Jacobi triple product via the exclusion process

Joint with
Ross Bowen

Márton Balázs

University of Bristol

University of Sussex MASS Seminar
4 December, 2018.

Jacobi triple product

Theorem

Let $|x| < 1$ *and* $y \neq 0$ *be complex numbers. Then*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1 - x^{2i})\left(1 + \frac{x^{2i-1}}{y^2}\right)(1 + x^{2i-1}y^2) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} x^{m^2} y^{2m}.$$

Mostly appears in number theory and combinatorics of partitions.

We'll prove it using interacting particles (for real x, y only).

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0,\ 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0,\ 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0,\, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0,\ 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0,\ 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0,\, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0,\ 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0,\ 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0,\ 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0,\ 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0,\ 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0,\, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0,\, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0,\, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0,\ 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}$.

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

Asymmetric simple exclusion



$$\eta_i \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Particles try to jump

to the right with rate p,
to the left with rate $q = 1 - p < p$.

The jump is suppressed if the destination site is occupied by another particle.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump
to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump
- to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
- to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump
to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump
to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump
to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump
to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump
to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process



$$\omega_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Particles jump
to the right with rate $p \cdot r(\omega_i)$
to the left with rate $q \cdot r(\omega_i)$.

The asymmetric zero range process

We need r non-decreasing and assume, as before, $q = 1 - p < p$.

Examples:

- ‘Classical’ ZRP: $r(\omega_i) = \mathbf{1}\{\omega_i > 0\}$.
- Independent walkers: $r(\omega_i) = \omega_i$.

Product blocking measures

Can we have a reversible stationary distribution in product form:

$$\underline{\mu}(\underline{\omega}) = \bigotimes_i \mu_i(\omega_i);$$

$$\underline{\mu}(\underline{\omega}) \cdot \text{rate}\big(\underline{\omega} \to \underline{\omega}^{i\curvearrowright i+1}\big) = \underline{\mu}\big(\underline{\omega}^{i\curvearrowright i+1}\big) \cdot \text{rate}\big(\underline{\omega}^{i\curvearrowright i+1} \to \underline{\omega}\big) \quad ?$$

Here

$$\underline{\omega}^{i\curvearrowright i+1} = \underline{\omega} - \underline{\delta}_i + \underline{\delta}_{i+1}.$$

Asymmetric simple exclusion

$$\underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta}) \cdot \text{rate}\big(\underline{\eta} \to \underline{\eta}^{i \curvearrowright i+1}\big) = \underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta}^{i \curvearrowright i+1}) \cdot \text{rate}\big(\underline{\eta}^{i \curvearrowright i+1} \to \underline{\eta}\big)$$

ASEP: $\mu_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\varrho_i)$;



$\underline{\eta}$

$$\varrho_i(1-\varrho_{i+1}) \cdot p = (1-\varrho_i)\varrho_{i+1} \cdot q$$

Solution: $$\varrho_i = \frac{(\frac{p}{q})^{i-c}}{1+(\frac{p}{q})^{i-c}} = \frac{1}{(\frac{q}{p})^{i-c}+1}$$



Asymmetric simple exclusion

$$\underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta}) \cdot \text{rate}\big(\underline{\eta} \to \underline{\eta}^{i \curvearrowright i+1}\big) = \underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta}^{i \curvearrowright i+1}) \cdot \text{rate}\big(\underline{\eta}^{i \curvearrowright i+1} \to \underline{\eta}\big)$$

ASEP: $\mu_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\varrho_i)$;



$\underline{\eta}^{i \curvearrowright i+1}$

$$\varrho_i(1 - \varrho_{i+1}) \cdot p = (1 - \varrho_i)\varrho_{i+1} \cdot q$$

Solution: $$\varrho_i = \frac{(\frac{p}{q})^{i-c}}{1 + (\frac{p}{q})^{i-c}} = \frac{1}{(\frac{q}{p})^{i-c} + 1}$$



Asymmetric zero range process

$$\underline{\mu}(\underline{\omega}) \cdot \text{rate}\big(\underline{\omega} \to \underline{\omega}^{i\curvearrowright i+1}\big) = \underline{\mu}(\underline{\omega}^{i\curvearrowright i+1}) \cdot \text{rate}\big(\underline{\omega}^{i\curvearrowright i+1} \to \underline{\omega}\big) \quad ?$$

AZRP:

$$\mu_i(\omega_i)\mu_{i+1}(\omega_{i+1}) \cdot p\mathbf{1}\{\omega_i > 0\} = \mu_i(\omega_i - 1)\mu_{i+1}(\omega_{i+1} + 1) \cdot q$$

