.
 (ii) Describe the Galois group $\text{Gal}(K : \mathbb{Q})$.

Solutions: Let $\alpha = \sqrt[4]{5} \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and let $i = e^{2\pi i/4}$. The roots of g are $\pm\alpha, \pm i\alpha$. Thus with $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, i)$, $L : \mathbb{Q}$ is a splitting field for g . [Note that all roots of g lie in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, i)$; also, i is the quotient of two roots of g so $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, i)$ is contained in a splitting field for g .]

(i) By Eisenstein's Criterion (with $p = 5$), g is irreducible over \mathbb{Z} , and so by Gauss' Lemma, g is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . Hence $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg m_\alpha(\mathbb{Q}) = 4$. We know that i is a root of $X^2 + 1$, so $\deg m_i(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)) \leq 2$. If $\deg m_i(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)) = 1$ then $i \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, but $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $i \notin \mathbb{R}$. Hence $[K : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)] = \deg m_i(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)) = 2$ and so (by the Tower Law) $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 8$.

ALTERNATIVELY: We can realise K as $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, i\alpha)$, and follow essentially the above procedure with $i\alpha$ in place of i .

(ii) We can construct the elements of $G = \text{Gal}(K : \mathbb{Q})$ by first extending the identity map on \mathbb{Q} to a homomorphism $\sigma : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \rightarrow K$ by mapping α to another root of g [this gives us 4 choices]. Then we extend σ to a homomorphism $\tau : K \rightarrow K$ by mapping i to $\pm i$ [or by mapping $i\alpha$ to $\pm i\alpha$]. Let $\varphi, \psi : K \rightarrow K$ be the \mathbb{Q} -homomorphisms

from K into K where $\varphi(\alpha) = i\alpha$, $\varphi(i) = i$, $\psi(\alpha) = \alpha$, $\psi(i) = -i$. [Note that as $K : \mathbb{Q}$ is an algebraic extension, by Theorem 3.4, $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Aut}(L)$.] So we have $\varphi(i\alpha) = \varphi(i)\varphi(\alpha) = -\alpha$, $\varphi(-\alpha) = -\varphi(\alpha) = -\alpha$, $\varphi(-i\alpha) = -\varphi(i\alpha) = \alpha$. Also, $\psi(i\alpha) = \psi(i)\psi(\alpha) = -i\alpha$, $\psi(-i\alpha) = -\psi(i)\psi(\alpha) = i\alpha$, $\psi(-\alpha) = -\psi(\alpha) = -\alpha$. As well, we have $\varphi\psi(\alpha) = \varphi(\alpha) = i\alpha$, $\varphi\psi(i) = \varphi(-i) = -\varphi(i) = -i$, $\psi\varphi^3(\alpha) = \psi(-i\alpha) = -\psi(i)\psi(\alpha) = i\alpha$, $\psi\varphi^3(i) = \psi(i) = -i$. Hence

$$\text{Gal}(K : \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \langle \varphi, \psi : \varphi^4 = 1 = \psi^2, \varphi\psi = \psi\varphi^3 \rangle.$$

[Note that since $K : \mathbb{Q}$ is a splitting field for g , each element of $\text{Gal}(K : \mathbb{Q})$ corresponds to a permutation of the roots of g . We can associate φ with the permutation

$$(\alpha \ i\alpha \ -\alpha \ -i\alpha),$$

and we can associate ψ with the permutation

$$(i\alpha \ -i\alpha).$$

Using these permutations to represent φ and ψ , we can discern the relation $\varphi\psi = \psi\varphi^3$.]

2. Suppose that $L : K$ is a normal extension with $K \subseteq L \subseteq \bar{L}$ where \bar{L} is an algebraic closure of L .
 - (a) Suppose $\tau : L \rightarrow \bar{L}$ is a K -homomorphism. Show that $\tau(L) = L$.
 - (b) Suppose $M : K$ is a normal extension so that $K \subseteq M \subseteq L$ and $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L : K)$. Show that $\tau(M) = M$. (Suggestion: use (a).)

