# Information-Theoretic Limits of Group Testing: Phase Transitions, Noisy Tests, and Partial Recovery

Jonathan Scarlett jonathan.scarlett@epfl.ch

Laboratory for Information and Inference Systems (LIONS) École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) Switzerland

> Bristol Statistics Seminar [October, 2015]

<u>Joint work with</u> Volkan Cevher @ LIONS



















- In this talk:
  - Defective set  $S \sim \text{Uniform} {p \choose k}$
  - Measurement matrix  $\mathbf{X} \sim \prod_{i=1}^{n'} \prod_{j=1}^{p} P_X(x_{i,j})$  with  $P_X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\nu/k)$





- In this talk:
  - Defective set  $S \sim \text{Uniform} {p \choose k}$
  - Measurement matrix  $\mathbf{X} \sim \prod_{i=1}^{n'} \prod_{j=1}^{p} P_X(x_{i,j})$  with  $P_X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\nu/k)$
- Perfect recovery

$$P_{\mathbf{e}} := \mathbb{P}[\hat{S} \neq S]$$

Partial recovery

$$P_{\mathbf{e}}(d_{\max}) := \mathbb{P}\left[ |S \backslash \hat{S}| > d_{\max} \cup |\hat{S} \backslash S| > d_{\max} \right]$$







- In this talk:
  - Defective set  $S \sim \text{Uniform} {p \choose k}$
  - Measurement matrix  $\mathbf{X} \sim \prod_{i=1}^{n'} \prod_{j=1}^{p} P_X(x_{i,j})$  with  $P_X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\nu/k)$
- Perfect recovery

$$P_{\mathbf{e}} := \mathbb{P}[\hat{S} \neq S]$$

Partial recovery

lions@epfl

$$P_{\mathbf{e}}(d_{\max}) := \mathbb{P}\left[ |S \setminus \hat{S}| > d_{\max} \cup |\hat{S} \setminus S| > d_{\max} \right]$$

• Goal: Conditions on n for  $P_{\rm e} \rightarrow 0$  or  $P_{\rm e}(d_{\rm max}) \rightarrow 0$ 



Observation model

$$Y = \mathbf{1} \bigg\{ \bigcup_{i \in S} \{X_i = 1\} \bigg\}$$

• Bernoulli measurements, sparsity  $k = O(p^{\theta})$ 







Observation model

$$Y = \mathbf{1} \bigg\{ \bigcup_{i \in S} \{X_i = 1\} \bigg\}$$

• Bernoulli measurements, sparsity  $k = O(p^{\theta})$ 

• Sufficient for  $P_e \rightarrow 0$ :

$$n \ge \inf_{\nu > 0} \max\left\{\frac{\theta}{e^{-\nu}\nu(1-\theta)}, \frac{1}{H_2(e^{-\nu})}\right\} \left(k\log\frac{p}{k}\right)(1+\eta)$$

$$\blacktriangleright$$
 Necessary for  $P_{\rm e}\not\to 1$ : 
$$n\geq \frac{k\log\frac{p}{k}}{\log 2}(1-\eta)$$





Key Implication: i.i.d. Bernoulli measurements are asymptotically as good as optimal adaptive measurements when  $k = O(p^{1/3})$ .





Observation model

$$Y = \mathbf{1} \left\{ \bigcup_{i \in S} \{X_i = 1\} \right\} \oplus Z$$

where  $Z \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\rho)$ 

• Bernoulli measurements, sparsity  $k = O(p^{\theta})$ 





Observation model

$$Y = \mathbf{1} \bigg\{ \bigcup_{i \in S} \{X_i = 1\} \bigg\} \oplus Z$$

where  $Z \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\rho)$ 

- Bernoulli measurements, sparsity  $k = O(p^{\theta})$
- Sufficient for  $P_e \rightarrow 0$ :

$$n \geq \inf_{\delta_2 \in (0,1)} \max\left\{ \zeta(\rho, \delta_2, \theta), \frac{1}{\log 2 - H_2(\rho)} \right\} \left(k \log \frac{p}{k}\right) (1+\eta)$$

where

$$\zeta(\rho, \delta_2, \theta) := \frac{2}{\log 2} \max \bigg\{ \frac{2(1 + \frac{1}{3}\delta_2(1 - 2\rho))\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta}}{\delta_2^2(1 - 2\rho)^2}, \frac{\frac{1 + 2\theta}{1 - \theta}}{(1 - 2\rho)\log\frac{1 - \rho}{\rho}(1 - \delta_2)} \bigg\}.$$