Solution: $\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$

State space: ASEP

Notice:

$$\mathbf{P}\{\eta_i = 0\} = 1 - \varrho_i = \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{p}{q})^{i-c}} \qquad \text{as } i \to \infty$$

$$\mathbf{P}\{\eta_i = 1\} = \varrho_i = \frac{1}{(\frac{q}{p})^{i-c} + 1} \qquad \text{as } i \to -\infty$$

are both summable. Hence by Borel-Cantelli there is $\underline{\mu}$-a.s. a rightmost hole and a leftmost particle,

$$N := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1 - \eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0} \eta_i$$

is $\underline{\mu}$-a.s. finite.

State space: ASEP

$$N(\underline{\eta}) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$1 = 3 - 2$$



Also: it is conserved. Define

$$\Omega^n := \{0,\,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \{N(\underline{\eta}) = n\},$$

the irreducible components of the state space.

$$\underline{\mu}\Big(\bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\Omega^n\Big) = 1.$$

State space: ASEP

$$N(\underline{\eta}) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$1 = 3 - 2$$



Also: it is conserved. Define

$$\Omega^n := \{0,\,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \{N(\underline{\eta}) = n\},$$

the irreducible components of the state space.

$$\underline{\mu}\Big(\bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\Omega^n\Big) = 1.$$

State space: ASEP

$$N(\underline{\eta}) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$1 = 3 - 2$$



Also: it is conserved. Define

$$\Omega^n := \{0,\,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \{N(\underline{\eta}) = n\},$$

the irreducible components of the state space.

$$\underline{\mu}\Big(\bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\Omega^n\Big) = 1.$$

State space: ASEP

$$N(\underline{\eta}) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$1 = 3 - 2$$



Also: it is conserved. Define

$$\Omega^n := \{0,\ 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \{N(\underline{\eta}) = n\},$$

the irreducible components of the state space.

$$\underline{\mu}\Big(\bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\Omega^n\Big) = 1.$$

State space: ASEP

$$N(\underline{\eta}) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$1 = 3 - 2$$



Also: it is conserved. Define

$$\Omega^n := \{0,\,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \{N(\underline{\eta}) = n\},$$

the irreducible components of the state space.

$$\underline{\mu}\Big(\bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\Omega^n\Big) = 1.$$

State space: ASEP

$$N(\underline{\eta}) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$1 = 3 - 2$$



Also: it is conserved. Define

$$\Omega^n := \{0,\,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \{N(\underline{\eta}) = n\},$$

the irreducible components of the state space.

$$\underline{\mu}\Big(\bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\Omega^n\Big) = 1.$$

State space: ASEP

$$N(\underline{\eta}) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$1 = 2 - 1$$



Also: it is conserved. Define

$$\Omega^n := \{0,\,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \{N(\underline{\eta}) = n\},$$

the irreducible components of the state space.

$$\underline{\mu}\Big(\bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\Omega^n\Big) = 1.$$

State space: ASEP

$$N(\underline{\eta}) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0} \eta_i$$

$$1 = 2 - 1$$



Also: it is conserved. Define

$$\Omega^n := \{0,\,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \{N(\underline{\eta}) = n\},$$

the irreducible components of the state space.

$$\underline{\mu}\Big(\bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega^n\Big) = 1.$$

State space: ASEP

$$N(\underline{\eta}) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$1 = 2 - 1$$



Also: it is conserved. Define

$$\Omega^n := \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \{N(\underline{\eta}) = n\},$$

the irreducible components of the state space.

$$\underline{\mu}\Big(\bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\Omega^n\Big) = 1.$$

State space: ASEP

$$N(\underline{\eta}) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0} \eta_i$$

$$1 = 2 - 1$$



Also: it is conserved. Define

$$\Omega^n := \{0,\,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \{N(\underline{\eta}) = n\},$$

the irreducible components of the state space.

$$\underline{\mu}\Big(\bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega^n\Big) = 1.$$

State space: ASEP

$$N(\underline{\eta}) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0} \eta_i$$

$$1 = 2 - 1$$



Also: it is conserved. Define

$$\Omega^n := \{0,\ 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \cap \{N(\underline{\eta}) = n\},$$

the irreducible components of the state space.