Solutions: (a) [This is Proposition 6.1.] Take $\alpha \in L$. Since $L : K$ is a normal extension, it is an algebraic extension and hence $m_\alpha(K)$ exists. Let $f = m_\alpha(K)$. So $f(\alpha) = 0$ and hence [by Proposition 3.1]

$$0 = \tau(f(\alpha)) = f(\tau(\alpha)).$$

Since $L : K$ is a normal extension and f is an irreducible polynomial with a root α in L , we know that f must split over L . We see above that $\tau(\alpha)$ is a root of f , so $\tau(\alpha) \in L$. This argument holds for all $\alpha \in L$, and hence $\tau(L) \subseteq L$. Then by Theorem 3.4, we have $\tau(L) = L$.

(b) Since $L : K$ is a normal extension, it is an algebraic extension. Thus for any $\alpha \in L$, α is algebraic over K and hence is algebraic over M . So $L : M$ is an algebraic extension, and thus [by Proposition 4.9], \bar{L} is an algebraic closure of M . With $\sigma = \tau|_M$ (the restriction of τ to M), we have that σ is a K -homomorphism taking M into \bar{M} . Thus by (a), $\sigma(M) = M$, and hence $\tau(M) = M$.

3. Suppose that $L : K$ is a splitting field extension for f where f is a monic, separable, irreducible element of $K[t]$ with $\deg f$ prime. Suppose that M is a field so that $K \subsetneq M \subsetneq L$ and $M : K$ is a normal extension. The goal is to show that f is irreducible over M .

- (a) For the sake of contradiction, suppose that $f = f_1 \cdots f_d$ where $d > 1$ and f_1, \dots, f_d are monic, irreducible elements of $M[t]$. Show that for each integer k with $1 < k \leq d$, we have $\deg f_k = \deg f_1$. (Suggestion: first use $\text{Gal}(L : K)$ to show that for $1 < k \leq d$, $\deg f_1 = \deg f_k$; in doing this, you may want to use Problem 1.)
- (b) Show that the hypothesis of (a) leads to a contradiction (and hence f is irreducible over M). (Suggestion: first explain why M contains no root of f .)

Solutions: [Without the above suggestions, this is essentially a problem from the 2016 exam.]

(a) As $L : K$ is a splitting field extension for f and $f = f_1 \cdots f_d$, we know f_1, \dots, f_d each split over L . Fix k with $1 < k \leq d$ and take $\alpha, \beta \in L$ so that α is a root of f_1 and β is a root of f_k . Since f_1, f_k are monic and irreducible over M , we have $f_1 = m_\alpha(M)$ and $f_k = m_\beta(M)$. Also, both α and β are roots of f , and thus by Corollary 3.7, there is some $\tau \in \text{Gal}(L : K)$ so that $\tau(\alpha) = \beta$. By Problem 1(b), we know that $\tau(M) = M$ and so $\tau(f_1) \in M[t]$. Also, f_1 is monic so $\tau(f_1)$ is monic; since $\tau|_M$ is an automorphism of M , Proposition 1.4 gives us that $\tau(f_1) = \tau_M(f_1)$ is irreducible over M . We have

$$0 = \tau(f_1(\alpha)) = \tau(f)(\tau(\alpha)) = \tau(f)(\beta),$$

and hence $\tau(f_1) = m_\beta(M)$, meaning that $\tau(f_1) = f_k$. Thus $\deg f_k = \deg \tau(f_1) = \deg f_1$.

(b) Since $M : K$ is a normal extension, either f has no root in M or f splits over M . If f splits over M then $M : K$ is a splitting field of f ; but $L : K$ is a splitting field of f with $K \subsetneq M \subsetneq L$. Thus f cannot split over M , so f has no root in M .

Suppose the hypothesis of (a) holds. Then $\deg f = \deg f_1 + \cdots + \deg f_d$, and since $\deg f_1 = \deg f_k$ for each k with $1 < k \leq d$, we have $\deg f = d \cdot \deg f_1$. Also, since f has no root in M , neither does f_1 , so $\deg f_1 > 1$. [Recall that if $g \in M[t]$ is monic with degree 1, then $g = t - \gamma$ where $\gamma \in M$.] Hence $\deg f$ is the product of two integers greater than 1, contradicting the assumption that $\deg f$ is prime.