Observation model

$$Y = \mathbf{1} \bigg\{ \bigcup_{i \in S} \{X_i = 1\} \bigg\} \oplus Z$$

where  $Z \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\rho)$ 

- Bernoulli measurements, sparsity  $k = O(p^{\theta})$
- Sufficient for  $P_e \rightarrow 0$ :

$$n \geq \inf_{\delta_2 \in (0,1)} \max\left\{\zeta(\rho, \delta_2, \theta), \frac{1}{\log 2 - H_2(\rho)}\right\} \left(k \log \frac{p}{k}\right) (1+\eta)$$

where

$$\zeta(\rho, \delta_2, \theta) := \frac{2}{\log 2} \max \bigg\{ \frac{2(1 + \frac{1}{3}\delta_2(1 - 2\rho))\frac{\theta}{1 - \theta}}{\delta_2^2(1 - 2\rho)^2}, \frac{\frac{1 + 2\theta}{1 - \theta}}{(1 - 2\rho)\log\frac{1 - \rho}{\rho}(1 - \delta_2)} \bigg\}.$$

• Necessary for 
$$P_e \not\rightarrow 1$$
:

$$n \le \frac{k \log \frac{p}{k}}{\log 2 - H_2(\rho)} (1 - \eta)$$





Key Implication: i.i.d. Bernoulli measurements are asymptotically as good as optimal adaptive measurements when  $k = O(p^{\theta})$  for sufficiently small  $\theta$ .



Recovery criterion

$$P_{\mathrm{e}}(d_{\mathrm{max}}) := \mathbb{P}\Big[|S \backslash \hat{S}| > d_{\mathrm{max}} \cup |\hat{S} \backslash S| > d_{\mathrm{max}}\Big]$$

where  $d_{\max} = \lfloor \alpha^* k \rfloor$  for some  $\alpha^* \in (0, 1)$ 







Recovery criterion

$$P_{e}(d_{\max}) := \mathbb{P}\left[|S \setminus \hat{S}| > d_{\max} \cup |\hat{S} \setminus S| > d_{\max}\right]$$

where  $d_{\max} = \lfloor \alpha^* k \rfloor$  for some  $\alpha^* \in (0,1)$ 

• Sufficient for  $P_{e}(d_{\max}) \rightarrow 0$ :

$$n \ge \frac{k \log \frac{p}{k}}{\log 2 - H_2(\rho)} (1+\eta)$$

• Necessary for  $P_{\rm e}(d_{\rm max}) \not\rightarrow 1$ :

$$n \le \frac{(1-\alpha^*)k\log\frac{p}{k}}{\log 2 - H_2(\rho)}(1-\eta)$$





Recovery criterion

$$P_{\mathrm{e}}(d_{\mathrm{max}}) := \mathbb{P}\Big[|S \backslash \hat{S}| > d_{\mathrm{max}} \cup |\hat{S} \backslash S| > d_{\mathrm{max}}\Big]$$

where  $d_{\max} = \lfloor \alpha^* k \rfloor$  for some  $\alpha^* \in (0,1)$ 

• Sufficient for  $P_{\rm e}(d_{\rm max}) \rightarrow 0$ :

$$n \ge \frac{k \log \frac{p}{k}}{\log 2 - H_2(\rho)} (1+\eta)$$

• Necessary for  $P_{\rm e}(d_{\rm max}) \not\rightarrow 1$ :

$$n \leq \frac{(1-\alpha^*)k\log\frac{p}{k}}{\log 2 - H_2(\rho)}(1-\eta)$$

For small  $\theta$ , the reduction is at most a factor  $1 - \alpha^*$  asymptotically



# **Channel coding**



e.g. see [Wainwright, 2009], [Atia and Saligrama, 2012], [Aksoylar et al., 2013]







# **Thresholding Techniques for Channel Coding**

Mutual information

$$I(X; Y) := \sum_{x,y} P_{XY}(x,y) \log \frac{P_{Y|X}(y|x)}{P_Y(y)}$$







# **Thresholding Techniques for Channel Coding**

Mutual information

$$I(X; Y) := \sum_{x,y} P_{XY}(x,y) \log \frac{P_{Y|X}(y|x)}{P_Y(y)}$$

Information density

$$i(x; y) := \log \frac{P_{Y|X}(y|x)}{P_Y(y)}$$





# **Thresholding Techniques for Channel Coding**

Mutual information

$$I(X; Y) := \sum_{x,y} P_{XY}(x,y) \log \frac{P_{Y|X}(y|x)}{P_Y(y)}$$

Information density

$$i(x; y) := \log \frac{P_{Y|X}(y|x)}{P_Y(y)}$$

Non-asymptotic channel coding bounds [Han, 2003]

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\mathrm{e}} &\leq \mathbb{P} \Big[ \imath^{n}(\mathbf{X};\mathbf{Y}) \leq nR - \log \delta \Big] + \delta \\ P_{\mathrm{e}} &\geq \mathbb{P} \Big[ \imath^{n}(\mathbf{X};\mathbf{Y}) \leq nR + \log \delta \Big] - \delta \end{aligned}$$