$$\underline{\mu}\Big(\bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \Omega^n\Big) = 1.$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$N = 3 - 2 = 1$$



$$\tau : \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$N = 3 - 2 = 1$$



$$\tau \; : \; \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$N = 3 - 2 = 1$$



$$\tau\ :\ \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$N = 3 - 2 = 1$$



$$\tau\ :\ \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$N = 3 - 2 = 1$$



$$\tau : \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1 - \eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0} \eta_i$$

$$N = 3 - 2 = 1$$



$$\tau : \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0} \eta_i$$

$$N = 2 - 2 = 0$$



$$\tau\ :\ \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$N = 2 - 2 = 0$$



$$\tau : \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$N = 2 - 2 = 0$$



$$\tau\ :\ \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$N = 2 - 2 = 0$$



$$\tau\ :\ \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1 - \eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0} \eta_i$$

$$N = 2 - 2 = 0$$



$$\tau \;:\; \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$N = 2 - 2 = 0$$



$$\tau\ :\ \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$N = 2 - 2 = 0$$



$$\tau\ :\ \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$N = 2 - 2 = 0$$



$$\tau \,:\, \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1 - \eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0} \eta_i$$

$$N = 2 - 2 = 0$$



$$\tau \ : \ \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$N = 2 - 2 = 0$$



$$\tau : \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$N = 2 - 3 = -1$$



$$\tau\ :\ \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$N = 2 - 3 = -1$$



$$\tau : \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1 - \eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0} \eta_i$$

$$N = 2 - 3 = -1$$



$$\tau : \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$N = 2 - 3 = -1$$



$$\tau : \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Left shift: $(\tau\underline{\eta})_i = \eta_{i+1}$.

$$N(\tau\underline{\eta}) = N(\underline{\eta}) - 1$$

$$N(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-\eta_i) - \sum_{i=-\infty}^{0}\eta_i$$

$$N = 2 - 3 = \text{-}1$$



$$\tau\ :\ \Omega^n \to \Omega^{n-1}$$

State space: ASEP

Recall

$$\varrho_i = \frac{(\frac{p}{q})^{i-c}}{1+(\frac{p}{q})^{i-c}},$$



but

$$\underline{\nu}^n(\cdot) := \underline{\mu}(\cdot \mid N(\cdot) = n)$$

doesn't depend on c anymore. Stationary measure on Ω^n.

State space: ASEP



$$\underline{\mu}(\cdot) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \underline{\mu}(\cdot \mid N(\cdot) = n)\,\underline{\mu}(N(\cdot) = n) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \underline{\nu}^n(\cdot)\,\underline{\mu}(N(\cdot) = n).$$

Ergodic decomposition of $\underline{\mu}$.

Let's find the coefficients $\underline{\mu}(N(\cdot) = n)$!

State space: ASEP

Recall:

$$\varrho_i = \frac{\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}}{1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}} = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1}$$

$$\underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta}) = \prod_{i\le 0} \frac{\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c)\eta_i}}{1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}} \cdot \prod_{i>0} \frac{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c)(1-\eta_i)}}{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c)\eta_i}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>0}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c)(1-\eta_i)}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)}$$

State space: ASEP

Recall:

$$\varrho_i = \frac{(\frac{p}{q})^{i-c}}{1 + (\frac{p}{q})^{i-c}} = \frac{1}{(\frac{q}{p})^{i-c} + 1}$$

$$\underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta}) = \prod_{i\le 0} \frac{\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c)\eta_i}}{1 + \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}} \cdot \prod_{i>0} \frac{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c)(1-\eta_i)}}{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c} + 1}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c)\eta_i}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1 + \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>0}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c)(1-\eta_i)}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c} + 1\right)}$$

State space: ASEP

$$\underline{\mu}(\tau\underline{\eta}) = \frac{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c)\eta_{i+1}}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>0}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c)(1-\eta_{i+1})}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)}$$

State space: ASEP

$$\underline{\mu}(\tau\underline{\eta}) = \frac{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c)\eta_{i+1}}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>0}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c)(1-\eta_{i+1})}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i\le 1}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c-1)\eta_{i}}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>1}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c-1)(1-\eta_{i})}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)}$$

State space: ASEP

$$\underline{\mu}(\tau\underline{\eta}) = \frac{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c)\eta_{i+1}}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>0}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c)(1-\eta_{i+1})}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i\le 1}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c-1)\eta_{i}}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>1}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c-1)(1-\eta_{i})}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c-1)\eta_{i}}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>0}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c-1)(1-\eta_{i})}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)} \cdot \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{-c}$$

State space: ASEP

$$\underline{\mu}(\tau\underline{\eta}) = \frac{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c)\eta_{i+1}}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>0}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c)(1-\eta_{i+1})}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i\le 1}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c-1)\eta_i}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>1}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c-1)(1-\eta_i)}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c-1)\eta_i}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>0}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c-1)(1-\eta_i)}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)} \cdot \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{-c}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c)\eta_i}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>0}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c)(1-\eta_i)}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)} \cdot \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{N(\underline{\eta})-c}$$

