Diophantine Stability

For John Coates: happy seventieth birthday!

B. Mazur

Diophantine stability

refers to a project that Karl Rubin and I are currently working on. One application of our work is the following characterization of the projective line:

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Diophantine stability

refers to a project that Karl Rubin and I are currently working on. One application of our work is the following characterization of the projective line:

Let K be a number field and C a smooth projective algebraic curve defined over K. Then $C \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$ (over K) \iff

Diophantine stability

refers to a project that Karl Rubin and I are currently working on. One application of our work is the following characterization of the projective line:

Let K be a number field and C a smooth projective algebraic curve defined over K. Then $C \simeq \mathbf{P}^1$ (over K) \iff

For every nontrivial field extension L/K, the curve C acquires new rational points over L, i.e., C has L-rational points that are not rational over any proper subfield of L.

Generally speaking, rational points seem to be sparce

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ● のへで

Generally speaking, rational points seem to be sparce

Let V be an algebraic variety over a number field K.

Unless there is a clear underlying structural mechanism for generating many rational points in V, either V will tend not to have that many K-rational points, or ... perhaps we're just not good at finding them. Some tried-and-true methods of producing points

(1) Let V be a quadric in \mathbf{P}^N with a K-rational point x.

For any line passing through x rational over K, consider the "other" intersection point y of that line with V.

This point y is K-rational. By sweeping through K-rational lines one gets a profusion of K-rational points from this process.

This works brilliantly, for example for curves of genus zero over K having a K-rational point.

Because, by Riemann-Roch, such a curve can always be represented as a plane conic over K.

(2) If V has an algebraic group structure defined over K,

or for that matter, if V has any interesting *n*-ary structure, $n \ge 1$,

you can try to generate new points from old.

Elliptic curves as algebraic groups

The group structure on an elliptic curve over K,

i.e., a curve of genus one over K endowed with a base point (rational over K)

can be seen neatly via its representation, thanks to Riemann-Roch, as a plane cubic defined over K.

A curve V is of genus ≥ 2 defined over a number field K has only finitely many K-rational points. Faltings' famous theorem (1983) proved this, with an effective (but 'large') upper bound for |V(K)|.

How large can V(K) actually be?

Current record-holders for genus 3 over $K = \mathbf{Q}$.

Both Keller-Kulesz, and Noam Elkies are tied for the record here, with (different) curves that each have at least 176 rational points. Here's Noam's:

 $Y^2 = 5780865024X^8 - 88857648000X^7 + 542817272736X^6 -$

 $-1616473139664X^{5}+2143113743265X^{4}-145305843468X^{3}-$

 $-2058755904906X^{2} + 363486538980X + 1262256306129$

Consequences of a conjecture of Serge Lang

Lucia Caporaso, Joe Harris and I showed (1997) that one of Lang's conjectures about rational points on general type varieties implies the following statement about rational points on curves over number fields:

The N(g) **conjecture:** Let $g \ge 2$. There is a finite number N(g) such that for any number field K, there are only finitely many smooth curves of genus g over K with more than N(g) K-rational points (??) Rational points seem to be rare!

What are lower bounds for $N(2), N(3), \ldots$?

current records:

Genya Zaytman: $N(2) \ge 226$; Noam Elkies: $N(3) \ge 100$, held by the pencil of quartics:

$$AZ^4 = X^4 - XY^3.$$

A relative notion:

Let L/K be a field extension, and $P(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)$

a polynomial with coefficients in K (or more generally a system of such polynomials).

A relative notion:

Let L/K be a field extension, and

$$P(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$$

a polynomial with coefficients in K (or more generally a system of such polynomials).

Say that the polynomial P is **diophantine-stable** for the extension L/K if P acquires no *new* zeroes over L.

or equivalently:

Diophantine Stability

Let V be a variety defined over K. Say that V is **diophantine-stable** for the extension L/K if

$$V(K)=V(L).$$

Diophantine Stability and instability phenomena for elliptic curves for towers of number fields

p-cyclotomic towers: Theorem of Rohrlich, Theorem of Kato

p-anti-cyclotomic towers: Heegner points Diophantine stability for curves of genus $g \ge 2$ relative to a fixed cyclic extension of degree ℓ^n

Fix $g \ge 2$, and consider a cyclic Galois extension L/K of degree ℓ^n .

The "N(g) Conjecture" implies: For $\ell \gg_g 0$ (and all $n \ge 1$) all but finitely many curves of genus g over Kare Diophantine Stable for L/K.