Information density

$$\imath(x_{s_{\text{dif}}}; y | x_{s_{\text{eq}}}) := \log \frac{P_Y|_{X_{s_{\text{dif}}}} X_{s_{\text{eq}}}(y | x_{s_{\text{dif}}}, x_{s_{\text{eq}}})}{P_Y|_{X_{s_{\text{eq}}}}(y | x_{s_{\text{eq}}})}$$

where  $(s_{\mathrm{dif}}, s_{\mathrm{eq}})$  is a partition of s





Information density

$$\imath(x_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}}; y | x_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) := \log \frac{P_Y |_{X_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}} X_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}}(y | x_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}}, x_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}})}{P_Y |_{X_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}}(y | x_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}})}$$

where  $(\mathit{s}_{\mathrm{dif}}, \mathit{s}_{\mathrm{eq}})$  is a partition of  $\mathit{s}$ 

Achievability

$$P_{\mathbf{e}} \leq \mathbb{P}\bigg[\bigcup_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}, s_{\mathrm{eq}}} \bigg\{ \imath^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}}; \mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) \leq \log {\binom{p-k}{|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|}} + \Delta \bigg\} \bigg] + \delta_{1}$$



Information density

$$\imath(x_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}}; y | x_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) := \log \frac{P_{Y | X_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}} X_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}}(y | x_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}}, x_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}})}{P_{Y | X_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}}(y | x_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}})}$$

where  $(\mathit{s}_{\mathrm{dif}}, \mathit{s}_{\mathrm{eq}})$  is a partition of  $\mathit{s}$ 

Achievability

$$P_{\mathbf{e}} \leq \mathbb{P}\bigg[\bigcup_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}, s_{\mathrm{eq}}} \bigg\{ \imath^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}}; \mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) \leq \log {\binom{p-k}{|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|}} + \Delta \bigg\} \bigg] + \delta_{1}$$

Converse

$$P_{\mathbf{e}} \geq \mathbb{P}\bigg[\imath^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}}; \mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) \leq \log \binom{p-k+|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|}{|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|} + \log \delta_{1}\bigg] - \delta_{1}$$





- Decoder that searches for the unique set  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  such that

$$\imath^n(\mathbf{x}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}};\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) > \gamma_{|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|}$$

for all  $(s_{dif}, s_{eq})$  of s with  $s_{dif} \neq \emptyset$ .





- Decoder that searches for the unique set  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  such that

$$\imath^n(\mathbf{x}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}};\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) > \gamma_{|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|}$$

for all  $(s_{dif}, s_{eq})$  of s with  $s_{dif} \neq \emptyset$ .

Initial bound:

$$\begin{split} P_{\mathbf{e}} &\leq \mathbb{P}\bigg[\bigcup_{(s_{\mathrm{dif}}, s_{\mathrm{eq}})} \bigg\{ \imath^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}}; \mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) \leq \gamma_{|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|} \bigg\} \bigg] \\ &+ \sum_{\bar{s} \in \mathcal{S} \smallsetminus \{s\}} \mathbb{P}\bigg[ \imath^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{\bar{s} \setminus s}; \mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}_{\bar{s} \cap s}) > \gamma_{|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|} \bigg], \end{split}$$



• Decoder that searches for the unique set  $s \in S$  such that

$$\iota^n(\mathbf{x}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}}; \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) > \gamma_{|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|}$$

for all  $(s_{\text{dif}}, s_{\text{eq}})$  of s with  $s_{\text{dif}} \neq \emptyset$ .

Analysis of second term (with ℓ := |s̄\s|):

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\Big[\imath^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{\overline{s}\backslash s};\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_{\overline{s}\cap s}) > \gamma_{\ell}\Big] \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s},\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\backslash s},\mathbf{y}} P_{X}^{n\times(k-\ell)}(\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s}) P_{X}^{n\times\ell}(\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\backslash s}) P_{Y|X_{seq}}^{n}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s}) \\ &\times \mathbbm{1}\Big\{\log\frac{P_{Y|X_{sdif}}^{n}X_{seq}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\backslash s},\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s})}{P_{Y|X_{seq}}^{n}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\backslash s},\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s})} > \gamma_{\ell}\Big\} \end{split}$$



- Decoder that searches for the unique set  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  such that

$$\iota^n(\mathbf{x}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}}; \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) > \gamma_{|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|}$$

for all  $(s_{\text{dif}}, s_{\text{eq}})$  of s with  $s_{\text{dif}} \neq \emptyset$ .

