

## 2 Chapter 2 Exercise Solutions

**Exercise 2.1.** Every natural number is transitive. [Hint: Use Princ. of Math. Induction - in other words, show that the set of transitive natural numbers is inductive.]

Sol:  $0 = \emptyset$  is transitive. So  $0 \in Z =_{\text{df}} \{k \in \omega \mid \text{Trans}(k)\}$ . Suppose now  $k \in Z$ . By Exercise 1.21(ii)  $S(k)$  is transitive. Hence  $Z$  is inductive, and hence  $Z = \omega$ .

**Exercise 2.2.** Show that  $X$  is inductive where  $X = \{n \mid \forall m \in \omega (m = n \vee m < n \vee n < m)\}$ .

Sol:  $0 \in X$  as if  $m \in \omega$ , but  $m \neq 0$  then  $m \not\leq 0$  and  $\emptyset \in m$ , that is  $0 < m$ . Suppose  $S(k)$  is least not in  $X$ . Take any  $m \in \omega$  with  $m \neq S(k)$  and  $m \not\leq S(k)$ . The latter implies that  $m \notin S(k) = k \cup \{k\}$ . As  $k \in X$  we have that  $m \notin k$  implies  $m = k \vee k < m$ . But  $m \notin \{k\}$  rules out  $m = k$ . Thus  $k < m$ . We have to show that  $S(k) < m$ . But  $k < m \rightarrow S(k) < S(m) = m \cup \{m\}$  (by Lemma 2.11(ii)). Hence  $S(k) < m \vee S(k) = m$ . The latter conjunct is ruled out by our initial assumption on  $m$ . Hence  $S(k) < m$  and thus  $S(k) \in X$  after all. Hence  $X$  is inductive.

**Exercise 2.3.** Show that  $\forall m, n \in \omega (n < m \leftrightarrow n \subsetneq m)$ .

Sol: Let  $X = \{m \in \omega \mid \forall n \in \omega (n < m \leftrightarrow n \subsetneq m)\}$ . Trivially  $0 \in X$ . Suppose  $k \in X$ . Consider  $S(k)$ . Let  $n < S(k)$ . Then  $n = k \vee n < k$ . As  $k \in X$  the latter disjunct implies that  $n \subsetneq k$  and hence  $n \subsetneq S(k)$ , and for the former we trivially have  $k \subsetneq S(k)$ . Conversely if  $n \subsetneq S(k)$  then it must be that  $k \notin n$ ; hence (Lem. 2.12)  $n = k \vee n < k$  and so  $n < S(k)$ . Thus  $S(k) \in X$  and hence  $X$  is inductive.

**Exercise 2.4.** Let  $X \neq \emptyset, X \subseteq \omega$ . Show that there is  $n \in X$ , with  $n \cap X = \emptyset$ .

Sol: Let  $n$  be the least element of  $X$  by the Least Number Principle. Then note that  $n \cap X = \emptyset$  (if  $k \in n \cap X$  then  $k < n \wedge k \in X$  which contradicts  $n$ 's supposed leastness).

**Exercise 2.5. (Principle of Strong Induction for  $\omega$ )** Let  $X \subseteq \omega$  an suppose  $\Phi$  is a definite welldefined property of natural numbers. Show that

$$\forall n [\forall k < n \Phi(k) \rightarrow \Phi(n)] \rightarrow \forall n \Phi(n).$$

[Hint: Suppose for a contradiction  $X = \{n \in \omega \mid \neg \Phi(n)\} \neq \emptyset$ . Apply the Least Number Principle.]

Sol: Follow the hint. Trivially  $0 \notin X$  since  $\forall k < 0 \Phi(k)$  holds! So  $X$ , which is assumed non-empty, has a least element of the form  $S(k)$ . Thus  $\neg \Phi(S(k))$ . Then  $k \notin X$  and nor are any  $<$ -predecessors of  $k$  in  $X$ . In other words  $\forall m < S(k) \Phi(m)$ . Hence  $\Phi(S(k))$  by the antecedent clause. Contradiction. Hence  $X = \emptyset$ .

**Exercise 2.6.** Prove some of the other clauses of the last Proposition 2.17.

Sol: As another sample we do: (f)  $m^{n+p} = m^n \cdot m^p$ . By induction on  $p$ . Let  $m, n$  be arbitrary. Then  $m^{n+0} = m^n = m^n \cdot 1 = m^n \cdot m^0$ . Assume (f) proven for  $p$  and we prove it for  $p+1$ .  $m^{n+(p+1)} = m^{(n+p)+1}$  (by Definition of Add.)  $= m^{(n+p)} \cdot m^1 = (m^n \cdot m^p) \cdot m^1$  (by Ind. Hyp.)  $= m^n \cdot (m^p \cdot m^1)$  (by Associativity of Multiplication)  $= m^n \cdot m^{p+1}$  (by Def. of Exp.) as required. Hence (f) is true for any  $p$ .

**Exercise 2.7.** (i) Let  $h: \omega \rightarrow \omega$  be given by:  $h(0) = 4$  and  $h(n+1) = 3 \cdot h(n)$ . Compute  $h(4)$ .

(ii) Let  $h: \omega \rightarrow \omega$  be given by  $h(n) = 5 \cdot n + 2$ . Express  $h(n+1)$  in terms of  $h(n)$  as simply as possible.

Sol: (i) 324 (ii)  $h(0) = 2; h(n+1) = h(n) + 5$ .

