Coupling and geometry

Sayan Banerjee

(Joint work with Wilfrid S. Kendall)

University of Warwick

February 12, 2016

< ∃⇒

- Let $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{F}_1, \mu_1)$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_2, \mu_2)$ be two probability spaces. A coupling of μ_1 and μ_2 is a measure μ on $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, \mathcal{F}_1 \times \mathcal{F}_2)$ with marginals μ_1 and μ_2 .
- We will be dealing with coupling of (the laws of) Markov processes X and Y.
- Coupling Time: $\tau = \inf\{s > 0 : X_t = Y_t \text{ for all } t > s\}.$

 The total variation distance between probability measures μ and ν on a Polish Borel space (E, E) is defined as

$$||\mu - \nu||_{TV} = \sup_{A \subset \mathcal{E}} |\mu(A) - \nu(A)|.$$

• Aldous' Inequality: For any coupling (X, Y) of (μ, ν) ,

$$||\mu - \nu||_{TV} \leq \mathbb{P}(X \neq Y).$$

• By Aldous' inequality, for any t > 0,

$$P(\tau > t) \ge ||\mu_{1,t} - \mu_{2,t}||_{TV},$$

where

- $\mu_{1,t}$ and $\mu_{2,t}$ are distributions of X_t and Y_t respectively.
- $|| \cdot ||_{TV}$ is the total variation distance between measures.

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

• By Aldous' inequality, for any t > 0,

$$P(\tau > t) \ge ||\mu_{1,t} - \mu_{2,t}||_{TV},$$

where

- $\mu_{1,t}$ and $\mu_{2,t}$ are distributions of X_t and Y_t respectively.
- $|| \cdot ||_{TV}$ is the total variation distance between measures.
- A coupling of Markov processes X and Y with laws μ_1 and μ_2 , with coupling time τ , is called a **Maximal Coupling** if $P(\tau > t) = ||\mu_{1,t} \mu_{2,t}||_{TV}$ for all t > 0.

白 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

• Griffeath ('75) proved such a coupling always exists for discrete Markov chains.

・ロ・ ・ 日・ ・ 日・ ・ 日・

æ

- Griffeath ('75) proved such a coupling always exists for discrete Markov chains.
- Pitman ('76) gave a new and simplified construction using *Randomized Stopping Times*, which can also be extended to continuous Markov processes.

- Griffeath ('75) proved such a coupling always exists for discrete Markov chains.
- Pitman ('76) gave a new and simplified construction using *Randomized Stopping Times*, which can also be extended to continuous Markov processes.
- Pitman's construction simulates the *meeting point* first and then constructs the *forward* and *backward* chains.

- Griffeath ('75) proved such a coupling always exists for discrete Markov chains.
- Pitman ('76) gave a new and simplified construction using *Randomized Stopping Times*, which can also be extended to continuous Markov processes.
- Pitman's construction simulates the *meeting point* first and then constructs the *forward* and *backward* chains.
- The coupling *cheats* by looking into the future.

• A coupling of Markov processes X and Y starting from x_0 and y_0 is called **Markovian** if

$$(X_{t+s}, Y_{t+s})_{t\geq 0} \mid \mathcal{F}_s$$

is again a coupling of the laws of X and Y starting from (X_s, Y_s) . Here $\mathcal{F}_s = \sigma\{(X_{s'}, Y_{s'}) : s' \leq s\}$.

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

• A coupling of Markov processes X and Y starting from x₀ and y₀ is called **Markovian** if

$$(X_{t+s}, Y_{t+s})_{t\geq 0} \mid \mathcal{F}_s$$

is again a coupling of the laws of X and Y starting from (X_s, Y_s) . Here $\mathcal{F}_s = \sigma\{(X_{s'}, Y_{s'}) : s' \leq s\}$.

• The coupling is not allowed to look into the future.