• Analysis of second term (with  $\ell := |\bar{s} \setminus s|$ ):

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\Big[\iota^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{\overline{s}\backslash s};\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_{\overline{s}\cap s}) > \gamma_{\ell}\Big] \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s},\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\backslash s},\mathbf{y}} P_{X}^{n\times(k-\ell)}(\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s}) P_{X}^{n\times\ell}(\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\backslash s}) P_{Y|X_{\mathrm{seq}}}^{n}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s}) \\ &\times \mathbbm{1}\Big\{\log\frac{P_{Y|X_{\mathrm{sdif}}X_{\mathrm{seq}}}^{n}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\backslash s},\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s})}{P_{Y|X_{\mathrm{seq}}}^{n}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s})} > \gamma_{\ell}\Big\} \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s},\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\backslash s},\mathbf{y}} P_{X}^{n\times(k-\ell)}(\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s}) P_{X}^{n\times\ell}(\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\backslash s}) P_{Y|X_{\mathrm{sdif}}X_{\mathrm{seq}}}^{n}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\backslash s},\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s}) e^{-\gamma_{\ell}} \end{split}$$



lions@epfl

- Decoder that searches for the unique set  $s \in \mathcal{S}$  such that

$$\imath^n(\mathbf{x}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}};\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) > \gamma_{|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|}$$

for all  $(s_{dif}, s_{eq})$  of s with  $s_{dif} \neq \emptyset$ .

• Analysis of second term (with  $\ell := |\bar{s} \setminus s|$ ):

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}\Big[\imath^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{\overline{s}\backslash s};\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_{\overline{s}\cap s}) > \gamma_{\ell}\Big] \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s},\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\backslash s},\mathbf{y}} P_{X}^{n\times(k-\ell)}(\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s}) P_{X}^{n\times\ell}(\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\backslash s}) P_{Y|X_{s_{eq}}}^{n}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s}) \\ &\times \mathbbm{1}\Big\{\log\frac{P_{Y|X_{s_{dif}}X_{s_{eq}}}^{n}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\backslash s},\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s})}{P_{Y|X_{s_{eq}}}^{n}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\setminus s},\mathbf{x})} > \gamma_{\ell}\Big\} \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s},\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\backslash s},\mathbf{y}} P_{X}^{n\times(k-\ell)}(\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s}) P_{X}^{n\times\ell}(\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\backslash s}) P_{Y|X_{s_{dif}}X_{s_{eq}}}^{n}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\setminus s},\mathbf{x}_{\overline{s}\cap s}) e^{-\gamma_{\ell}} \\ &= e^{-\gamma_{\ell}} \end{split}$$



• Decoder that searches for the unique set  $s \in S$  such that

$$\imath^n(\mathbf{x}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}};\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) > \gamma_{|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|}$$

for all  $(s_{dif}, s_{eq})$  of s with  $s_{dif} \neq \emptyset$ .

- After some re-arrangements and choosing  $\{\gamma_\ell\}$  appropriately,

$$P_{\mathrm{e}} \leq \mathbb{P}\bigg[\bigcup_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}, s_{\mathrm{eq}}} \bigg\{ \imath^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}}; \mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) \leq \log {\binom{p-k}{|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|}} + \Delta \bigg\} \bigg] + \delta_{1}$$





- Consider a genie that reveals part of the defective set,  $S_{\rm eq}\subseteq S$  ,to the decoder. The decoder is left to estimate  $S_{dif} := S \setminus S_{eq}$ .
- Starting point:

$$P_{e}(s_{eq}) \geq \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}(s_{eq})] - \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}(s_{eq}) \cap \mathsf{no} \text{ error}],$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(s_{\rm eq}) = \left\{ \imath^n(\mathbf{X}_{S_{\rm dif}}; \mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}_{s_{\rm eq}}) \le \gamma \right\}.$$





lions@epfl

- ▶ Consider a genie that reveals part of the defective set,  $S_{eq} \subseteq S$ , to the decoder. The decoder is left to estimate  $S_{dif} := S \setminus S_{eq}$ .
- Analysis of second term:





- ▶ Consider a genie that reveals part of the defective set,  $S_{eq} \subseteq S$ , to the decoder. The decoder is left to estimate  $S_{dif} := S \setminus S_{eq}$ .
- Analysis of second term:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}(s_{\text{eq}}) \cap \text{no error}] \\ &= \sum_{s_{\text{dif}} \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{dif}}(s_{\text{eq}})} \frac{1}{\binom{p-k+\ell}{\ell}} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{D}(s_{\text{dif}}|s_{\text{eq}})} P_X^{n \times p}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\times P_{Y|X_{s_{\text{dif}}}X_{s_{\text{eq}}}}^n(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{s_{\text{dif}}}, \mathbf{x}_{s_{\text{eq}}}) \mathbbm{1} \bigg\{ \log \frac{P_{Y|X_{s_{\text{dif}}}X_{s_{\text{eq}}}}^n(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{s_{\text{dif}}}, \mathbf{x}_{s_{\text{eq}}})}{P_{Y|X_{s_{\text{eq}}}}^n(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{s_{\text{eq}}})} \le \gamma \bigg\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\binom{p-k+\ell}{\ell}} \sum_{s_{\text{dif}} \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{dif}}(s_{\text{eq}})} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{D}(s_{\text{dif}}|s_{\text{eq}})} P_X^{n \times p}(\mathbf{x}) P_{Y|X_{s_{\text{eq}}}}^n(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{s_{\text{eq}}})} e^{\gamma} \end{split}$$