Diophantine stability for a fixed curve of genus $g \ge 1$ relative to varying cyclic extensions of degree ℓ^n

Theorem (Joint with Karl Rubin—with an appendix by M.Larsen)

Let X be an irreducible nonsingular projective curve of genus > 0 defined over a number field K. Then

Diophantine stability for a fixed curve of genus $g \ge 1$ relative to varying cyclic extensions of degree ℓ^n

Theorem (Joint with Karl Rubin—with an appendix by M.Larsen)

Let X be an irreducible nonsingular projective curve of genus > 0 defined over a number field K. Then

► there is a finite extension K'/K and a set of rational primes S of positive density such that for any positive integer n, and for all l ∈ S,

Diophantine stability for a fixed curve of genus $g \ge 1$ relative to varying cyclic extensions of degree ℓ^n

Theorem (Joint with Karl Rubin—with an appendix by M.Larsen)

Let X be an irreducible nonsingular projective curve of genus > 0 defined over a number field K. Then

- ► there is a finite extension K'/K and a set of rational primes S of positive density such that for any positive integer n, and for all l ∈ S,
- ► there are infinitely many cyclic extension fields L/K' of degree lⁿ such that X(K') = X(L).

Diophantine stability for (absolutely) simple abelian varieties

Theorem (Joint with Karl Rubin)

Let A be an absolutely simple abelian variety over a number field K. Assume all endomorphisms of A are defined over K. Then

Diophantine stability for (absolutely) simple abelian varieties

Theorem (Joint with Karl Rubin)

Let A be an absolutely simple abelian variety over a number field K. Assume all endomorphisms of A are defined over K. Then

► there is a set of rational primes S of positive density such that for any positive integer n, and for all l ∈ S,

Diophantine stability for (absolutely) simple abelian varieties

Theorem (Joint with Karl Rubin)

Let A be an absolutely simple abelian variety over a number field K. Assume all endomorphisms of A are defined over K. Then

- ► there is a set of rational primes S of positive density such that for any positive integer n, and for all l ∈ S,
- ► there are infinitely many cyclic extension fields L/K of degree ℓⁿ such that A(K) = A(L).



1. A typical further question

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

- 2. An application
- 3. Methods

A typical further question

For any abelian variety A over a number field K, simple or not, and for $\ell \gg_{A/K} 0$, and any positive integer n,

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

is A diophantine stable for infinitely many cyclic extensions L/K of degree ℓ^n ?

For any abelian variety A over a number field K, simple or not, and for $\ell \gg_{A/K} 0$, and any positive integer n,

is A diophantine stable for infinitely many cyclic extensions L/K of degree ℓ^n ?

(Or even for a set of cyclic extensions L/K of degree ℓ^n of "density 1"?)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

When A is an elliptic curve over **Q** can we replace $\ell \gg 0$ in the above question by $\ell > 5$?

Discuss computations of David-Fearnley-Kisilevsky of statistics for $L(E, \chi, 1)$ guided by random matrix heuristics.

Applications of diophantine stability results for elliptic curves to Hilbert's Tenth Problem

To transport *diophantine undecidability* from the ring of integers of one field K to the ring of integers of a larger field L one uses the existence of elliptic curves that

- possess rational points of infinite order over the smaller field K, and
- are diophantine-stable for the extension L/K.

A Bootstrap Method

A Bootstrap Method

Starting with the classical work of Matiyasevich:

There is no finite algorithm to determine whether polynomials with coefficients in the ring $A = \mathbf{Z}$ have solutions in A,

try to work your way up towers of number fields, to "transport" the same negative result for A = the rings of integers in those number fields.

Transporting diophantine definitions of rings of integers

(Using work of Cornelissen-Pheidas-Zahidi, Poonen, Shlapentokh, Eisentrager.)

Let $K \subset L$ be number fields. If there exists an elliptic curve E over K having (a) infinitely many rational points over K

and

(b) the diophantine-stability property for the extension L/K:

E(K)=E(L),

Transporting negative solutions to Hilbert's Tenth Problem

then there exists a a diophantine definition of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$. In particular, if Hilbert's Tenth Problem has a negative answer for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{K}}$ it also has a negative answer for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Using this work, and diophantine stability results, Karl Rubin and I showed:

Corollary 1: Conditional on the 2-primary part of the Shafarevich-Tate Conjecture, Hilbert's Tenth problem has a negative answer for any commutative ring A that is of infinite cardinality, and is finitely generated over Z.

Uncountably many fields of algebraic numbers

and combining our results with those of Alexandra Shlapentokh we showed (unconditionally):

Corollary 2: Let p be any prime number (or ∞).

There are uncountably many subfields K of the field of algebraic numbers in \mathbf{Q}_p in which:

there is a *first order definition* of Z in K.

(The first-order theory for any such field K—and for its ring of algebraic numbers—is undecidable.)