**Exercise 2.8.** Assume  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are functions from  $\omega$  to  $A$ , and that  $G$  is a function on sets, so that for every  $n$   $f_1 \upharpoonright n$  and  $f_2 \upharpoonright n$  are in  $\text{dom}(G)$ . Suppose also  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  have the property that

$$f_1(n) = G(f_1 \upharpoonright n) \text{ and } f_2(n) = G(f_2 \upharpoonright n). \text{ Show that } f_1 = f_2.$$

Sol: Consider  $Z = \{n \in \omega \mid f_1(n) \neq f_2(n)\}$ . We claim that  $Z = \emptyset$ . By the least number principle if  $Z \neq \emptyset$  then there is a least  $k \in Z$ . Note that  $0 \neq k$ : this is because  $f_1(0) = G(f_1 \upharpoonright 0)$ . But  $f_1 \upharpoonright 0 = f_2 \upharpoonright 0 = \emptyset$ . Hence  $f_1(0) = G(\emptyset) = f_2(0)$ . Hence  $k = S(m)$  for some  $m \notin Z$ . Thus  $f_1(m) = f_2(m)$ . But then  $f_1 \upharpoonright S(m) = f_2 \upharpoonright S(m)$ , and so  $f_1(S(m)) = G(f_1 \upharpoonright S(m)) = G(f_2 \upharpoonright S(m)) = f_2(S(m))$ . Hence  $S(m) \notin Z$  - a contradiction.

**Exercise 2.9.** Let  $h: \omega \rightarrow \omega$  be given by:  $h(k) = k - 10$  if  $k > 100$ ; and  $h(k) = h(h(k+1))$  if  $k \leq 100$ .

Give a definition of  $h$  if possible, using the standard formulation of a definition by recursion, which involves only computing values  $h(k)$  from smaller values, or constants. If this is impossible show it so.

Sol:  $h(k) = k - 10$  if  $k > 100$ ;  $h(k) = 91$  if  $k \leq 100$ .

**Exercise 2.10.** Find (i) infinitely many functions  $h: \omega \rightarrow \omega$  satisfying:  $h(k) = h(k+1)$ ; (ii) the unique function  $h: \omega \rightarrow \omega$  satisfying: (a)  $h(0) = 2$ ;  $h(k) = h(k+1)(h(k+1) + 1)$  if  $k > 0$ .

Sol: (i) Any constant function  $h(k) = c$ ; (ii) the function  $h(0) = 2$ ;  $h(k) = 0$  if  $k > 0$ .

**Exercise 2.11.** Prove that for any  $n, m \in \omega$  that  $n + m = 0 \leftrightarrow (n = 0 \wedge m = 0)$ .

Sol: Two ways: by definition of the function  $A_n$  we have that  $A_n(m)$  is always the successor of something, and so non-zero, unless  $n = m = 0$ . Alternatively one can give a direct proof using mathematical induction on  $m$  using basic facts about  $+$ .

**Exercise 2.12.** Prove that for any  $n, m, k \in \omega$  (i)  $n < m \rightarrow n + k < m + k$ ; (ii)  $k > 0 \wedge n < m \rightarrow n.k < m.k$ .

Sol: By induction on  $k$ : for  $k = 0$  we have  $n + k = n$  etc. so this is trivial. Assume proven for  $k'$ . Then fix any  $n < m$ . By the I.H.  $n + k' < m + k'$ . Then  $(n + k') + 1 \leq m + k' < (m + k') + 1$ . By associativity then, the result holds for  $k' + 1$ .

**Exercise 2.13.** Prove that for any  $n, m \in \omega$  that if  $n \leq m$  then there is a unique  $k \in \omega$  with  $n + k = m$ .

Sol: By induction on  $m$ . If  $m = 0$  then it is trivial. So suppose  $m = m' + 1$  and the result is proven for  $m'$ . Let  $n \leq m$ . Let  $X = \{k \mid n + k = m\}$ . *Claim*  $X \neq \emptyset$  and has a unique element: If  $n = m$  take  $k = 0$ . If  $n < m$  by the inductive hypothesis there is  $k'$  with  $n + k' = m'$ . So  $n + (k' + 1) = (n + k') + 1 = m$  and  $k' + 1 \in X$ . Suppose  $X$  has more than one element: say  $k_1 < k_2$ . then by the last exercise part (i) (and the commutativity of  $+$ ) we have  $n + k_1 < n + k_2$  a contradiction. So the *Claim* holds and the proof for  $m' + 1$  is finished.

**Exercise 2.14.** \* (The Ackermann function) Define using the equations below the *Ackermann function*:

$$A(0, x, y) = x.y$$

$$A(k+1, x, 0) = 1$$

$$A(k+1, x, y+1) = A(k, A(k+1, x, y), x)$$

Show that  $A(k, x, y)$  is defined for all  $x, y, k$ . [Hint: Use a *double induction*: first on  $k$  assume that for all  $x, y$   $A(k, x, y)$  is defined; then assume for all  $y' < y$   $A(k+1, x, y')$  is defined.] What is  $A(1, x, y)$ ?

Sol: For  $k = 0$  there is nothing to do, as  $A(0, x, y)$  is given to us in this case. Make the assumption of the Hint. If  $y = 0$  again there is nothing to do as we are given that  $A(k+1, x, 0) = 1$ . Assume  $y = y' + 1$  and  $A(k+1, x, y')$  is then defined by the second (or ‘inner’) inductive hypothesis. But then  $A(k, A(k+1, x, y'), x)$  is defined by the first or ‘outer’ inductive hypothesis. Hence for all  $x, y$   $A(k+1, x, y)$  is defined.  $A(1, x, y) = x^y$ . [The Ackermann function is an example of an extremely fast growing function that is recognised by logicians as a recursive function which is not ‘primitive recursive’: it cannot be given by building up functions using composition and the recursion scheme of Recursion Theorem on  $\omega$  (Thm 2.14). One can show that it grows faster than any function of the latter type. Nevertheless it is a recursive function (a concept which we have not defined) which these were shown by Alan Turing to be ‘computable’.