- ▶ Consider a genie that reveals part of the defective set,  $S_{eq} \subseteq S$ , to the decoder. The decoder is left to estimate  $S_{dif} := S \setminus S_{eq}$ .
- Analysis of second term:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}[\mathcal{A}(s_{\text{eq}}) \cap \text{no error}] \\ &= \sum_{s_{\text{dif}} \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{dif}}(s_{\text{eq}})} \frac{1}{\binom{p-k+\ell}{\ell}} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{D}(s_{\text{dif}}|s_{\text{eq}})} P_X^{n \times p}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &\times P_{Y|X_{s_{\text{dif}}}X_{s_{\text{eq}}}}^n(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{s_{\text{dif}}}, \mathbf{x}_{s_{\text{eq}}}) \mathbbm{I} \bigg\{ \log \frac{P_Y^n|X_{s_{\text{dif}}}X_{s_{\text{eq}}}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{s_{\text{dif}}}, \mathbf{x}_{s_{\text{eq}}})}{P_Y^n|X_{s_{\text{eq}}}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{s_{\text{eq}}})} \le \gamma \bigg\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\binom{p-k+\ell}{\ell}} \sum_{s_{\text{dif}} \in \mathcal{S}_{\text{dif}}(s_{\text{eq}})} \sum_{(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in \mathcal{D}(s_{\text{dif}}|s_{\text{eq}})} P_X^{n \times p}(\mathbf{x}) P_Y^n|X_{s_{\text{eq}}}(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}_{s_{\text{eq}}}) e^{\gamma} \\ &= \frac{e^{\gamma}}{\binom{p-k+\ell}{\ell}}. \end{split}$$



- ▶ Consider a genie that reveals part of the defective set,  $S_{eq} \subseteq S$ , to the decoder. The decoder is left to estimate  $S_{dif} := S \setminus S_{eq}$ .
- After some re-arrangements and choosing  $\{\gamma_\ell\}$  appropriately,

$$P_{\mathbf{e}} \geq \mathbb{P}\left[\imath^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}}; \mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) \leq \log \binom{p - k + |s_{\mathrm{dif}}|}{|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|} + \log \delta_{1}\right] - \delta_{1}$$





Information density

$$\imath(x_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}}; y | x_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) := \log \frac{P_{Y | X_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}} X_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}}(y | x_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}}, x_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}})}{P_{Y | X_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}}(y | x_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}})}$$

where  $(\mathit{s}_{\mathrm{dif}}, \mathit{s}_{\mathrm{eq}})$  is a partition of  $\mathit{s}$ 

Achievability

$$P_{\mathbf{e}} \leq \mathbb{P}\bigg[\bigcup_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}, s_{\mathrm{eq}}} \bigg\{ \imath^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}}; \mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) \leq \log {\binom{p-k}{|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|}} + \Delta \bigg\} \bigg] + \delta_{1}$$

Converse

$$P_{\mathbf{e}} \geq \mathbb{P}\bigg[\imath^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}}; \mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) \leq \log \binom{p-k+|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|}{|s_{\mathrm{dif}}|} + \log \delta_{1}\bigg] - \delta_{1}$$



# **Application Techniques**

General steps for application

1. Bound the tail probabilities  $\mathbb{P}\Big[\imath^n(\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}};\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) \leq \mathbb{E}[\imath^n] \pm n\delta\Big]$ 





# **Application Techniques**

- General steps for application
  - 1. Bound the tail probabilities  $\mathbb{P}\Big[\imath^n(\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}};\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) \leq \mathbb{E}[\imath^n] \pm n\delta\Big]$
  - 2. Control and simplify the remainder terms





#### **Application Techniques**

- General steps for application
  - 1. Bound the tail probabilities  $\mathbb{P}\left[i^n(\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}};\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) \leq \mathbb{E}[i^n] \pm n\delta\right]$
  - 2. Control and simplify the remainder terms
- General form of corollaries:  $P_{\rm e} \rightarrow 0$  if

$$n \geq \max_{(s_{\text{dif}}, s_{\text{eq}})} \frac{\log \binom{p-k}{|s_{\text{dif}}|}}{I(X_{s_{\text{dif}}}; Y|X_{s_{\text{eq}}})} (1+\eta)$$

and  ${\it P}_{\rm e} \rightarrow 1$  if

$$n \leq \max_{(s_{\text{dif}}, s_{\text{eq}})} \frac{\log \binom{p-k+|s_{\text{dif}}|}{|s_{\text{dif}}|}}{I(X_{s_{\text{dif}}}; Y|X_{s_{\text{eq}}})} (1-\eta)$$