State space: ASEP

$$\underline{\mu}(\tau\underline{\eta}) = \frac{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c)\eta_{i+1}}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>0}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c)(1-\eta_{i+1})}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i\le 1}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c-1)\eta_{i}}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>1}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c-1)(1-\eta_{i})}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c-1)\eta_{i}}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>0}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c-1)(1-\eta_{i})}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)} \cdot \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{-c}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c)\eta_{i}}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>0}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c)(1-\eta_{i})}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)} \cdot \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{N(\underline{\eta})-c}$$

$$= \underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta}) \cdot \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{N(\underline{\eta})-c}.$$

State space: ASEP

So,

$$\underline{\mu}(N = n - 1) = \sum_{\underline{\eta}:N(\underline{\eta})=n-1} \underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta})$$

State space: ASEP

So,

$$\underline{\mu}(N = n - 1) = \sum_{\underline{\eta}:N(\underline{\eta})=n-1} \underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta}) = \sum_{\underline{\eta}:N(\tau\underline{\eta})=n-1} \underline{\mu}(\tau\underline{\eta})$$

State space: ASEP

So,

$$\underline{\mu}(N = n-1) = \sum_{\underline{\eta}:N(\underline{\eta})=n-1} \underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta})$$

$$= \sum_{\underline{\eta}:N(\tau\underline{\eta})=n-1} \underline{\mu}(\tau\underline{\eta})$$

$$= \sum_{\underline{\eta}:N(\underline{\eta})=n} \underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta}) \cdot \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{n-c}$$

State space: ASEP

So,

$$\underline{\mu}(N = n-1) = \sum_{\underline{\eta}:N(\underline{\eta})=n-1} \underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta})$$

$$= \sum_{\underline{\eta}:N(\tau\underline{\eta})=n-1} \underline{\mu}(\tau\underline{\eta})$$

$$= \sum_{\underline{\eta}:N(\underline{\eta})=n} \underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta}) \cdot \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{n-c}$$

State space: ASEP

So,

$$\underline{\mu}(N = n - 1) = \sum_{\underline{\eta}:N(\underline{\eta})=n-1} \underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta})$$

$$= \sum_{\underline{\eta}:N(\tau\underline{\eta})=n-1} \underline{\mu}(\tau\underline{\eta})$$

$$= \sum_{\underline{\eta}:N(\underline{\eta})=n} \underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta}) \cdot \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{n-c}$$

$$= \underline{\mu}(N = n) \cdot \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{n-c}.$$

State space: ASEP

So,

$$\underline{\mu}(N = n-1) = \sum_{\underline{\eta}:N(\underline{\eta})=n-1} \underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta})$$
$$= \sum_{\underline{\eta}:N(\tau\underline{\eta})=n-1} \underline{\mu}(\tau\underline{\eta})$$
$$= \sum_{\underline{\eta}:N(\underline{\eta})=n} \underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta}) \cdot \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{n-c}$$
$$= \underline{\mu}(N = n) \cdot \left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{n-c}.$$

Solution:

$$\underline{\mu}(N = n) = \frac{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{n^2+n}{2}-cn}}{\sum \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{m^2+m}{2}-cm}}$$

discrete Gaussian.

State space: ASEP

and, if $N(\underline{\eta}) = n$,

$$\underline{\nu}^n(\underline{\eta}) = \underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta} \mid N(\underline{\eta}) = n) = \frac{\underline{\mu}(\underline{\eta})}{\underline{\mu}(N(\underline{\eta}) = n)}$$

$$= \frac{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c)\eta_i}}{\prod_{i\le 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>0}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c)(1-\eta_i)}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)} \cdot \frac{\sum\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{m^2+m}{2}-cm}}{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{n^2+n}{2}-cn}}.$$

This is the unique stationary distribution on Ω^n.

State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i - \text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i - \text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i - \text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i - \text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i - \text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i - \text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i - \text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



State space: AZRP

Recall: Stationary distribution with marginals

$$\mu_i \sim \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-\text{const}}\Big).$$

$\rightsquigarrow$ we have a problem: cannot do this for all i! We'll pick const $= 1$ and have a *right boundary* instead.



$\rightsquigarrow$ The product measure stays stationary on the half-line.