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

• A coupling of Markov processes X and Y starting from x_0 and y_0 is called **Markovian** if

$$(X_{t+s}, Y_{t+s})_{t\geq 0} \mid \mathcal{F}_s$$

is again a coupling of the laws of X and Y starting from (X_s, Y_s) . Here $\mathcal{F}_s = \sigma\{(X_{s'}, Y_{s'}) : s' \leq s\}$.

- The coupling is not allowed to look into the future.
- Usually easy to describe explicitly in forward time.

向下 イヨト イヨト

• A coupling of Markov processes X and Y starting from x_0 and y_0 is called **Markovian** if

$$(X_{t+s}, Y_{t+s})_{t\geq 0} \mid \mathcal{F}_s$$

is again a coupling of the laws of X and Y starting from (X_s, Y_s) . Here $\mathcal{F}_s = \sigma\{(X_{s'}, Y_{s'}) : s' \leq s\}$.

- The coupling is not allowed to look into the future.
- Usually easy to describe explicitly in forward time.
- Enable efficient application of stochastic calculus to derive near-optimal estimates for gradients, spectral gaps, etc., for diffusions.

| 4 回 2 4 U = 2 4 U =

There is much work on how quickly coupling can happen (Rogers, 1999; Burdzy-Kendall, 2000; ...). Here we focus on a very specific question.

• What is the class of Markov processes which admit a Markovian maximal coupling (MMC) for two copies started from distinct points?

There is much work on how quickly coupling can happen (Rogers, 1999; Burdzy-Kendall, 2000; ...). Here we focus on a very specific question.

- What is the class of Markov processes which admit a Markovian maximal coupling (MMC) for two copies started from distinct points?
- Popular belief: Rather limited class! MMC exhibits rigidity.

• Reflection Coupling of Euclidean Brownian motions starting from two points.

| 4 回 2 4 U = 2 4 U =

æ

- Reflection Coupling of Euclidean Brownian motions starting from two points.
- Hsu and Sturm (2013) showed that this is the unique Markovian maximal coupling of these Brownian motions. NOT unique maximal coupling.

- Reflection Coupling of Euclidean Brownian motions starting from two points.
- Hsu and Sturm (2013) showed that this is the unique Markovian maximal coupling of these Brownian motions. NOT unique maximal coupling.
- Similar conclusions hold for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process by Doob's representation. (Connor, 2007)

Theorem (Kuwada, 2009)

Brownian motion on a homogeneous Riemannian manifold M can be coupled by MMC, starting from x_0 and y_0 , if and only if the manifold admits a reflection structure, i.e. a continuous map $R: M \mapsto M$ with $R^2 = \text{Id such that}$

(i)
$$Rx_0 = y_0$$

(ii) \exists open M_0 such that $M = M_0 \sqcup H \sqcup R(M_0)$ where H is the set of fixed points of R.

and the coupling is a reflection coupling determined by R.

Consequences of Kuwada's result

Assume *M* is an irreducible global symmetric space.

- (i) If *M* is of non-constant curvature, no reflection structure, hence no MMC.
- (ii) If *M* is a sphere, Euclidean space or Hyperbolic space, then a MMC of Brownian motions exists from every pair of starting points.
- (iii) If *M* is a Real Projective space, no MMC from any pair of starting points.
- (iv) If *M* is a torus, then MMC exists from starting points (x_1, \ldots, x_d) and (y_1, \ldots, y_d) if and only if there exists $j \in \{1, \ldots, d\}$ such that $x_i = y_i$ for all $i \neq j$.

白 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Bearing in mind the torus example, we ask what happens when existence of MMC remains stable under a *slight perturbation of starting points*.

Local Perturbation Condition (LPC): There exist arbitrary open sets $U, V \subseteq M$ such that a MMC of the diffusion processes X and Y starting from x and y exists for every $x \in U$ and $y \in V$.

We say that an MMC is stable if LPC holds.

Our goal is to investigate *when a stable MMC exists* for elliptic diffusions given by generator of the form

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i,j=1}^d \mathsf{a}_{ij}(x) \partial_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^d b_i(x) \partial_i$$

on \mathbb{R}^d and later, more generally, on a complete smooth manifold M.

To ease exposition, we deal only with the case of smooth coefficients.

[A construction of successful Markovian couplings for elliptic diffusions achieved in some cases by Lindvall and Rogers ('86).]

向下 イヨト イヨト

We first investigate this question for Euclidean diffusions with constant diffusion matrix:

$$dX_t = \mathbf{b}(X_t)dt + dB_t$$

started from distinct points x_0 and y_0 .

_∢≣≯

If a MMC exists, then we can show that it should satisfy the following:

- There is a deterministic system of hyperplanes {M(t)}_{t≥0} which can evolve in time such that, for each t, Y_t is obtained by reflecting X_t in M(t).
- Under mild regularity assumptions, the moving mirror can be parametrized in a *smooth way*.
- These lead to (implicit) functional equations on the drift, via stochastic calculus.

A stable MMC exists for time-homogeneous Euclidean diffusions X and Y if and only if there exist a real scalar λ , a skew-symmetric matrix \mathcal{T} and a vector $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$\mathbf{b}(x) = \lambda x + \mathcal{T}x + c$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

(Ornstein-Uhlenbeck + rotation)

When the drift is of the above form, the MMC is described by

$$Y_t = F_t(X_t)$$

where F_t denotes reflection in the hyperplane parametrized by its normal vector

$$\mathsf{n}(t) = \exp(\mathcal{T}t) rac{x_0 - y_0}{|x_0 - y_0|}$$

and distance from the origin

$$I(t) = e^{\lambda t} \frac{|x_0|^2 - |y_0|^2}{2|x_0 - y_0|} + e^{\lambda t} \int_0^t \frac{(x_0 - y_0)^T}{|x_0 - y_0|} \exp\{-(T + \lambda I)s\}c \ ds.$$

There exists a MMC of a one-dimensional diffusion X starting from x_0 and y_0 if and only if, when X is transformed so that the martingale part is Brownian, then the drift **b** is either linear or $\mathbf{b}(x) = -\mathbf{b}(x_0 + y_0 - x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と …

There exists a MMC of a one-dimensional diffusion X starting from x_0 and y_0 if and only if, when X is transformed so that the martingale part is Brownian, then the drift **b** is either linear or $\mathbf{b}(x) = -\mathbf{b}(x_0 + y_0 - x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark: This determines *all one-dimensional diffusions* (with general diffusion coefficient) for which MMC holds. Essentially they must be (transformations of) either Brownian motion with constant drift or Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes, or the drift obeys a symmetry condition with respect to the starting points.

・日・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

Manifold case

If the generator of the diffusion on a connected smooth manifold M of dimension d is given (in local coordinates) by

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} a_{ij}(x) \partial_{ij} + \sum_{i=1}^{d} b_i(x) \partial_i,$$

then we can give M a metric $g_{ij} = a^{ij}$ under which, the generator becomes

$$\mathcal{L} = rac{1}{2}\Delta_M + \mathbf{b}$$

where Δ_M is the Laplace-Beltrami operator and **b** is a 'drift' vector field.

The diffusion thus becomes Brownian motion plus drift under this metric. It can now be represented as the solution to a Stratonovich SDE. (Stochastic Parallel Transport.)

The Isometry Group of M

• The group of isometries of M, denoted by Iso(M), forms a Lie Group of dimension $\leq d(d+1)/2$ (Myers and Steenrod, 1939).

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

The Isometry Group of M

- The group of isometries of M, denoted by Iso(M), forms a Lie Group of dimension $\leq d(d+1)/2$ (Myers and Steenrod, 1939).
- ② A one parameter subgroup of isometries is a smooth curve $t \mapsto F_t$ in lso(*M*) such that F_0 = Identity and $F_{t+s} = F_t \circ F_s$.

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

The Isometry Group of M

- The group of isometries of M, denoted by Iso(M), forms a Lie Group of dimension $\leq d(d+1)/2$ (Myers and Steenrod, 1939).
- ② A one parameter subgroup of isometries is a smooth curve $t \mapsto F_t$ in lso(*M*) such that F_0 = Identity and $F_{t+s} = F_t \circ F_s$.
- Killing vector fields are vector fields corresponding to generators of these one parameter subgroups, given by

$$\kappa(x) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} F_t(x).$$

These form the Lie Algebra corresponding to the Lie group of isometries.

(4回) (4回) (4回)

If a stable MMC exists on M, then M has to be maximally symmetric (i.e. the dimension of Iso(M) is d(d + 1)/2).

The only complete, connected Riemannian manifolds which are maximally symmetric are the sphere(\mathbb{S}^d), Euclidean space (\mathbb{R}^d), hyperbolic space (\mathbb{H}^d) and Real Projective space (\mathbb{RP}^d).

But (Kuwada, 2009) \mathbb{RP}^d does not support any MMC.

Thus, we have the following classification of M:

Corollary

A stable MMC exists on M if and only if M is \mathbb{S}^d , \mathbb{R}^d or \mathbb{H}^d .

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Rigidity Theorem II: Characterising the drift

Let K denote the (sectional) curvature of M.

Theorem (B.-Kendall, 2014)

A stable MMC exists on M if and only if the following hold:

(i) For $K \neq 0$, the drift **b** is a Killing vector field \mathcal{K} on M.

(ii) For K = 0, **b** is described in Euclidean co-ordinates by $\mathbf{b}(x) = \lambda x + \mathcal{T}x + \mathbf{c}$ for any scalar λ , skew-symmetric matrix \mathcal{T} and vector **c**, where $x \mapsto \lambda x$ is a dilation vector field about the origin and $x \mapsto \mathcal{T}x + \mathbf{c}$ is a Killing vector field.

This is the general rigidity result for MMC. It confirms the intuition that MMC are very rare.

母 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

We have already described the Euclidean case K = 0. Now, we describe the stable MMC for $K \neq 0$.

Theorem (B.-Kendall, 2014)

For $K \neq 0$, the stable MMC of X and Y starting from x_0 and y_0 is given by

 $(\mathcal{G}_t(W_t), \mathcal{G}_t(\tilde{W}_t))_{t\geq 0}$

where (W, \tilde{W}) is the MMC of Brownian motions on M starting from (x_0, y_0) and $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is the one parameter subgroup of isometries generated by \mathcal{K} .

回 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

Define

The time evolution of the interface plays a pivotal role in our arguments.

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

æ

A Support Lemma for maximal couplings

Let μ be a maximal coupling of X and Y.

Lemma $\mu(X_s = dz, \tau > s) = \alpha^+(s, z)m(dz),$ $\mu(Y_s = dz, \tau > s) = \alpha^-(s, z)m(dz).$

Thus, X_s and Y_s are supported on disjoint regions of the state space \mathcal{I}_s^+ and \mathcal{I}_s^- before they couple.

▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ …

Let μ denote the law of a MMC of X and Y and μ_s denote law of (X_s, Y_s) . Let θ denote the time-shift operator.

Lemma

For μ_s -almost every (x, y) with $x \neq y$, $(\theta_s X, \theta_s Y \mid X_s = x, Y_s = y)$ gives a Markovian maximal coupling of (X, Y) starting from (x, y).

This can be interpreted as a flow property of MMC.

- 4 回 ト - 4 回 ト

Lemma (Varadhan 1967, Molchanov 1975)

Let M_1 and M_2 be compact subsets of M. Then the density p of X_t satisfies the following:

$$\lim_{t\downarrow 0} 2t \log p(x; t, y) = -d^2(x, y) \tag{1}$$

uniformly for all $x, y \in M_1 \times M_2$, where d is the Riemannian distance function.

Let H(x, y) denote the set of points in M equidistant from x and y.

The previous lemmas, along with the Strong Maximum principle for parabolic PDEs, yield the following important observation.

Theorem

For any t > 0,

$$\mathcal{I}_t = H(X_t, Y_t).$$

almost surely with respect to the coupling law μ .

Thus, the set of equidistant points from X_t and Y_t is a deterministic set \mathcal{I}_t almost surely.

Defining the reflection structure

Following Kuwada (2009), we can define a 'reflection' on \mathcal{I}_t given by

$$F_t(x) =$$
 the unique $y \in M$ such that $y \neq x$
and $d(x, z) = d(y, z)$ for all $z \in \mathcal{I}_t$; if $x \in M/\mathcal{I}_t$

$$F_t(x) = x$$
; if $x \in \mathcal{I}_t$

This turns out to be a well defined global involutive isometry on M such that

$$Y_t = F_t(X_t)$$

on $\{0 \le t < \tau\}$ almost surely. Further, we can prove by using this relation that $t \mapsto F_t$ is a C^1 curve in Iso(M).

The LPC can be used now to get sufficiently many isometries by the above recipe to show that M is homogeneous (the Isometry group acts transitively on M) and isotropic (the isometries fixing a point generate all the rotations about it), which yields maximal symmetry.

The space classification follows from this.

Applying stochastic calculus on the equation $Y_t = F_t(X_t)$ on $\{0 \le t < \tau\}$ and using the fact that X and Y have the same generator, we arrive at the following functional equation for the drift.

$$b(x) = F_{t*}b(x) + \kappa_t(x)$$

where $F_{t*}b$ denotes the pushforward of the vector field *b* by F_t given by $F_{t*}b(x) = dF_t \Big|_{F_t(x)} b(F_t(x))$ and κ_t represents the Killing vector field

$$\kappa_t(x) = \frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=t} F_s(F_t(x)).$$

白 とう きょう きょう

We then carefully analyse the equation for the drift under LPC. This reduces the drift to a 'dilation + Killing field' form.

For nonzero curvature, the Toponogov triangle comparison theorem can be used to show that the dilation part must be zero.

This gives the drift characterisation.

• Rigidity theorems hint that 'fast' couplings should involve reflection coupling at some level.

æ

個 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

- Rigidity theorems hint that 'fast' couplings should involve reflection coupling at some level.
- This turned out to be a pivotal observation in devising coupling strategies for Kolmogorov diffusions (simplest example of a hypoelliptic diffusion).

• Kolmogorov diffusion of order *n* given by

$$X_t = \left(B_t, \int_0^t B_s ds, \dots, \int_0^t \int_0^{s_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{s_2} B_{s_1} ds_1 \dots ds_{n-1}\right).$$

(4回) (4回) (4回)

• Kolmogorov diffusion of order *n* given by

$$X_t = \left(B_t, \int_0^t B_s ds, \dots, \int_0^t \int_0^{s_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{s_2} B_{s_1} ds_1 \dots ds_{n-1}\right).$$

• There exist Markovian couplings of two copies of the Kolmogorov diffusion (Ben Arous, Cranston and Kendall 1995, Kendall and Price 2004).

• Kolmogorov diffusion of order *n* given by

$$X_t = \left(B_t, \int_0^t B_s ds, \dots, \int_0^t \int_0^{s_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{s_2} B_{s_1} ds_1 \dots ds_{n-1}\right).$$

- There exist Markovian couplings of two copies of the Kolmogorov diffusion (Ben Arous, Cranston and Kendall 1995, Kendall and Price 2004).
- We show that there are no MMC from any pair of starting points. (B.-Kendall 2015).

• Kolmogorov diffusion of order *n* given by

$$X_t = \left(B_t, \int_0^t B_s ds, \dots, \int_0^t \int_0^{s_{n-1}} \cdots \int_0^{s_2} B_{s_1} ds_1 \dots ds_{n-1}\right).$$

- There exist Markovian couplings of two copies of the Kolmogorov diffusion (Ben Arous, Cranston and Kendall 1995, Kendall and Price 2004).
- We show that there are no MMC from any pair of starting points. (B.-Kendall 2015).
- In fact there are pairs of starting points from which no MC is efficient (coupling rates and total variation distance have same order of decay in time). (B.-Kendall 2015).

An efficient non-Markovian coupling (B.-Kendall 2015)

• For i = 1, 2, we consider the Brownian paths $\{B^{(i)}(t) : 0 \le t \le T\}$ as $B^{(i)}(t) = B^{(i)}(T, t)$ where $B^{(i)}$ is the infinite-dimensional Brownian motion on $L^2(0, T)$ given by

$$\mathcal{B}^{(i)}(\zeta,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_k} w_k^{(i)}(\zeta) f_k(t/T)$$

for $0 \leq \zeta, t \leq T$ (Karhunen-Loève expansion).

白 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

An efficient non-Markovian coupling (B.-Kendall 2015)

• For i = 1, 2, we consider the Brownian paths $\{B^{(i)}(t) : 0 \le t \le T\}$ as $B^{(i)}(t) = B^{(i)}(T, t)$ where $B^{(i)}$ is the infinite-dimensional Brownian motion on $L^2(0, T)$ given by

$$\mathcal{B}^{(i)}(\zeta,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_k} w_k^{(i)}(\zeta) f_k(t/T)$$

for $0 \leq \zeta, t \leq T$ (Karhunen-Loève expansion).

• On each block $[T_n, T_{n+1}]$ $(T_n = 1 + \dots + 2^n)$, apply a 'reflection coupling' of the Brownian vectors $\mathbb{W}^{(i)} = (w_1^{(i)}, w_2^{(i)}, \dots)$ in a hyperplane determined by $X^{(2)}(T_n) - X^{(1)}(T_n)$.

白 ト イヨト イヨト

An efficient non-Markovian coupling (B.-Kendall 2015)

• For i = 1, 2, we consider the Brownian paths $\{B^{(i)}(t) : 0 \le t \le T\}$ as $B^{(i)}(t) = B^{(i)}(T, t)$ where $B^{(i)}$ is the infinite-dimensional Brownian motion on $L^2(0, T)$ given by

$$\mathcal{B}^{(i)}(\zeta,t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\lambda_k} w_k^{(i)}(\zeta) f_k(t/T)$$

for $0 \leq \zeta, t \leq T$ (Karhunen-Loève expansion).

- On each block $[T_n, T_{n+1}]$ $(T_n = 1 + \dots + 2^n)$, apply a 'reflection coupling' of the Brownian vectors $\mathbb{W}^{(i)} = (w_1^{(i)}, w_2^{(i)}, \dots)$ in a hyperplane determined by $X^{(2)}(T_n) - X^{(1)}(T_n)$.
- This projects down to an efficient non-Markovian coupling of the Kolmogorov diffusions $X^{(1)}$ and $X^{(2)}$.

Conclusion, Remarks and Future Work

• For what class of diffusions is it possible to obtain efficient Markovian couplings?

▲ 문 ▶ | ▲ 문 ▶

Conclusion, Remarks and Future Work

- For what class of diffusions is it possible to obtain efficient Markovian couplings?
- For hypoelliptic diffusions of the form

$$dX_t = V_0(X_t)dt + \sum_{i=1}^n V_i(X_t) \circ dW_i(t)$$

where the vector fields V_0, V_1, \ldots, V_n satisfy the Hörmander condition (Lie brackets span the whole tangent space), the existence of density implies existence of a maximal coupling. But does this imply existence of a Markovian coupling?

If so, when is it maximal/efficient?

We are investigating this question for Levy stochastic areas.

• For general cost functions, couplings have deep connections with Optimal Transport. We can ask similar questions for general cost functions.

白 ト イヨト イヨト

- For general cost functions, couplings have deep connections with Optimal Transport. We can ask similar questions for general cost functions.
- Couplings (especially Markovian ones) are an important toolbox for studying mixing times of discrete Markov chains. The present question becomes harder in this context when the chains are not skip-free. It would be interesting to obtain comparable results about discrete state-space Markov chains.

Thank You!

・ロト ・回ト ・モト ・モト

æ