### **Concentration Inequalities**

Noiseless and noisy cases (This one alone is enough for partial recovery!):

$$\mathbb{P}\bigg[\left|\imath^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}};\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) - nI(\ell)\right| \geq n\delta\bigg] \leq 2\exp\bigg(-\frac{\delta^{2}n}{4(8+\delta)}\bigg)$$

- Proved using Bernstein's inequality
- The only property used is that  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2$



### **Concentration Inequalities**

Noiseless and noisy cases (This one alone is enough for partial recovery!):

$$\mathbb{P}\bigg[\left|\imath^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}};\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) - nI(\ell)\right| \geq n\delta\bigg] \leq 2\exp\bigg(-\frac{\delta^{2}n}{4(8+\delta)}\bigg)$$

- Proved using Bernstein's inequality
- The only property used is that  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2$
- Noiseless case:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\imath^n \le nI(\ell)(1-\delta_2)\right] \le \exp\left(-n\frac{\ell}{k}e^{-\nu}\nu\left((1-\delta_2)\log(1-\delta_2)+\delta_2\right)(1-\epsilon)\right)$$

 $\blacktriangleright$  Proved by writing  $\imath^n(\mathbf{X}_{s_{\rm dif}};\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_{s_{\rm eq}})$  in terms of Binomial random variables, then applying Binomial tail bounds





#### **Concentration Inequalities**

Noiseless and noisy cases (This one alone is enough for partial recovery!):

$$\mathbb{P}\bigg[\left|\imath^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{dif}}};\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_{s_{\mathrm{eq}}}) - nI(\ell)\right| \geq n\delta\bigg] \leq 2\exp\bigg(-\frac{\delta^{2}n}{4(8+\delta)}\bigg)$$

- Proved using Bernstein's inequality
- The only property used is that  $|\mathcal{Y}| = 2$
- Noiseless case:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\imath^n \le nI(\ell)(1-\delta_2)\right] \le \exp\left(-n\frac{\ell}{k}e^{-\nu}\nu\left((1-\delta_2)\log(1-\delta_2)+\delta_2\right)(1-\epsilon)\right)$$

- $\blacktriangleright$  Proved by writing  $\imath^n(\mathbf{X}_{s_{\rm dif}};\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_{s_{\rm eq}})$  in terms of Binomial random variables, then applying Binomial tail bounds
- Noisy case with crossover probability ρ:

$$\mathbb{P}\left[i^{n} \leq nI(\ell)(1-\delta_{2})\right] \leq \exp\left(-n\frac{\ell}{k}e^{-\nu}\nu\left(\frac{\delta_{2}^{2}(1-2\rho)^{2}}{2(1+\frac{1}{3}\delta_{2}(1-2\rho))}\right)(1-\epsilon)\right)$$

Proved using Bennet's inequality – may be somewhat crude



## **Recap of Results**

- Noiseless case (exact recovery):
  - Sufficient for  $P_{\rm e} \rightarrow 0$ :

$$n \ge \inf_{\nu>0} \max\left\{\frac{\theta}{e^{-\nu}\nu(1-\theta)}, \frac{1}{H_2(e^{-\nu})}\right\} \left(k\log\frac{p}{k}\right)(1+\eta)$$

• Necessary for  $P_{\rm e} \not\rightarrow 1$ :

$$n \ge \frac{k \log \frac{p}{k}}{\log 2} (1 - \eta)$$

- Noisy case (exact recovery):
  - Sufficient for  $P_{\rm e} \rightarrow 0$ :

$$n \ge \inf_{\delta_2 \in (0,1)} \max\left\{\zeta(\rho, \delta_2, \theta), \frac{1}{\log 2 - H_2(\rho)}\right\} \left(k \log \frac{p}{k}\right) (1+\eta).$$

$$n \le \frac{k \log \frac{p}{k}}{\log 2 - H_2(\rho)} (1 - \eta)$$

- Noiseless and noisy cases (partial recovery):
  - Sufficient for P<sub>e</sub>(d<sub>max</sub>) → 0:

$$n \ge \frac{k \log \frac{p}{k}}{\log 2 - H_2(\rho)} (1+\eta)$$

• Necessary for  $P_{\rm e}(d_{\rm max}) \not\rightarrow 1$ :

lions@epfl

$$n \le \frac{(1 - \alpha^*)k \log \frac{p}{k}}{\log 2 - H_2(\rho)} (1 - \eta)$$











- General probabilistic models
  - Support  $S \sim \text{Uniform} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ k \end{pmatrix}$
  - Measurement matrix  $\mathbf{X} \sim \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{p} P_X(x_{i,j})$
  - Non-zero entries  $\beta_S \sim P_{\beta_S}$
  - Observations  $(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}, \beta) \sim P_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_S \beta_S}$





- General probabilistic models
  - Support  $S \sim \text{Uniform} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ k \end{pmatrix}$
  - Measurement matrix  $\mathbf{X} \sim \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{p} P_X(x_{i,j})$
  - Non-zero entries  $\beta_S \sim P_{\beta_S}$
  - Observations  $(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X},\beta) \sim P_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_S\beta_S}$
- Support recovery

$$P_{\mathbf{e}} := \mathbb{P}[\hat{S} \neq S]$$

Partial recovery

lions@epfl

$$P_{\mathrm{e}}(d_{\max}) := \mathbb{P}\Big[|S \backslash \hat{S}| > d_{\max} \cup |\hat{S} \backslash S| > d_{\max}\Big]$$





- General probabilistic models
  - Support  $S \sim \text{Uniform} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ k \end{pmatrix}$
  - Measurement matrix  $\mathbf{X} \sim \prod_{i=1}^{n} \prod_{j=1}^{p} P_X(x_{i,j})$
  - Non-zero entries  $\beta_S \sim P_{\beta_S}$
  - Observations  $(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X},\beta) \sim P_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_S\beta_S}$
- Support recovery

$$P_{\mathbf{e}} := \mathbb{P}[\hat{S} \neq S]$$

Partial recovery

$$P_{\mathrm{e}}(d_{\max}) := \mathbb{P}\Big[|S \backslash \hat{S}| > d_{\max} \cup |\hat{S} \backslash S| > d_{\max}\Big]$$

• Goal: Conditions on n for  $P_{\rm e} \rightarrow 0$  or  $P_{\rm e}(d_{\rm max}) \rightarrow 0$ 



# **Channel coding**



e.g. see [Wainwright, 2009], [Atia and Saligrama, 2012], [Aksoylar et al., 2013]





Steps for applying generalized non-asymptotic bounds:

1. Construct a "typical set"  $\mathcal{T}_{\beta}$  of non-zero entries  $\beta_S$ 





Steps for applying generalized non-asymptotic bounds:

- 1. Construct a "typical set"  $\mathcal{T}_{\beta}$  of non-zero entries  $\beta_S$
- 2. Bound the tail probabilities  $\mathbb{P}\left[i^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{s_{dif}}; \mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}_{s_{eq}}, \beta_{s}) \leq \mathbb{E}[i^{n}] \pm n\delta \mid \beta_{s} = b_{s}\right]$





Steps for applying generalized non-asymptotic bounds:

- 1. Construct a "typical set"  $\mathcal{T}_{\beta}$  of non-zero entries  $\beta_S$
- 2. Bound the tail probabilities  $\mathbb{P}\left[i^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{s_{dif}}; \mathbf{Y} | \mathbf{X}_{seq}, \beta_{s}) \leq \mathbb{E}[i^{n}] \pm n\delta \mid \beta_{s} = b_{s}\right]$
- 3. Control and simplify the remainder terms





Steps for applying generalized non-asymptotic bounds:

- 1. Construct a "typical set"  $\mathcal{T}_{eta}$  of non-zero entries  $eta_S$
- 2. Bound the tail probabilities  $\mathbb{P}\left[\imath^{n}(\mathbf{X}_{s_{dif}};\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}_{seq},\beta_{s}) \leq \mathbb{E}[\imath^{n}] \pm n\delta \mid \beta_{s} = b_{s}\right]$
- 3. Control and simplify the remainder terms

• General form of corollaries:  $P_{\rm e} \rightarrow 0$  if

$$n \geq \max_{(s_{\text{dif}}, s_{\text{eq}})} \frac{\log \binom{p-k}{|s_{\text{dif}}|}}{I(X_{s_{\text{dif}}}; Y|X_{s_{\text{eq}}}, \beta_s = b_s)} (1+\eta) \quad \text{for all } b_s \in \mathcal{T}_{\beta}$$

and  ${\it P}_{\rm e} \rightarrow 1$  if

$$n \leq \max_{(s_{\text{dif}}, s_{\text{eq}})} \frac{\log \left(\frac{p-k+|s_{\text{dif}}|}{|s_{\text{dif}}|}\right)}{I(X_{s_{\text{dif}}}; Y|X_{s_{\text{eq}}}, \beta_s = b_s)} (1-\eta) \quad \text{for all } b_s \in \mathcal{T}_{\beta}$$



# Exact Recovery for Linear and 1-bit Models

Observation models

$$Y = \langle X, \beta \rangle + Z$$
$$Y = \operatorname{sign} \left( \langle X, \beta \rangle + Z \right)$$