Lay down / stand up

ASEP



Lay down / stand up

ASEP



Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up

ASEP



Lay down / stand up

ASEP



Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up

ASEP



Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up

ASEP



Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up

ASEP



Lay down / stand up

ASEP





Lay down / stand up

ASEP





Lay down / stand up

ASEP



Lay down / stand up

ASEP





Lay down / stand up

ASEP





Lay down / stand up

ASEP





Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up

ASEP





Lay down / stand up

ASEP



AZRP



Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up



Lay down / stand up



ASEP $\stackrel{T^n}{=}$ AZRP

$$\underline{\nu}^n \stackrel{T^n}{=} \prod_{i \le 0} \text{Geometric}\Big(1 - \Big(\frac{p}{q}\Big)^{i-1}\Big)$$

since stationary distributions of countable irreducible Markov chains are unique.

Jacobi triple product

ASEP ($\underline{\eta}$)



AZRP ($\underline{\omega}$)



$$\eta_i = \mathbf{1}\{i \geq 1\}, \qquad N(\underline{\eta}) = 0, \qquad \omega_i \equiv 0.$$

$$\underline{\nu}^0(\underline{\eta}) = \frac{\prod_{i\leq 0}\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{(i-c)\cdot 0}}{\prod_{i\leq 0}\left(1+\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-c}\right)} \cdot \frac{\prod_{i>0}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{(i-c)\cdot(1-1)}}{\prod_{i>0}\left(\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{i-c}+1\right)} \cdot \frac{\sum\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{m^2+m}{2}-cm}}{\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{0^2+0}{2}-c\cdot 0}}$$

$$\underline{\mu}(\underline{\omega}) = \prod_{i\leq 0}\left(1-\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{i-1}\right)$$

Jacobi triple product

$$\prod_{i\leq 0}(1-(\frac{p}{q})^{i-1})\cdot\prod_{i\leq 0}(1+(\frac{p}{q})^{i-c})\cdot\prod_{i>0}((\frac{q}{p})^{i-c}+1)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{m^2+m}{2}-cm}$$

<u>LHS:</u>

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-(\frac{q}{p})^{i})\cdot(1+(\frac{q}{p})^{i-1+c})\cdot((\frac{q}{p})^{i-c}+1)$$

$$=\prod_{i=1}^{\infty}(1-x^{2i})\left(1+\frac{x^{2i-1}}{y^2}\right)(1+x^{2i-1}y^2)$$

with $x=\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $y=\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{c}{2}}$.

<u>RHS:</u>

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{m^2}{2}}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{m(\frac{1}{2}-c)}=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}x^{m^2}y^{2m}.$$

□

Further models

Product blocking measures are very general.

Further models

Product blocking measures are very general.

- ASEP

Further models

Product blocking measures are very general.

- ASEP
- K-exclusion (!)

Further models

Product blocking measures are very general.

- ASEP
- K-exclusion (!)
- All zero range processes ("classical", independent walkers, q-zero range)

Further models

Product blocking measures are very general.

- ASEP
- K-exclusion (!)
- All zero range processes (“classical”, independent walkers, q-zero range)
- Misanthrope / bricklayers processes

Further models

Product blocking measures are very general.

- ASEP
- K-exclusion (!)
- All zero range processes (“classical”, independent walkers, q-zero range)
- Misanthrope / bricklayers processes

Other models can be *stood up*:

Further models

Product blocking measures are very general.

- ASEP
- K-exclusion (!)
- All zero range processes ("classical", independent walkers, q-zero range)
- Misanthrope / bricklayers processes

Other models can be *stood up*:

- ASEP

Further models

Product blocking measures are very general.

- ASEP
- *K*-exclusion (!)
- All zero range processes (“classical”, independent walkers, *q*-zero range)
- Misanthrope / bricklayers processes

Other models can be *stood up*:

- ASEP
- *q*-exclusion

Further models

Product blocking measures are very general.

- ASEP
- K-exclusion (!)
- All zero range processes ("classical", independent walkers, q-zero range)
- Misanthrope / bricklayers processes

Other models can be *stood up*:

- ASEP
- q-exclusion
- Katz-Lebowitz-Spohn model

Further models

Product blocking measures are very general.

- ASEP
- K-exclusion (!)
- All zero range processes ("classical", independent walkers, q-zero range)
- Misanthrope / bricklayers processes

Other models can be *stood up*:

- ASEP
- q-exclusion
- Katz-Lebowitz-Spohn model

Further models

Product blocking measures are very general.

- ASEP
- K-exclusion (!)
- All zero range processes ("classical", independent walkers, q-zero range)
- Misanthrope / bricklayers processes

Other models can be *stood up*:

- ASEP
- q-exclusion
- Katz-Lebowitz-Spohn model

The point was that ASEP is in both lists.

Thank you.