4. Suppose K is a field, $S \subseteq K[t]$. Suppose that $L : K$ is a splitting field extension for S with $K \subseteq L$, and that $M : K$ is a splitting field extension for S relative to the embedding $\varphi : K \rightarrow M$. Assume $L \subseteq \overline{L}$, $M \subseteq \overline{M}$. Set

$$A = \{\alpha \in \overline{L} : f(\alpha) = 0 \text{ for some nonconstant } f \in S\},$$

and

$$B = \{\beta \in \overline{M} : \varphi(f)(\beta) = 0 \text{ for some nonconstant } f \in S\}.$$

(So $L = K(A)$ and $M = F(B)$ where $F = \varphi(K)$.)

- (a) Explain why there is an isomorphism $\psi : \overline{L} \rightarrow \overline{M}$ that extends φ .
- (b) Show that $\psi(A) = B$.

(c) Conclude that $\psi(K(A)) \simeq F(B)$ (and hence $L \simeq M$ since $K(A) = L$ and $F(B) = M$). [Note that the argument used in the proof of Theorem 5.4 shows that $[L : K] = [M : K]$.]

Solutions: [This is a proof of Theorem 5.5.]

(a) Since $\bar{L} : K$ is an algebraic extension, $\varphi : K \rightarrow M \subseteq \bar{M}$ can be extended to a homomorphism $\psi : \bar{L} \rightarrow \bar{M}$. Since \bar{L} is algebraically closed, so is $\psi(\bar{L})$. Since $\bar{M} : K$ is an algebraic extension, so is $\bar{M} : \bar{L}$ [with the homomorphism ψ]; hence $\bar{M} : \psi(\bar{L})$ is an algebraic extension. Since $\psi(\bar{L})$ is algebraically closed, the only algebraic extension of $\psi(\bar{L})$ is $\psi(\bar{L})$. Hence $\bar{M} = \psi(\bar{L})$. Thus ψ is surjective. Since \bar{L} is a field, ψ is necessarily injective. Since ψ is a homomorphism, this shows that ψ is an isomorphism. [Note: $\bar{L} : K$ and $\bar{M} : K$ are both algebraic closures of K , so \bar{L} and \bar{M} are isomorphic via some isomorphism $\psi : \bar{L} \rightarrow \bar{M}$. But this does not show that ψ extends φ .]

(b) Using that $\psi(\bar{L}) = \bar{M}$ and that ψ is an isomorphism extending φ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(A) &= \{\psi(\alpha) \in \bar{M} : f(\alpha) = 0 \text{ for some nonzero } f \in S\} \\ &= \{\psi(\alpha) \in \bar{M} : \psi(f(\alpha)) = 0 \text{ for some nonzero } f \in S\} \\ &= \{\psi(\alpha) \in \bar{M} : \varphi(f)(\psi(\alpha)) = 0 \text{ for some nonzero } f \in S\} \\ &= \{\beta \in \bar{M} : \varphi(f)(\beta) = 0 \text{ for some nonzero } f \in S\} \\ &= B. \end{aligned}$$

(c) We know that $\psi(K) = \varphi(K) = F$, and $\psi(A) = B$. We claim this means $\psi(K(A)) = F(B)$: An element $\gamma \in K(A)$ is of the form

$$\gamma = \sum_{k=1}^m c_k \alpha_k^{r_k}$$

where $c_k \in K$, $\alpha_k \in A$, $m, r_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $m \geq 1$. Thus

$$\psi(\gamma) = \sum_{k=1}^m \varphi(c_k) \beta_k^{r_k}$$

where $\beta_k = \psi(\alpha_k) \in \psi(A) = B$. Thus $\psi(\gamma) \in B$, so $\psi(K(A)) \subseteq B$.

Since ψ is an isomorphism, (b) shows that $\psi^{-1}(B) = A$, and an argument virtually identical to the above argument shows that $\psi^{-1}(F(B)) \subseteq K(A)$. Hence $\psi(K(A)) = F(B)$. Since ψ is an injective homomorphism, we have $K(A) \simeq F(B)$.