# Exact Recovery for Linear and 1-bit Models

Observation models

$$Y = \langle X, \beta \rangle + Z$$
$$Y = \operatorname{sign} \left( \langle X, \beta \rangle + Z \right)$$

Case 1:

- Gaussian X, discrete  $\beta_S$ , sparsity  $k = \Theta(1)$ , low SNR
- Necessary and sufficient conditions:



• Only factor  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  difference



# Exact Recovery for Linear and 1-bit Models

Observation models

$$Y = \langle X, \beta \rangle + Z$$
$$Y = \operatorname{sign} \left( \langle X, \beta \rangle + Z \right)$$

Case 1:

- Gaussian X, discrete  $\beta_S$ , sparsity  $k = \Theta(1)$ , low SNR
- Necessary and sufficient conditions:



- Only factor  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  difference
- Case 2:
  - Gaussian X, fixed  $\beta_S$ , sparsity  $k = \Theta(p)$ , moderate SNR
  - Conditions:

Linear1-bit
$$\Theta(p)$$
 sufficient $\Omega\left(p\sqrt{\log p}\right)$  necessary





# Partial Recovery for Linear and 1-bit Models

Partial recovery

$$P_{\mathrm{e}}(d_{\max}) := \mathbb{P}\left[ |S \backslash \hat{S}| > d_{\max} \cup |\hat{S} \backslash S| > d_{\max} \right]$$

- Linear and 1-bit models
- Gaussian X, Gaussian  $\beta_S$ , sparsity k = o(p), allowed errors  $d_{\max} = \lfloor \alpha^* k \rfloor$





#### Partial Recovery for Linear and 1-bit Models

Partial recovery

$$P_{e}(d_{\max}) := \mathbb{P}\left[|S \backslash \hat{S}| > d_{\max} \cup |\hat{S} \backslash S| > d_{\max}\right]$$

Linear and 1-bit models

• Gaussian X, Gaussian  $\beta_S$ , sparsity k = o(p), allowed errors  $d_{\max} = \lfloor \alpha^* k \rfloor$ 

• Sufficient for  $P_e \rightarrow 0$ :

$$n \ge \max_{\alpha \in [\alpha^*, 1]} \frac{\alpha k \log \frac{p}{k}}{f(\alpha)} (1+\eta)$$

• Necessary for  $P_e \not\rightarrow 1$ :

$$n \ge \max_{\alpha \in [\alpha^*, 1]} \frac{(\alpha - \alpha^*)k \log \frac{p}{k}}{f(\alpha)} (1 - \eta)$$









#### Contributions:

- New information-theoretic limits for group testing and other support recovery problems
- Exact thresholds (phase transitions), or near-exact





- Contributions:
  - > New information-theoretic limits for group testing and other support recovery problems
  - Exact thresholds (phase transitions), or near-exact
- Implications for group testing:
  - Optimality of i.i.d. Bernoulli measurements in many cases
  - Limited gain by moving to partial recovery





- Contributions:
  - > New information-theoretic limits for group testing and other support recovery problems
  - Exact thresholds (phase transitions), or near-exact
- Implications for group testing:
  - Optimality of i.i.d. Bernoulli measurements in many cases
  - Limited gain by moving to partial recovery
- Future work:
  - Closing the remaining gaps (better concentration inequalities?)
  - Non-i.i.d. measurements
  - Applications to other non-linear models
  - "Information-spectrum" type analysis of other statistical problems
  - ...





- Contributions:
  - > New information-theoretic limits for group testing and other support recovery problems
  - Exact thresholds (phase transitions), or near-exact
- Implications for group testing:
  - Optimality of i.i.d. Bernoulli measurements in many cases
  - Limited gain by moving to partial recovery
- Future work:
  - Closing the remaining gaps (better concentration inequalities?)
  - Non-i.i.d. measurements
  - Applications to other non-linear models
  - "Information-spectrum" type analysis of other statistical problems
  - ...





# **Further Details**

Further details (group testing):

# http://infoscience.epfl.ch/record/206886

(accepted to 2016 SODA conference)

Further details (general models):

# http://arxiv.org/abs/1501.07440

(submitted to IEEE Transactions on Information Theory)





#### References |

- M. Wainwright, "Information-theoretic limits on sparsity recovery in the high-dimensional and noisy setting," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 12, pp. 5728–5741, Dec. 2009.
- G. Atia and V. Saligrama, "Boolean compressed sensing and noisy group testing," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 1880–1901, March 2012.
- C. Aksoylar, G. Atia, and V. Saligrama, "Sparse signal processing with linear and non-linear observations: A unified Shannon theoretic approach," April 2013, http://arxiv.org/abs/1304.0682.
- T. S. Han, Information-Spectrum Methods in Information Theory. Springer, 2003.