The Method

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

The Method

Selmer groups in the relative context

The Method

Selmer groups in the relative context

Let

- *l* be a rational prime,
- ► A be a simple abelian variety over a number field K such that all of its endomorphisms are defined over K,

- λ a prime ideal dividing ℓ in the center of the ring of endomorphisms of A,
- L/K any cyclic extension of ℓ -power order,

The Selmer group relative to L/K

We define a subgroup of the cohomology group $H^1(K, A[\lambda])$ by imposing certain 'local conditions' on cohomology classes in $H^1(K, A[\lambda])$. These 'local conditions' are related to the specific extension L/K but are all imposed on this same cohomology group: $H^1(K, A[\lambda])$.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \operatorname{Sel}_{\lambda}(A; L/K) & \subset & H^{1}(K, A[\lambda]) \\ & & | \\ & & | \\ \text{finite dimensional} & & \text{infinite dimensional} \end{array}$$

・ロト ・ 西ト ・ モト ・ モー ・ つへぐ

Relative Selmer giving a criterion implying Diophantine Stability

Let A be an abelian variety over K. Then:

For $\ell \gg 0$, and λ a prime above ℓ in the field of fractions of the center of the endomorphism ring of *A*, then:

 $S_{\lambda}(A; L/K) = 0$ implies that A is diophantine-stable for the extension L/K.

Dirichlet characters and cyclic extensions

A Dirichlet character over K of order ℓ^n cuts out a cyclic extension L/K of degree ℓ^n . We will keep our eye on the Relative Selmer group as it changes as we move from one cyclic extension, L/K, of degree ℓ^n to sequence of other cyclic extensions. We make our moves by suitably multiplying the character χ that cuts out L/K by an appropriate product of local characters to obtain these other cyclic extensions:

 $L_1/K, L_2/K, L_3/K \dots$

We keep track of the changes in the 'local conditions' that define the relative Selmer groups as we pass from one cyclic extension L/K to another.

The fundamental glue

For any cyclic extension L/K of degree ℓ^n , the relative Selmer group lies in the same

 $H^1(K, A[\lambda]),$

I.e., the ambient Galois cohomology group is independent of the extension $L/{\cal K},$

The fundamental glue

For any cyclic extension L/K of degree ℓ^n , the relative Selmer group lies in the same

 $H^1(K, A[\lambda]),$

I.e., the ambient Galois cohomology group is independent of the extension $L/{\cal K},$

but the twisted Selmer subgroup is defined by local conditions that are specifically related to the extension L/K.

Negotiating smaller Selmer rank

The method, at this point, is to start with one cyclic extension L_0/K and modify the character χ_0 cutting it out so as to change the local conditions (sequentially) in a way that defines a sequence of cyclic extension L_i/K whose relative Selmer groups have smaller and smaller dimensions (over \mathbf{F}_{ℓ}). Ultimately, we want to get a profusion of such L/K's with trivial relative Selmer groups.

silent primes and critical primes

There is a fundamental—but easy to describe—requirement for this technique to work:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

silent primes and critical primes

There is a fundamental—but easy to describe—requirement for this technique to work:

the existence (for $\ell \gg 0$) of what we call **critical elements** and **silent elements** in the Galois group $Gal(\bar{K}/K)$ relative to its action on $A[\lambda]$.

Discuss.

Work of Faltings, Serre, Nori, Pink, Larsen

Theorem: (M. Larsen) Let A be an absolutely simple abelian variety over a number field K. Assume the endomorphism ring of A (over C) is defined over K. Let R := End(A).

There exists a positive density set of primes ℓ for which for λ a place of the center of R above ℓ has the property that $\operatorname{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ contains:

- "Silent elements": there exist elements
 g₀ ∈ Gal(K̄/K^{ab}) possessing no nontrivial fixed vectors in
 their action on A[λ]; and
- "Critical elements": there exist elements g₁ ∈ Gal(K̄/K^{ab}) such that the fixed subspace of the action of g₁ on A[λ] is a nontrivial simple R-module.

Existence of critical elements, given the existence of silent elements

Proposition (M. Larsen)

For every positive integer *n*, there exists a positive integer *N* such that if ℓ is a prime congruent to 1 (mod *N*), *G* is a simply connected, split semisimple algebraic group over \mathbf{F}_{ℓ} , and $\rho: G(\mathbf{F}_{\ell}) \to \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbf{F}_{\ell})$ is an almost faithful absolutely irreducible representation such that $(\mathbf{F}_{\ell}^n)^{\rho(g_0)} = (0)$ for some $g_0 \in G(\mathbf{F}_{\ell})$, then there exists $g_1 \in G(\mathbf{F}_{\ell})$ such that

$$\dim(\mathbf{F}_{\ell}^n)^{\rho(g_1)} = 1.$$

(Often one finds the appropriate element g_1 in the image of a principal homomorphism of SL_2 into G.)

Remarks on the existence of silent elements

This uses the work of Richard Pink on classification of Galois actions related to weak Mumford-Tate types with weights 0, 1.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

A Corollary:

Let $p \ge 23$ and $p \ne 37$; 43; 67; 163. Then uncountably many subfields F in \mathbf{Q}^{alg} have the property that no elliptic curve defined over F possesses an F-rational subgroup of order p.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <